



2014 ESC Guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of aortic diseases

Document covering acute and chronic aortic diseases of the thoracic and abdominal aorta of the adult

The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Aortic Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)

Authors/Task Force members: Raimund Erbel* (Chairperson) (Germany), Victor Aboyans* (Chairperson) (France), Catherine Boileau (France), Eduardo Bossone (Italy), Roberto Di Bartolomeo (Italy), Holger Eggebrecht (Germany), Arturo Evangelista (Spain), Volkmar Falk (Switzerland), Herbert Frank (Austria), Oliver Gaemperli (Switzerland), Martin Grabenwöger (Austria), Axel Haverich (Germany), Bernard Iung (France), Athanasios John Manolis (Greece), Folkert Meijboom (Netherlands), Christoph A. Nienaber (Germany), Marco Roffi (Switzerland), Hervé Rousseau (France), Udo Sechtem (Germany), Per Anton Sirnes (Norway), Regula S. von Allmen (Switzerland), Christiaan J.M. Vrints (Belgium).

ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG): Jose Luis Zamorano (Chairperson) (Spain), Stephan Achenbach (Germany), Helmut Baumgartner (Germany), Jeroen J. Bax (Netherlands), Héctor Bueno (Spain), Veronica Dean (France), Christi Deaton (UK), Çetin Erol (Turkey), Robert Fagard (Belgium), Roberto Ferrari (Italy), David Hasdai (Israel), Arno Hoes (The Netherlands), Paulus Kirchhof (Germany/UK), Juhani Knuuti (Finland), Philippe Kolh

* Corresponding authors: Raimund Erbel, Department of Cardiology, West-German Heart Centre Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Hufelandstrasse 55, DE-45122 Essen, Germany. Tel: +49 201 723 4801; Fax: +49 201 723 5401; Email: erbel@uk-essen.de.

Victor Aboyans, Department of Cardiology, CHRU Dupuytren Limoges, 2 Avenue Martin Luther King, 87042 Limoges, France. Tel: +33 5 55 05 63 10; Fax: +33 5 55 05 63 84; Email: vaboyans@live.fr

Other ESC entities having participated in the development of this document:

ESC Associations: Acute Cardiovascular Care Association (ACCA), European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI), European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI).

ESC Councils: Council for Cardiology Practice (CCP).

ESC Working Groups: Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, Cardiovascular Surgery, Grown-up Congenital Heart Disease, Hypertension and the Heart, Nuclear Cardiology and Cardiac Computed Tomography, Peripheral Circulation, Valvular Heart Disease.

The content of these European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Guidelines has been published for personal and educational use only. No commercial use is authorized. No part of the ESC Guidelines may be translated or reproduced in any form without written permission from the ESC. Permission can be obtained upon submission of a written request to Oxford University Press, the publisher of the European Heart Journal and the party authorized to handle such permissions on behalf of the ESC.

Disclaimer: The ESC Guidelines represent the views of the ESC and were produced after careful consideration of the scientific and medical knowledge and the evidence available at the time of their dating.

The ESC is not responsible in the event of any contradiction, discrepancy and/or ambiguity between the ESC Guidelines and any other official recommendations or guidelines issued by the relevant public health authorities, in particular in relation to good use of health care or therapeutic strategies. Health professionals are encouraged to take the ESC Guidelines fully into account when exercising their clinical judgment, as well as in the determination and the implementation of preventive, diagnostic or therapeutic medical strategies; however, the ESC Guidelines do not override, in any way whatsoever, the individual responsibility of health professionals to make appropriate and accurate decisions in consideration of each patient's health condition and in consultation with that patient and, where appropriate and/or necessary, the patient's caregiver. Nor do the ESC Guidelines exempt health professionals from taking full and careful consideration of the relevant official updated recommendations or guidelines issued by the competent public health authorities in order to manage each patient's case in light of the scientifically accepted data pursuant to their respective ethical and professional obligations. It is also the health professional's responsibility to verify the applicable rules and regulations relating to drugs and medical devices at the time of prescription.

National Cardiac Societies document reviewers: listed in the Appendix.

© The European Society of Cardiology 2014. All rights reserved. For permissions please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

(Belgium), Patrizio Lancellotti (Belgium), Ales Linhart (Czech Republic), Petros Nihoyannopoulos (UK), Massimo F. Piepoli (Italy), Piotr Ponikowski (Poland), Per Anton Sirnes (Norway), Juan Luis Tamargo (Spain), Michal Tendera (Poland), Adam Torbicki (Poland), William Wijns (Belgium), and Stephan Windecker (Switzerland).

Document reviewers: Petros Nihoyannopoulos (CPG Review Coordinator) (UK), Michal Tendera (CPG Review Coordinator) (Poland), Martin Czerny (Switzerland), John Deanfield (UK), Carlo Di Mario (UK), Mauro Pepi (Italy), Maria Jesus Salvador Taboada (Spain), Marc R. van Sambeek (The Netherlands), Charalambos Vlachopoulos (Greece), and Jose Luis Zamorano (Spain).

The disclosure forms provided by the experts involved in the development of these guidelines are available on the ESC website www.escardio.org/guidelines

Keywords

Guidelines • Aortic diseases • Aortic aneurysm • Acute aortic syndrome • Aortic dissection • Intramural haematoma • Penetrating aortic ulcer • Traumatic aortic injury • Abdominal aortic aneurysm • Endovascular therapy • Vascular surgery • Congenital aortic diseases • Genetic aortic diseases • Thromboembolic aortic diseases • Aortitis • Aortic tumours

Table of Contents

Abbreviations and acronyms	4	6.2 Pathology and classification	18
1. Preamble	4	6.3 Acute aortic dissection	18
2. Introduction	6	6.3.1 Definition and classification	18
3. The normal and the ageing aorta	7	6.3.2 Epidemiology	18
4. Assessment of the aorta	8	6.3.3 Clinical presentation and complications	18
4.1 Clinical examination	8	6.3.3.1 Chest pain	18
4.2 Laboratory testing	8	6.3.3.2 Aortic regurgitation	18
4.3 Imaging	8	6.3.3.3 Myocardial ischaemia	18
4.3.1 Chest X-ray	8	6.3.3.4 Congestive heart failure	18
4.3.2 Ultrasound	9	6.3.3.5 Large pleural effusions	19
4.3.2.1 Transthoracic echocardiography	9	6.3.3.6 Pulmonary complications	19
4.3.2.2 Transoesophageal echocardiography	9	6.3.3.7 Syncope	19
4.3.2.3 Abdominal ultrasound	9	6.3.3.8 Neurological symptoms	19
4.3.3 Computed tomography	9	6.3.3.9 Mesenteric ischaemia	19
4.3.4 Positron emission tomography/computed tomography	11	6.3.3.10. Renal failure	19
4.3.5 Magnetic resonance imaging	11	6.3.4 Laboratory testing	19
4.3.6 Aortography	11	6.3.5 Diagnostic imaging in acute aortic dissection	20
4.3.7 Intravascular ultrasound	12	6.3.5.1 Echocardiography	20
4.4 Assessment of aortic stiffness	12	6.3.5.2 Computed tomography	20
5. Treatment options	12	6.3.5.3 Magnetic resonance imaging	21
5.1 Principles of medical therapy	12	6.3.5.4 Aortography	21
5.2 Endovascular therapy	13	6.3.6 Diagnostic work-up	21
5.2.1 Thoracic endovascular aortic repair	13	6.3.7 Treatment	23
5.2.1.1 Technique	13	6.3.7.1 Type A aortic dissection	23
5.2.1.2 Complications	13	6.3.7.2 Treatment of Type B aortic dissection	23
5.2.2 Abdominal endovascular aortic repair	13	6.3.7.2.1 Uncomplicated Type B aortic dissection:	23
5.2.2.1 Technique	13	6.3.7.2.1.1 Medical therapy	23
5.2.2.2 Complications	14	6.3.7.2.1.2 Endovascular therapy	24
5.3 Surgery	15	6.3.7.2.2 Complicated Type B aortic dissection: endovascular therapy	24
5.3.1 Ascending aorta	15	6.3.7.2.2.1 TEVAR	24
5.3.2 Aortic arch	15	6.3.7.2.2.2 Surgery	24
5.3.3 Descending aorta	16	6.4 Intramural haematoma	25
5.3.4 Thoraco-abdominal aorta	16	6.4.1 Definition	25
5.3.5 Abdominal aorta	16	6.4.2 Diagnosis	25
6. Acute thoracic aortic syndromes	17	6.4.3 Natural history, morphological changes, and complications	25
6.1 Definition	17		

6.4.4 Indications for surgery and thoracic endovascular aortic repair	25	7.2.7 (Contained) rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysm	37
6.4.4.1 Type A intramural haematoma	25	7.2.7.1 Clinical presentation	37
6.4.4.2 Type B intramural haematoma	25	7.2.7.2 Diagnostic work-up	37
6.5 Penetrating aortic ulcer	26	7.2.7.3 Treatment	37
6.5.1 Definition	26	7.2.8 Long-term prognosis and follow-up of aortic aneurysm repair	37
6.5.2 Diagnostic imaging	26	8. Genetic diseases affecting the aorta	38
6.5.3 Management	26	8.1 Chromosomal and inherited syndromic thoracic aortic aneurysms and dissection	38
6.5.4 Interventional therapy	26	8.1.1 Turner syndrome	38
6.6 Aortic pseudoaneurysm	27	8.1.2 Marfan syndrome	38
6.7 (Contained) rupture of aortic aneurysm	27	8.1.3 Ehlers-Danlos syndrome Type IV or vascular type	38
6.7.1 Contained rupture of thoracic aortic aneurysm	27	8.1.4 Loeys-Dietz syndrome	39
6.7.1.1 Clinical presentation	27	8.1.5 Arterial tortuosity syndrome	39
6.7.1.2 Diagnostic work-up	27	8.1.6 Aneurysms-osteoarthritis syndrome	39
6.7.1.3 Treatment	27	8.1.7 Non-syndromic familial thoracic aortic aneurysms and dissection	39
6.8 Traumatic aortic injury	28	8.1.8 Genetics and heritability of abdominal aortic aneurysm	40
6.8.1 Definition, epidemiology and classification	28	8.2 Aortic diseases associated with bicuspid aortic valve	40
6.8.2 Patient presentation and diagnosis	28	8.2.1 Epidemiology	40
6.8.3 Indications for treatment in traumatic aortic injury	28	8.2.1.1 Bicuspid aortic valve	40
6.8.4 Medical therapy in traumatic aortic injury	28	8.2.1.2 Ascending aorta growth in bicuspid valves	40
6.8.5 Surgery in traumatic aortic injury	28	8.2.1.3 Aortic dissection	41
6.8.6 Endovascular therapy in traumatic aortic injury	29	8.2.1.4 Bicuspid aortic valve and coarctation	41
6.8.7 Long-term surveillance in traumatic aortic injury	29	8.2.2 Natural history	41
6.9 Latrogenic aortic dissection	29	8.2.3 Pathophysiology	41
7. Aortic aneurysms	30	8.2.4 Diagnosis	41
7.1 Thoracic aortic aneurysms	30	8.2.4.1 Clinical presentation	41
7.1.1 Diagnosis	30	8.2.4.2 Imaging	41
7.1.2 Anatomy	30	8.2.4.3 Screening in relatives	41
7.1.3 Evaluation	30	8.2.4.4 Follow-up	41
7.1.4 Natural history	31	8.2.5 Treatment	41
7.1.4.1 Aortic growth in familial thoracic aortic aneurysms	31	8.2.6 Prognosis	42
7.1.4.2 Descending aortic growth	31	8.3 Coarctation of the aorta	42
7.1.4.3 Risk of aortic dissection	31	8.3.1 Background	42
7.1.5 Interventions	31	8.3.2 Diagnostic work-up	42
7.1.5.1 Ascending aortic aneurysms	31	8.3.3 Surgical or catheter interventional treatment	42
7.1.5.2 Aortic arch aneurysms	31	9. Atherosclerotic lesions of the aorta	43
7.1.5.3 Descending aortic aneurysms	32	9.1 Thromboembolic aortic disease	43
7.2 Abdominal aortic aneurysm	33	9.1.1 Epidemiology	43
7.2.1 Definition	33	9.1.2 Diagnosis	43
7.2.2 Risk factors	33	9.1.3 Therapy	43
7.2.3 Natural history	33	9.1.3.1 Antithrombotics (antiplatelets vs. vitamin K antagonists)	43
7.2.4 Diagnosis	33	9.1.3.2 Lipid-lowering agents	44
7.2.4.1 Presentation	33	9.1.3.3 Surgical and interventional approach	44
7.2.4.2 Diagnostic imaging	33	9.2 Mobile aortic thrombosis	44
7.2.4.3 Screening abdominal aortic aneurysm in high-risk populations	33	9.3 Atherosclerotic aortic occlusion	44
7.2.5 Management of small abdominal aortic aneurysms	34	9.4 Calcified aorta	44
7.2.5.1 Management of risk factors	34	9.5 Coral reef aorta	44
7.2.5.2 Medical therapy	34	10. Aortitis	44
7.2.5.3 Follow-up of small abdominal aortic aneurysm	35	10.1 Definition, types, and diagnosis	44
7.2.6 Abdominal aortic aneurysm repair	35	10.1.1 Giant cell arteritis	45
7.2.6.1 Pre-operative cardiovascular evaluation	35	10.1.2 Takayasu arteritis	45
7.2.6.2 Aortic repair in asymptomatic abdominal aortic aneurysm	35	10.2 Treatment	45
7.2.6.3 Open aortic aneurysm repair	35	11. Aortic tumours	45
7.2.6.4 Endovascular aortic aneurysm repair	36	11.1 Primary malignant tumours of the aorta	45
7.2.6.5 Comparative considerations of abdominal aortic aneurysm management	36		

12. Long-term follow-up of aortic diseases	46
12.1 Chronic aortic dissection	46
12.1.1 Definition and classification	46
12.1.2 Presentation	46
12.1.3 Diagnosis	46
12.1.4 Treatment	46
12.2 Follow-up after thoracic aortic intervention	47
12.2.1 Clinical follow-up	47
12.2.2 Imaging after thoracic endovascular aortic repair	47
12.2.3 Imaging after thoracic aortic surgery	47
12.3 Follow-up of patients after intervention for abdominal aortic aneurysm	47
12.3.1 Follow-up after endovascular aortic repair	47
12.3.2 Follow-up after open surgery	47
13. Gaps in evidence	48
14. Appendix	48
15. Web addenda	49
References	49

Abbreviations and acronyms

3D	three-dimensional
AAA	abdominal aortic aneurysm
AAS	acute aortic syndrome
ACC	American College of Cardiology
ACE	angiotensin-converting enzyme
AD	Aortic dissection
ADAM	Aneurysm Detection and Management
AHA	American Heart Association
AJAX	Amsterdam Acute Aneurysm
AO	aorta
AOS	aneurysms-osteoarthritis syndrome
ARCH	Aortic Arch Related Cerebral Hazard
ATS	arterial tortuosity syndrome
BAV	bicuspid aortic valve
BSA	body surface area
CI	confidence interval
CoA	coarctation of the aorta
CPG	Committee for Practice Guidelines
CSF	cerebrospinal fluid
CT	computed tomography
DREAM	Dutch Randomized Aneurysm Management
DUS	Doppler ultrasound
EBCT	electron beam computed tomography
ECG	electrocardiogram
EDS	Ehlers-Danlos syndrome
EDSIV	Ehlers-Danlos syndrome type IV
ESC	European Society of Cardiology
ESH	European Society of Hypertension
EVAR	endovascular aortic repair
FDG	¹⁸ F-fluorodeoxyglucose
FL	false lumen
GCA	giant cell arteritis
GERAADA	German Registry for Acute Aortic Dissection Type A
IAD	iatrogenic aortic dissection

IMH	intramural haematoma
INSTEAD	Investigation of Stent Grafts in Patients with type B Aortic Dissection
IRAD	International Registry of Aortic Dissection
IVUS	intravascular ultrasound
LCC	left coronary cusp
LDS	Loeys-Dietz syndrome
MASS	Multicentre Aneurysm Screening Study
MESA	Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis
MPR	multiplanar reconstruction
MRA	magnetic resonance angiography
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
MSCT	multislice computed tomography
NA	not applicable
NCC	non-coronary cusp
ns-TAAD	non-syndromic thoracic aortic aneurysms and dissection
OR	odds ratio
OVER	Open Versus Endovascular Repair
OxVasc	Oxford Vascular study
PARTNER	Placement of AoRtic TraNscathetER Valves
PAU	penetrating aortic ulcer
PICSS	Patent Foramen Ovale in Cryptogenic Stroke study
PET	positron emission tomography
RCCA	right common carotid artery
RCC	right coronary cusp
RCT	randomized, clinical trial
RR	relative risk
SIRS	systemic inflammatory response
SMC	smooth muscle cell
TAA	thoracic aortic aneurysm
TAAD	thoracic aortic aneurysms and dissection
TAI	traumatic aortic injury
TEVAR	thoracic endovascular aortic repair
TGF	transforming growth factor
TI	separate thyroid artery (A. thyroidea)
TL	true lumen
TOE	transoesophageal echocardiography
TS	Turner Syndrome
TTE	transthoracic echocardiography
UKSAT	UK Small Aneurysm Trial
ULP	ulcer-like projection
WARSS	Warfarin-Aspirin Recurrent Stroke Study

1. Preamble

Guidelines summarize and evaluate all available evidence at the time of the writing process, on a particular issue with the aim of assisting health professionals in selecting the best management strategies for an individual patient, with a given condition, taking into account the impact on outcome, as well as the risk-benefit-ratio of particular diagnostic or therapeutic means. Guidelines and recommendations should help the health professionals to make decisions in their daily practice. However, the final decisions concerning an individual patient must be made by the responsible health professional(s) in consultation with the patient and caregiver as appropriate.

A great number of Guidelines have been issued in recent years by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) as well as by other societies and organisations. Because of the impact on clinical practice, quality criteria for the development of guidelines have been established in order to make all decisions transparent to the user. The recommendations for formulating and issuing ESC Guidelines can be found on the ESC website (<http://www.escardio.org/guidelines-surveys/esc-guidelines/about/Pages/rules-writing.aspx>). ESC Guidelines represent the official position of the ESC on a given topic and are regularly updated.

Members of this Task Force were selected by the ESC to represent professionals involved with the medical care of patients with this pathology. Selected experts in the field undertook a comprehensive review of the published evidence for management (including diagnosis, treatment, prevention and rehabilitation) of a given condition according to ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG) policy. A critical evaluation of diagnostic and therapeutic procedures was performed including assessment of the risk-benefit-ratio. Estimates of expected health outcomes for larger populations were included, where data exist. The level of evidence and the strength of recommendation of particular management options were weighed and graded according to predefined scales, as outlined in *Tables 1* and *2*.

The experts of the writing and reviewing panels filled in declarations of interest forms which might be perceived as real or potential sources of conflicts of interest. These forms were compiled into one file and can be found on the ESC website (<http://www.escardio.org/guidelines>). Any changes in declarations of interest that arise during the writing period must be notified to the ESC and updated. The Task Force received its entire financial support from the ESC without any involvement from healthcare industry.

The ESC CPG supervises and coordinates the preparation of new Guidelines produced by Task Forces, expert groups or consensus panels. The Committee is also responsible for the endorsement process of these Guidelines. The ESC Guidelines undergo extensive

review by the CPG and external experts. After appropriate revisions it is approved by all the experts involved in the Task Force. The finalized document is approved by the CPG for publication in the *European Heart Journal*. It was developed after careful consideration of the scientific and medical knowledge and the evidence available at the time of their dating.

The task of developing ESC Guidelines covers not only the integration of the most recent research, but also the creation of educational tools and implementation programmes for the recommendations. To implement the guidelines, condensed pocket guidelines versions, summary slides, booklets with essential messages, summary cards for non-specialists, electronic version for digital applications (smartphones etc) are produced. These versions are abridged and, thus, if needed, one should always refer to the full text version which is freely available on the ESC website. The National Societies of the ESC are encouraged to endorse, translate and implement the ESC Guidelines. Implementation programmes are needed because it has been shown that the outcome of disease may be favourably influenced by the thorough application of clinical recommendations.

Surveys and registries are needed to verify that real-life daily practice is in keeping with what is recommended in the guidelines, thus completing the loop between clinical research, writing of guidelines, disseminating them and implementing them into clinical practice.

Health professionals are encouraged to take the ESC Guidelines fully into account when exercising their clinical judgment as well as in the determination and the implementation of preventive, diagnostic or therapeutic medical strategies. However, the ESC Guidelines do not override in any way whatsoever the individual responsibility of health professionals to make appropriate and accurate decisions in consideration of each patient's health condition and in consultation with that patient and the patient's caregiver where appropriate and/or necessary. It is also the health professional's responsibility to verify

Table 1 Classes of recommendations

Classes of recommendations	Definition	Suggested wording to use
Class I	Evidence and/or general agreement that a given treatment or procedure is beneficial, useful, effective.	Is recommended/is indicated
Class II	Conflicting evidence and/or a divergence of opinion about the usefulness/efficacy of the given treatment or procedure.	
<i>Class IIa</i>	<i>Weight of evidence/opinion is in favour of usefulness/efficacy.</i>	Should be considered
<i>Class IIb</i>	<i>Usefulness/efficacy is less well established by evidence/opinion.</i>	May be considered
Class III	Evidence or general agreement that the given treatment or procedure is not useful/effective, and in some cases may be harmful.	Is not recommended

Table 2 Levels of evidence

Level of evidence A	Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-analyses.
Level of evidence B	Data derived from a single randomized clinical trial or large non-randomized studies.
Level of evidence C	Consensus of opinion of the experts and/or small studies, retrospective studies, registries.

the rules and regulations applicable to drugs and devices at the time of prescription.

2. Introduction

In addition to coronary and peripheral artery diseases, aortic diseases contribute to the wide spectrum of arterial diseases: aortic aneurysms, acute aortic syndromes (AAS) including aortic dissection (AD), intramural haematoma (IMH), penetrating atherosclerotic ulcer (PAU) and traumatic aortic injury (TAI), pseudoaneurysm, aortic rupture, atherosclerotic and inflammatory affections, as well as genetic diseases (e.g. Marfan syndrome) and congenital abnormalities including the coarctation of the aorta (CoA).

Similarly to other arterial diseases, aortic diseases may be diagnosed after a long period of subclinical development or they may have an acute presentation. Acute aortic syndrome is often the first sign of the disease, which needs rapid diagnosis and decision-making to reduce the extremely poor prognosis.

Recently, the Global Burden Disease 2010 project demonstrated that the overall global death rate from aortic aneurysms and AD increased from 2.49 per 100 000 to 2.78 per 100 000 inhabitants between 1990 and 2010, with higher rates for men.^{1,2} On the other hand the prevalence and incidence of abdominal aortic aneurysms have declined over the last two decades. The burden increases with age, and men are more often affected than women.²

The ESC's Task Force on Aortic Dissection, published in 2001, was one of the first documents in the world relating to disease of the aorta and was endorsed by the American College of Cardiology (ACC).³ Since that time, the diagnostic methods for imaging the aorta have improved significantly, particularly by the development of multi-slice computed tomography (MSCT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technologies. Data on new endovascular and surgical approaches have increased substantially during the past 10 years. Data from multiple registries have been published, such as the International Registry of Aortic Dissection (IRAD)⁴ and the German Registry for Acute Aortic Dissection Type A (GERAADA),⁵ consensus documents,^{6,7} (including a recent guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with thoracic aortic disease authored by multiple American societies),⁸ as well as nationwide and regional population-based studies and position papers.^{9–11} The ESC therefore decided to publish updated guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of aortic diseases related to the thoracic and abdominal aorta. Emphasis is made on rapid and efficacious diagnostic strategies and therapeutic management, including the medical, endovascular, and surgical approaches, which are often combined. In addition, genetic

disorders, congenital abnormalities, aortic aneurysms, and AD are discussed in more detail.

In the following section, the normal- and the ageing aorta are described. Assessment of the aorta includes clinical examination and laboratory testing, but is based mainly on imaging techniques using ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), and MRI. Endovascular therapies are playing an increasingly important role in the treatment of aortic diseases, while surgery remains necessary in many situations. In addition to acute coronary syndromes, a prompt differential diagnosis between acute coronary syndrome and AAS is difficult—but very important, because treatment of these emergency situations is very different. Thoracic- and abdominal aortic aneurysms (TAA and AAA, respectively) are often incidental findings, but screening programmes for AAA in primary care are progressively being implemented in Europe. As survival rates after an acute aortic event improve steadily, a specific section is dedicated for chronic AD and follow-up of patients after the acute phase of AAS. Special emphasis is put on genetic and congenital aortic diseases, because preventive measures play an important role in avoiding subsequent complications. Aortic diseases of elderly patients often present as thromboembolic diseases or atherosclerotic stenosis. The calcified aorta can be a major problem for surgical or interventional measures. The calcified 'coral reef' aorta has to be considered as an important differential diagnosis. Aortitis and aortic tumours are also discussed.

Importantly, this document highlights the value of a holistic approach, viewing the aorta as a 'whole organ'; indeed, in many cases (e.g. genetic disorders) tandem lesions of the aorta may exist, as illustrated by the increased probability of TAA in the case of AAA, making an arbitrary distinction between the two regions—with TAAs managed in the past by 'cardiovascular surgeons' and AAAs by 'vascular surgeons'—although this differentiation may exist in academic terms.

These Guidelines are the result of a close collaboration between physicians from many different areas of expertise: cardiology, radiology, cardiac and vascular surgery, and genetics. We have worked together with the aim of providing the medical community with a guide for rapid diagnosis and decision-making in aortic diseases. In the future, treatment of such patients should at best be concentrated in 'aorta clinics', with the involvement of a multidisciplinary team, to ensure that optimal clinical decisions are made for each individual, especially during the chronic phases of the disease. Indeed, for most aortic surgeries, a hospital volume–outcome relationship can be demonstrated. Regarding the thoracic aorta, in a prospective cardiothoracic surgery-specific clinical database including over 13 000 patients undergoing elective aortic root and aortic valve-ascending aortic procedures, an increasing institutional case volume was associated with lower unadjusted and risk-adjusted mortality.¹² The operative mortality was 58% less when undergoing surgery in the highest-, rather than in the lowest-volume centre. When volume was assessed as a continuous variable, the relationship was non-linear, with a significant negative association between risk-adjusted mortality and procedural volume observed in the lower volume range (procedural volumes <30–40 cases/year).¹² A hospital volume–outcome relationship analysis for acute Type A AD repair in the United States also showed a significant inverse correlation between hospital procedural volume and mortality (34% in low-volume hospitals vs. 25% in high-volume hospitals; *P* = 0.003) for

patients undergoing urgent or emergent repair of acute Type A AD.¹³ A similar relationship has been reported for the thoraco-abdominal aortic aneurysm repair, demonstrating a near doubling of in-hospital mortality at low- (median volume 1 procedure/year) in comparison with high-volume hospitals (median volume 12 procedures/year; 27 vs. 15% mortality; $P < 0.001$)¹⁴ and intact and ruptured open descending thoracic aneurysm repair.¹⁵ Likewise, several reports have demonstrated the volume–outcome relationship for AAA interventions. In an analysis of the outcomes after AAA open repair in 131 German hospitals,¹⁶ an independent relationship between annual volume and mortality has been reported. In a nationwide analysis of outcomes in UK hospitals, elective AAA surgical repair performed in high-volume centres was significantly associated with volume-related improvements in mortality and hospital stay, while no relationship between volume and outcome was reported for ruptured AAA repairs.¹⁷ The results for endovascular therapy are more contradictory. While no volume–outcome relationship has been found for thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR),¹⁸ one report from the UK suggests such a relationship for endovascular aortic repair (EVAR).¹⁹ Overall, these data support the need to establish centres of excellence, so-called ‘aortic teams’, throughout Europe; however, in emergency cases (e.g. Type A AD or ruptured AAA) the transfer of a patient should be avoided, if sufficient medical and surgical facilities and expertise are available locally.

Finally, this document lists major gaps of evidence in many situations in order to delineate key directions for further research.

3. The normal and the ageing aorta

The aorta is the ultimate conduit, carrying, in an average lifetime, almost 200 million litres of blood to the body. It is divided by the diaphragm into the thoracic and abdominal aorta (Figure 1). The aortic wall is composed histologically of three layers: a thin inner *tunica intima* lined by the endothelium; a thick *tunica media* characterized by concentric sheets of elastic and collagen fibres with the border zone of the *lamina elastica interna* and *-externa*, as well as smooth muscle cells; and the outer *tunica adventitia* containing mainly collagen, *vasa vasorum*, and lymphatics.^{20,21}

In addition to the conduit function, the aorta plays an important role in the control of systemic vascular resistance and heart rate, via pressure-responsive receptors located in the ascending aorta and aortic arch. An increase in aortic pressure results in a decrease in heart rate and systemic vascular resistance, whereas a decrease in aortic pressure results in an increase in heart rate and systemic vascular resistance.²⁰

Through its elasticity, the aorta has the role of a ‘second pump’ (Windkessel function) during diastole, which is of the utmost importance—not only for coronary perfusion.

In healthy adults, aortic diameters do not usually exceed 40 mm and taper gradually downstream. They are variably influenced by several factors including age, gender, body size [height, weight, body surface area (BSA)] and blood pressure.^{21–26} In this regard, the rate of aortic expansion is about 0.9 mm in men and 0.7 mm in women for each decade of life.²⁶ This slow but progressive aortic




Figure 1 Segments of the ascending and descending aorta. rPA = right pulmonary artery.

dilation over mid-to-late adulthood is thought to be a consequence of ageing, related to a higher collagen-to-elastin ratio, along with increased stiffness and pulse pressure.^{20,23}

Current data from athletes suggest that exercise training *per se* has only a limited impact on physiological aortic root remodelling, as the upper limit (99th percentile) values are 40 mm in men and 34 mm in women.²⁷

4. Assessment of the aorta

4.1 Clinical examination

While aortic diseases may be clinically silent in many cases, a broad range of symptoms may be related to different aortic diseases:

- Acute deep, aching or throbbing chest or abdominal pain that can spread to the back, buttocks, groin or legs, suggestive of AD or other AAS, and best described as ‘feeling of rupture’.
- Cough, shortness of breath, or difficult or painful swallowing in large TAAs.
- Constant or intermittent abdominal pain or discomfort, a pulsating feeling in the abdomen, or feeling of fullness after minimal food intake in large AAAs.
- Stroke, transient ischaemic attack, or claudication secondary to aortic atherosclerosis.
- Hoarseness due to left laryngeal nerve palsy in rapidly progressing lesions.

The assessment of medical history should focus on an optimal understanding of the patient’s complaints, personal cardiovascular risk factors, and family history of arterial diseases, especially the presence of aneurysms and any history of AD or sudden death.

In some situations, physical examination can be directed by the symptoms and includes palpation and auscultation of the abdomen and flank in the search for prominent arterial pulsations or turbulent blood flow causing murmurs, although the latter is very infrequent. Blood pressure should be compared between arms, and pulses should be looked for. The symptoms and clinical examination of patients with AD will be addressed in section 6.

4.2 Laboratory testing

Baseline laboratory assessment includes cardiovascular risk factors.²⁸ Laboratory testing plays a minor role in the diagnosis of acute aortic diseases but is useful for differential diagnoses. Measuring biomarkers early after onset of symptoms may result in earlier confirmation of the correct diagnosis by imaging techniques, leading to earlier institution of potentially life-saving management.

4.3 Imaging

The aorta is a complex geometric structure and several measurements are useful to characterize its shape and size (*Web Table 1*). If feasible, diameter measurements should be made perpendicular to the axis of flow of the aorta (see *Figure 2* and *Web Figures 1–4*).

Standardized measurements will help to better assess changes in aortic size over time and avoid erroneous findings of arterial growth. Meticulous side-by-side comparisons and measurements of serial examinations (preferably using the same imaging technique and method) are crucial, to exclude random error.

Measurements of aortic diameters are not always straightforward and some limitations inherent to all imaging techniques need to be acknowledged. First, no imaging modality has perfect resolution and the precise depiction of the aortic walls depends on whether appropriate electrocardiogram (ECG) gating is employed. Also, reliable detection of aortic diameter at the same aortic segment over time requires standardized measurement; this includes similar determination of edges (inner-to-inner, or leading edge-to-leading edge, or outer-to-outer diameter measurement, according to the imaging modality).^{41,43,57,58} Whether the measurement should be done during systole or diastole has not yet been accurately assessed, but diastolic images give the best reproducibility.

It is recommended that maximum aneurysm diameter be measured perpendicular to the centreline of the vessel with three-dimensional (3D) reconstructed CT scan images whenever possible (*Figure 2*).⁵⁹ This approach offers more accurate and reproducible measurements of true aortic dimensions, compared with axial cross-section diameters, particularly in tortuous or kinked vessels where the vessel axis and the patient’s cranio-caudal axis are not parallel.⁶⁰ If 3D and multi-planar reconstructions are not available, the minor axis of the ellipse (smaller diameter) is generally a closer approximation of the true maximum aneurysm diameter than the major axis diameter, particularly in tortuous aneurysms.⁵⁸ However, the diseased aorta is no longer necessarily a round structure, and, particularly in tortuous aneurysms, eccentricity of measurements can be caused by an oblique off-axis cut through the aorta. The minor axis measurements may underestimate the true aneurysm dimensions (*Web Figures 1–4*). Among patients with a minor axis of <50 mm, 7% have an aneurysmal diameter >55 mm as measured by major axis on curved multi-planar reformations.⁶¹ Compared with axial short-axis or minor-axis diameter measurements, maximum diameter measurements perpendicular to the vessel centreline have higher reproducibility.⁶⁰ Inter- and intra-observer variability of CT for AAA—defined as Bland-Altman limits of agreement—are approximately 5 mm and 3 mm, respectively.^{43,61–63} Thus, any change of >5 mm on serial CT can be considered a significant change, but smaller changes are difficult to interpret. Compared with CT, ultrasound systematically underestimates AAA dimensions by an average of 1–3 mm.^{61,62,63,64,65} It is recommended that the identical imaging technique be used for serial measurements and that all serial scans be reviewed before making therapeutic decisions.

There is no consensus, for any technique, on whether the aortic wall should be included or excluded in the aortic diameter measurements, although the difference may be large, depending, for instance, on the amount of thrombotic lining of the arterial wall.⁶⁵ However, recent prognostic data (especially for AAAs) are derived from measurements that *include* the wall.⁶⁶

4.3.1 Chest X-ray

Chest X-ray obtained for other indications may detect abnormalities of aortic contour or size as an incidental finding, prompting further imaging. In patients with suspected AAS, chest X-ray may occasionally identify other causes of symptoms. Chest X-ray is, however, only of limited value for diagnosing an AAS, particularly if confined to the ascending aorta.⁶⁷ In particular, a normal aortic silhouette is not sufficient to rule out the presence of an aneurysm of the ascending aorta.

4.3.2 Ultrasound

4.3.2.1 Transthoracic echocardiography

Echocardiographic evaluation of the aorta is a routine part of the standard echocardiographic examination.⁶⁸ Although transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) is not the technique of choice for full assessment of the aorta, it is useful for the diagnosis and follow-up of some aortic segments. Transthoracic echocardiography is the most frequently used technique for measuring proximal aortic segments in clinical practice. The aortic root is visualized in the parasternal long-axis and modified apical five-chamber views; however, in these views the aortic walls are seen with suboptimal lateral resolution (*Web Figure 1*).

Modified subcostal artery may be helpful. Transthoracic echocardiography also permits assessment of the aortic valve, which is often involved in diseases of the ascending aorta. Of paramount importance for evaluation of the thoracic aorta is the suprasternal view: the aortic arch analysis should be included in all transthoracic echocardiography exams. This view primarily depicts the aortic arch and the three major supra-aortic vessels with variable lengths of the ascending and descending aorta; however, it is not possible to see the entire thoracic aorta by TTE. A short-axis view of the descending aorta can be imaged posteriorly to the left atrium in the parasternal long-axis view and in the four-chamber view. By 90° rotation of the transducer, a long-axis view is obtained and a median part of the descending thoracic aorta may be visualized. In contrast, the abdominal descending aorta is relatively easily visualized to the left of the inferior vena cava in sagittal (superior-inferior) subcostal views.

Transthoracic echocardiography is an excellent imaging modality for serial measurement of maximal aortic root diameters,⁵⁷ for evaluation of aortic regurgitation, and timing for elective surgery in cases of TAA. Since the predominant area of dilation is in the proximal aorta, TTE often suffices for screening.⁵⁷ Via the suprasternal view, aortic arch aneurysm, plaque calcification, thrombus, or a dissection membrane may be detectable if image quality is adequate. From this window, aortic coarctation can be suspected by continuous-wave Doppler; a patent *ductus arteriosus* may also be identifiable by colour Doppler. Using appropriate views (see above) aneurysmal dilation, external compression, intra-aortic thrombi, and dissection flaps can be imaged and flow patterns in the abdominal aorta assessed. The lower abdominal aorta, below the renal arteries, can be visualized to rule out AAA.

4.3.2.2 Transoesophageal echocardiography

The relative proximity of the oesophagus and the thoracic aorta permits high-resolution images with higher-frequency transoesophageal echocardiography (TOE) (*Web Figure 2*).⁶⁸ Also, multi-plane imaging permits improved assessment of the aorta from its root to the descending aorta.⁶⁸ Transoesophageal echocardiography is semi-invasive and requires sedation and strict blood pressure control, as well as exclusion of oesophageal diseases. The most important TOE views of the ascending aorta, aortic root, and aortic valve are the high TOE long-axis (at 120–150°) and short-axis (at 30–60°).⁶⁸ Owing to interposition of the right bronchus and trachea, a short segment of the distal ascending aorta, just before the innominate artery, remains invisible (a 'blind spot'). Images of the ascending aorta often contain artefacts due to reverberations from the posterior wall of the ascending aorta or the posterior wall of the right

pulmonary artery, and present as aortic intraluminal horizontal lines moving in parallel with the reverberating structures, as can be ascertained by M-mode tracings.^{69,70} The descending aorta is easily visualized in short-axis (0°) and long-axis (90°) views from the coeliac trunk to the left subclavian artery. Further withdrawal of the probe shows the aortic arch.

Real-time 3D TOE appears to offer some advantages over two-dimensional TOE, but its clinical incremental value is not yet well-assessed.⁷¹

4.3.2.3 Abdominal ultrasound

Abdominal ultrasound (*Web Figure 3*) remains the mainstay imaging modality for abdominal aortic diseases because of its ability to accurately measure the aortic size, to detect wall lesions such as mural thrombus or plaques, and because of its wide availability, painlessness, and low cost. Duplex ultrasound provides additional information on aortic flow.

Colour Doppler is of great interest in the case of abdominal aorta dissection, to detect perfusion of both false and true lumen and potential re-entry sites or obstruction of tributaries (e.g. the iliac arteries).⁷² Nowadays Doppler tissue imaging enables the assessment of aortic compliance, and 3D ultrasound imaging may add important insights regarding its geometry, especially in the case of aneurysm. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound is useful in detecting, localizing, and quantifying endoleaks when this technique is used to follow patients after EVAR.⁷³ For optimized imaging, abdominal aorta echography is performed after 8–12 hours of fasting that reduces intestinal gas. Usually 2.5–5 MHz curvilinear array transducers provide optimal visualization of the aorta, but the phased-array probes used for echocardiography may give sufficient image quality in many patients.⁷⁴ Ultrasound evaluation of the abdominal aorta is usually performed with the patient in the supine position, but lateral decubitus positions may also be useful. Scanning the abdominal aorta usually consists of longitudinal and transverse images, from the diaphragm to the bifurcation of the aorta. Before diameter measurement, an image of the aorta should be obtained, as circular as possible, to ensure that the image chosen is perpendicular to the longitudinal axis. In this case, the anterior-posterior diameter is measured from the outer edge to the outer edge and this is considered to represent the aortic diameter. Transverse diameter measurement is less accurate. In ambiguous cases, especially if the aorta is tortuous, the anterior-posterior diameter can be measured in the longitudinal view, with the diameter perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the aorta. In a review of the reproducibility of aorta diameter measurement,⁷⁵ the inter-observer reproducibility was evaluated by the limits of agreement and ranged from ± 1.9 mm to ± 10.5 mm for the anterior-posterior diameter, while a variation of ± 5 mm is usually considered 'acceptable'. This should be put into perspective with data obtained during follow-up of patients, so that trivial progressions, below these limits, are clinically difficult to ascertain.

4.3.3 Computed tomography

Computed tomography plays a central role in the diagnosis, risk stratification, and management of aortic diseases. Its advantages over other imaging modalities include the short time required for image acquisition and processing, the ability to obtain a complete

3D dataset of the entire aorta, and its widespread availability (Figure 2).

Electrocardiogram (ECG)-gated acquisition protocols are crucial in reducing motion artefacts of the aortic root and thoracic aorta.^{76,77} High-end MSCT scanners (16 detectors or higher) are preferred for their higher spatial and temporal resolution compared with lower-end devices.^{8,76–79} Non-enhanced CT, followed by CT contrast-enhanced angiography, is the recommended protocol, particularly when IMH or AD are suspected. Delayed images are recommended after stent-graft repair of aortic aneurysms, to detect endoleaks. In suitable candidates scanned on 64-detector systems or higher-end devices, simultaneous CT coronary angiography may allow confirmation or exclusion of the presence of significant coronary artery disease before transcatheter or surgical repair. Computed tomography allows detection of the location of the diseased segment, the maximal diameter of dilation, the presence of atheroma,

thrombus, IMH, penetrating ulcers, calcifications and, in selected cases, the extension of the disease to the aortic branches. In AD, CT can delineate the presence and extent of the dissection flap, detect areas of compromised perfusion, and contrast extravasation, indicating rupture; it can provide accurate measurements of the sinuses of Valsalva, the sinotubular junction, and the aortic valve morphology. Additionally, extending the scan field-of-view to the upper thoracic branches and the iliac and femoral arteries may assist in planning surgical or endovascular repair procedures.

In most patients with suspected AD, CT is the preferred initial imaging modality.⁴ In several reports, the diagnostic accuracy of CT for the detection of AD or IMH involving the thoracic aorta has been reported as excellent (pooled sensitivity 100%; pooled specificity 98%).⁷⁶ Similar diagnostic accuracy has been reported for detecting traumatic aortic injury.^{80,81} Other features of AAS, such as penetrating ulcers, thrombus, pseudo-aneurysm, and rupture are




Figure 2 Thoracic and abdominal aorta in a three-dimensional reconstruction (left lateral image), parasagittale multiplanar reconstruction (MPR) along the centreline (left middle part), straightened-MPR along the centreline with given landmarks (A–I) (right side), orthogonal to the centreline orientated cross-sections at the landmarks (A–J). Landmarks A–J should be used to report aortic diameters: (A) sinuses of Valsalva; (B) sinotubular junction; (C) mid ascending aorta (as indicated); (D) proximal aortic arch (aorta at the origin of the brachiocephalic trunk); (E) mid aortic arch (between left common carotid and subclavian arteries); (F) proximal descending thoracic aorta (approximately 2 cm distal to left subclavian artery); (G) mid descending aorta (level of the pulmonary arteries as easily identifiable landmarks, as indicated); (H) at diaphragm; (I) at the celiac axis origin; (J) right before aortic bifurcation. (Provided by F Nensa, Institute of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Essen.)

readily depicted by CT, but data on accuracy are scarce and published reports limited.⁸² The drawbacks of CT angiography consist of administration of iodinated contrast agent, which may cause allergic reactions or renal failure. Also the use of ionizing radiation may limit its use in young people, especially in women, and limits its use for serial follow-up. Indeed, the average effective radiation dose during aortic computed tomography angiography (CT) is estimated to be within the 10–15 mSv range. The risk of cancer related to this radiation is substantially higher in women than in men. The risk is reduced (plateauing) beyond the age of 50 years.⁸³

4.3.4 Positron emission tomography/computed tomography

Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging is based on the distribution of the glucose analogue ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG), which is taken up with high affinity by hypermetabolic cells (e.g. inflammatory cells), and can be used to detect vascular inflammation in large vessels. The advantages of PET may be combined with CT imaging with good resolution. Several publications suggest that FDG PET may be used to assess aortic involvement with inflammatory vascular disease (e.g. Takayasu arteritis, GCA), to detect endovascular graft infection, and to track inflammatory activity over a given period of treatment.^{84–86} PET may also be used as a surrogate for the activity of a lesion and as a surrogate for disease progression; however, the published literature is limited to small case series or anecdotal reports.⁸⁶ The value of detection of aortic graft infection is under investigation.⁸⁷

4.3.5 Magnetic resonance imaging

With its ability to delineate the intrinsic contrast between blood flow and vessel wall, MRI is well suited for diagnosing aortic diseases (Web Figure 4). The salient features necessary for clinical decision-making, such as maximal aortic diameter, shape and extent of the aorta, involvement of aortic branches in aneurysmal dilation or dissection, relationship to adjacent structures, and presence of mural thrombus, are reliably depicted by MRI.

In the acute setting, MRI is limited because it is less accessible, it is more difficult to monitor unstable patients during imaging, and it has longer acquisition times than CT.^{79,88} Magnetic resonance imaging does not require ionizing radiation or iodinated contrast and is

therefore highly suitable for serial follow-up studies in (younger) patients with known aortic disease.

Magnetic resonance imaging of the aorta usually begins with spin-echo black blood sequences to outline its shape and diameter, and depicting an intimal flap in the presence of AD.⁸⁹ Gradient-echo sequences follow in stable patients, demonstrating changes in aortic diameters during the cardiac cycle and blood flow turbulences—for instance, at entry/re-entry sites in AD, distal to bicuspid valves, or in aortic regurgitation. Contrast-enhanced MRI with intravenous gadolinium can be performed rapidly, depicting the aorta and the arch vessels as a 3D angiogram, without the need for ECG-gating. Gadolinium-enhanced sequences can be performed to differentiate slow flow from thrombus in the false lumen (FL). Importantly, the evaluation of both source and maximal intensity projection images is crucial for diagnosis because these images can occasionally fail to show the intimal flap. Evaluation of both source and maximal intensity projection images is necessary because these images may sometimes miss the dissecting membrane and the delineation of the aortic wall. Time-resolved 3D flow-sensitive MRI, with full coverage of the thoracic aorta, provides the unique opportunity to visualize and measure blood flow patterns. Quantitative parameters, such as pulse wave velocities and estimates of wall shear stress can be determined.⁹⁰ The disadvantage of MRI is the difficulty of evaluating aortic valve calcification of the anchoring zones, which is important for sealing of stent grafts. The potential of gadolinium nephrotoxicity seems to be lower than for CT contrast agents, but it has to be taken into account, related to renal function.

4.3.6 Aortography

Catheter-based invasive aortography visualizes the aortic lumen, side branches, and collaterals. As a luminography technique, angiography provides exact information about the shape and size of the aorta, as well as any anomalies (Web Figures 5 and 6), although diseases of the aortic wall itself are missed, as well as thrombus-filled discrete aortic aneurysms. Additionally, angiographic techniques permit assessment and, if necessary, treatment of coronary artery and aortic branch disease. Finally, it is possible to evaluate the condition of the aortic valve and left ventricular function.

On the other hand, angiography is an invasive procedure requiring the use of contrast media. It only shows the lumen of the aorta and,

Table 3 Comparison of methods for imaging the aorta

Advantages/disadvantages	TTE	TOE	CT	MRI	Aortography
Ease of use	+++	++	+++	++	+
Diagnostic reliability	+	+++	+++	+++	++
Bedside/interventional use ^a	++	++	–	–	++
Serial examinations	++	+	++(+) ^b	+++	–
Aortic wall visualization ^c	+	+++	+++	+++	–
Cost	–	–	–	–	–
Radiation	0	0	–	–	–
Nephrotoxicity	0	0	–	–	–

+ means a positive remark and—means a negative remark. The number of signs indicates the estimated potential value

^aIVUS can be used to guide interventions (see web addenda)

^b+++ only for follow-up after aortic stenting (metallic struts), otherwise limit radiation

^cPET can be used to visualize suspected aortic inflammatory disease

CT = computed tomography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; TOE = transoesophageal echocardiography; TTE = transthoracic echocardiography.

hence, can miss discrete aortic aneurysms. In addition, the technique is less commonly available than TTE or CT. For this reason the non-invasive imaging modalities have largely replaced aortography in first-line diagnostic testing, both in patients with suspected AAS and with suspected or known chronic AD. However, aortography may be useful if findings by non-invasive techniques are ambiguous or incomplete. A comparison of the major imaging tools used for making the diagnosis of aortic diseases can be found in *Table 3*.

4.3.7 Intravascular ultrasound

To optimize visualization of the aortic wall, intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) can be used, particularly during endovascular treatment (*Web Figure 7*). The technique of intracardiac echocardiography is even more sophisticated (*Web Figure 8*).

Recommendations on imaging of the aorta

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref ^c
It is recommended that diameters be measured at pre-specified anatomical landmarks, perpendicular to the longitudinal axis.	I	C	
In the case of repetitive imaging of the aorta over time, to assess change in diameter, it is recommended that the imaging modality with the lowest iatrogenic risk be used.	I	C	
In the case of repetitive imaging of the aorta over time to assess change in diameter, it is recommended that the same imaging modality be used, with a similar method of measurement.	I	C	
It is recommended that all relevant aortic diameters and abnormalities be reported according to the aortic segmentation.	I	C	
It is recommended that renal function, pregnancy, and history of allergy to contrast media be assessed, in order to select the optimal imaging modality of the aorta with minimal radiation exposure, except for emergency cases.	I	C	
The risk of radiation exposure should be assessed, especially in younger adults and in those undergoing repetitive imaging.	IIa	B	72
Aortic diameters may be indexed to the body surface area, especially for the outliers in body size.	IIb	B	19,20, 46

^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.
^cReference(s) supporting recommendations.

4.4 Assessment of aortic stiffness

Arterial walls stiffen with age. Aortic stiffness is one of the earliest detectable manifestations of adverse structural and functional changes within the vessel wall, and is increasingly recognized as a surrogate endpoint for cardiovascular disease. Aortic stiffness has independent predictive value for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, fatal and non-fatal coronary events, and fatal strokes in patients with various

levels of cardiovascular risk, with a higher predictive value in subjects with a higher baseline cardiovascular risk.^{92,93} Several non-invasive methods are currently used to assess aortic stiffness, such as pulse wave velocity and augmentation index. Pulse wave velocity is calculated as the distance travelled by the pulse wave, divided by the time taken to travel the distance. Increased arterial stiffness results in increased speed of the pulse wave in the artery. Carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity is the 'gold standard' for measuring aortic stiffness, given its simplicity, accuracy, reproducibility, and strong predictive value for adverse outcomes. Recent hypertension guidelines have recommended measurement of arterial stiffness as part of a comprehensive evaluation of patients with hypertension, in order to detect large artery stiffening with high predictive value and reproducibility.⁹⁴ Following a recent expert consensus statement in the 2013 European Society of Hypertension (ESH)/ESC Guidelines,⁹⁴ a threshold for the pulse wave velocity of >10 m/s has been suggested, which used the corrected carotid-to-femoral distance, taking into account the 20% shorter true anatomical distance travelled by the pressure wave (i.e. 0.8×12 m/s or 10 m/s).⁸⁴ The main limitation in the interpretation of pulse wave velocity is that it is significantly influenced by blood pressure. Because elevated blood pressure increases the arterial wall tension, blood pressure becomes a confounding variable when comparing the degree of structural arterial stiffening.

5. Treatment options

5.1 Principles of medical therapy

The main aim of medical therapy in this condition is to reduce shear stress on the diseased segment of the aorta by reducing blood pressure and cardiac contractility. A large number of patients with aortic diseases have comorbidities such as coronary artery disease, chronic kidney disease, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidaemia, hypertension, etc. Therefore treatment and prevention strategies must be similar to those indicated for the above diseases. Cessation of smoking is important, as studies have shown that self-reported current smoking induced a significantly faster AAA expansion (by approximately 0.4 mm/year).⁹⁵ Moderate physical activity probably prevents the progression of aortic atherosclerosis but data are sparse. To prevent blood pressure spikes, competitive sports should be avoided in patients with an enlarged aorta.

In cases of AD, treatment with intravenous beta-blocking agents is initiated to reduce the heart rate and lower the systolic blood pressure to 100–120 mm Hg, but aortic regurgitation should be excluded. Other agents may be useful in achieving the target.

In chronic conditions, blood pressure should be controlled below 140/90 mm Hg, with lifestyle changes and use of antihypertensive drugs, if necessary.⁹⁴ An ideal treatment would be the one that reverses the formation of an aneurysm. In patients with Marfan syndrome, prophylactic use of beta-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor, and angiotensin II receptor blocker seem to be able to reduce either the progression of the aortic dilation or the occurrence of complications.^{95–98} However, there is no evidence for the efficacy of these treatments in aortic disease of other aetiologies. Small observational studies suggest that statins may inhibit the expansion of aneurysms.^{99,100} Use of statins has been associated with improved survival after AAA repair, with a more than

threefold reduction in the risk of cardiovascular death.¹⁰¹ A trial that has recently begun will show whether or not the use of statin treatment following EVAR will result in a favourable outcome.¹⁰²

5.2 Endovascular therapy

5.2.1 Thoracic endovascular aortic repair

5.2.1.1 Technique

Thoracic endovascular aortic repair aims at excluding an aortic lesion (i.e. aneurysm or FL after AD) from the circulation by the implantation of a membrane-covered stent-graft across the lesion, in order to prevent further enlargement and ultimate aortic rupture.

Careful pre-procedural planning is essential for a successful TEVAR procedure. Contrast-enhanced CT represents the imaging modality of choice for planning TEVAR, taking <3 mm 'slices' of the proximal supra-aortic branches down to the femoral arteries. The diameter (<40 mm) and length (≥ 20 mm) of the healthy proximal and distal landing zones are evaluated to assess the feasibility of TEVAR, along with assessment of the length of the lesion and its relationship to side branches and the iliofemoral access route.

In TAA, the stent-graft diameter should exceed the reference aortic diameter at the landing zones by at least 10–15%. In patients with Type B AD, the stent-graft is implanted across the proximal entry tear, to obstruct blood flow into the FL, depressurize the FL, and induce a process of aortic remodelling with shrinkage of the FL and enlargement of the true lumen (TL). In contrast to TAA, almost no oversizing of the stent-graft is applied.¹¹ In situations involving important aortic side branches (e.g. left subclavian artery), TEVAR is often preceded by limited surgical revascularization of these branches (the 'hybrid' approach). Another option is a surgical de-branching or the use of fenestrated and branched endografts or the 'chimney technique'. An alternative may be a single, branched stent-graft.

TEVAR is performed by retrograde transarterial advancement of a large delivery device (up to 24 F) carrying the collapsed self-expandable stent-graft. Arterial access is obtained either surgically or by the percutaneous approach, using suture-mediated access site closure. From the contralateral femoral side or from a brachial/radial access, a pigtail catheter is advanced for angiography. The stent-graft is delivered over a stiff guide wire. In AD, it may be challenging to navigate the guide wire into a narrow TL, which is essential for stent-graft placement.⁸ Either TOE or IVUS can be helpful in identifying the correct position of the guide wire within the TL.⁸ When the target position is reached, the blood pressure is reduced—either pharmacologically (nitroprusside or adenosine, <80 mm Hg systolic) or using rapid right ventricular pacing—to avoid downstream displacement, and the stent-graft is then deployed. Completion angiography is performed to detect any proximal Type I endoleak (an insufficient proximal seal), which usually mandates immediate treatment (Figure 3). More technical details are provided in the recently published joint position paper of the ESC and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery.¹¹

5.2.1.2 Complications

In TEVAR, vascular complications at the puncture site, as well as aortic and neurological complications, and/or endoleaks have been reported. Ideally, access site complications may be avoided by careful pre-procedural planning. Paraparesis/paraplegia and stroke

rates range between 0.8–1.9% and 2.1–3.5%, respectively, and appear lower than those for open surgery.⁹² In order to avoid spinal cord ischaemia, vessels supplying the major spinal cord should not be covered in the elective setting (i.e. no overstretching of the left subclavian artery).¹⁰³

In high-risk patients, preventive cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) drainage can be beneficial, as it has proven efficacy in spinal cord protection during open thoraco-abdominal aneurysm surgery.¹⁰⁴ Reversal of paraplegia can be achieved by the immediate initiation of CSF drainage and pharmacological elevation of blood pressure to >90 mm Hg mean arterial pressure. Hypotensive episodes during the procedure should be avoided. Retrograde dissection of the ascending aorta after TEVAR is reported in 1.3% (0.7–2.5%) of patients.¹⁰⁵ Endoleak describes perfusion of the excluded aortic pathology and occurs both in thoracic and abdominal (T)EVAR. Different types of endoleaks are illustrated in Figure 3. Type I and Type III endoleaks are regarded as treatment failures and warrant further treatment to prevent the continuing risk of rupture, while Type II endoleaks (Figure 3) are normally managed conservatively by a 'wait-and-watch' strategy to detect aneurysmal expansion, except for supra-aortic arteries.¹¹ Endoleaks Types IV and V are indirect and have a benign course. Treatment is required in cases of aneurysm expansion.

It is important to note that plain chest radiography can be useful as an adjunct to detect material fatigue of the stent-graft and to follow 'stent-graft' and 'no stent-graft'-induced changes in width, length and angulation of the thoracic aorta.

5.2.2 Abdominal endovascular aortic repair

5.2.2.1 Technique

Endovascular aortic repair is performed to prevent infrarenal AAA rupture. Similarly to TEVAR, careful pre-procedural planning by contrast-enhanced CT is essential. The proximal aortic neck (defined as the normal aortic segment between the lowest renal artery and the most cephalad extent of the aneurysm) should have a length of at least 10–15 mm and should not exceed 32 mm in diameter. Angulation above 60° of the proximal neck increases the risk of device migration and endoleak. The iliofemoral axis has to be evaluated by CT, since large delivery devices (14–24 F) are being used. Aneurysmal disease of the iliac arteries needs extension of the stent graft to the external iliac artery. Bilateral hypogastric occlusion—due to coverage of internal iliac arteries—should be avoided as it may result in buttock claudication, erectile dysfunction, and visceral ischaemia or even spinal cord ischaemia.

Currently several stent-grafts are available, mostly comprising a self-expanding nitinol skeleton covered with a polyester or polytetrafluoroethylene membrane. To provide an optimal seal, the stent-graft diameter should be oversized by 10–20% according to the aortic diameter at the proximal neck. Bifurcated stent-grafts are used in most cases; tube grafts may only be used in patients with localized pseudoaneurysms of the infrarenal aorta. Aorto-mono-iliac stent-grafts, with subsequent surgical femoro-femoral crossover bypass, may be time-saving in patients with acute rupture as these do not require the contralateral limb cannulation.

Choice of anaesthesia (general vs. conscious sedation) should be decided on a case-by-case basis. The stent-graft main body is introduced from the ipsilateral side, over a stiff guide wire. The contralateral access is used for a pigtail catheter for intraprocedural

angiography. Fixation of the stent-graft may be either suprarenal or infrarenal, depending on the device used. After deployment of the main body, the contralateral limb is cannulated from the contralateral access or, in rare cases, from a crossover approach. The contralateral limb is introduced and implanted. After placement of all device components, stent expansion at sealing zones and connections are optimized with balloon moulding. Completion angiography is performed to check for the absence of endoleak and to confirm patency of all stent-graft components.

5.2.2.2 Complications

Immediate conversion to open surgery is required in approximately 0.6% of patients.¹⁰⁶ Endoleak is the most common complication of EVAR. Type I and Type III endoleaks demand correction (proximal cuff or extension), while Type II endoleak may seal spontaneously in about 50% of cases. The rates of vascular injury after EVAR are low (approximately 0–3%), due to careful pre-procedural planning. The incidence of stent-graft infection after EVAR is <1%, with high mortality.




Figure 3 Classification of endoleaks.

Type I: Leak at graft attachment site above, below, or between graft components (Ia: proximal attachment site; Ib: distal attachment site).

Type II: Aneurysm sac filling retrogradely via single (IIa) or multiple branch vessels (IIb).

Type III: Leak through mechanical defect in graft, mechanical failure of the stent-graft by junctional separation of the modular components (IIIa), or fractures or holes in the endograft (IIIb).

Type IV: Leak through graft fabric as a result of graft porosity.

Type V: Continued expansion of aneurysm sac without demonstrable leak on imaging (endotension, controversial).

(Modified from White GH, May J, Petrasek P. *Semin Interv Cardiol*. 2000;**5**:35–46¹⁰⁷).

Recommendation for (thoracic) endovascular aortic repair ((T)EVAR)

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
It is recommended that the indication for TEVAR or EVAR be decided on an individual basis, according to anatomy, pathology, comorbidity and anticipated durability, of any repair, using a multidisciplinary approach.	I	C
A sufficient proximal and distal landing zone of at least 2 cm is recommended for the safe deployment and durable fixation of TEVAR.	I	C
In case of aortic aneurysm, it is recommended to select a stent-graft with a diameter exceeding the diameter of the landing zones by at least 10–15% of the reference aorta.	I	C
During stent graft placement, invasive blood pressure monitoring and control (either pharmacologically or by rapid pacing) is recommended.	I	C
Preventive cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) drainage should be considered in high-risk patients.	IIa	C

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

5.3 Surgery

5.3.1 Ascending aorta

The main principle of surgery for ascending aortic aneurysms is that of preventing the risk of dissection or rupture by restoring the normal dimension of the ascending aorta. If the aneurysm is proximally limited to the sinotubular junction and distally to the aortic arch, resection of the aneurysm and supra-commissural implantation of a tubular graft is performed under a short period of aortic clamping, with the distal anastomosis just below the aortic arch. External wrapping or reduction ascending aortoplasty (the aorta is not resected but is remodelled externally by a mesh graft) is, in general, not recommended but may be used as an alternative to reduce the aortic diameter when aortic cannulation and cardiopulmonary bypass are either not possible or not desirable. This may be the case in elderly patients with calcified aorta, in high-risk patients, or as an adjunct to other off-pump procedures.

If the aneurysm extends proximally below the sinotubular junction and one or more aortic sinuses are dilated, the surgical repair is guided by the extent of involvement of the aortic annulus and the aortic valve. In the case of a normal tricuspid aortic valve, without aortic regurgitation or central regurgitation due to annular dilation, an aortic valve-preserving technique should be performed. This includes the classic David operation with re-implantation of the aortic valve into a tubular graft or, preferably, into a graft with sinus functionality (Web Figure 9). The graft is anchored at the level of the skeletonized aortic annulus and the aortic valve is re-suspended within the graft. The procedure is completed by re-implantation of the coronary ostia. Alternatively, the classic or modified Yacoub technique may be applied, which only replaces the aortic sinus and is therefore somewhat more susceptible to late aortic annular dilation. Additional aortic annuloplasty, to reinforce the aortic annulus by using annular sutures or rings, can address this problem. In expert centres, the David technique may also be applied to patients with bicuspid aortic valve (BAV) and patients with aortic regurgitation

caused by factors other than pure annular dilation. Reconstructive aortic root surgery, preserving the tricuspid valve, aims for restoration of natural haemodynamics. In patients with BAV, blood flow is altered and will remain so after repair. If there is any doubt that a durable repair can be achieved—or in the presence of aortic sclerosis or stenosis—root replacement should be performed with either a mechanical composite graft or a xenograft, according to the patient's age and potential contraindications for long-term anticoagulation.

In the case of distal aneurysmal extension to the aortic arch, leaving no neck-space for clamping the aorta at a non-diseased portion, an open distal anastomosis with the aortic arch or a hemiarch replacement should be performed. This technique allows the inspection of the aortic arch and facilitates a very distal anastomosis. A short period of antegrade cerebral perfusion and hypothermic lower body circulatory arrest are required, as the aortic arch needs to be opened and partially resected. The risk of paraplegia in aortic surgery is highly dependent on speed of repair and cross-clamp time.

Surgical mortality for isolated elective replacement of the ascending aorta (including the aortic root) ranges from 1.6–4.8% and is dependent largely on age and other well-known cardiovascular risk factors at the time of operation.¹⁰⁸ Mortality and stroke rates for elective surgery for ascending/arch aneurysms are in the range of 2.4–3.0%.¹⁰⁹ For patients under 55 years of age, mortality and stroke rates are as low as 1.2% and 0.6–1.2%, respectively.¹¹⁰

5.3.2 Aortic arch

Several procedures and techniques have significantly lowered the inherent risk of aortic arch surgery, both for aneurysms and ADs. Importantly, the continuous use of antegrade cerebral perfusion,^{98–101} including the assessment of transcranial oxygen saturation,¹⁰² has proven itself as safe cerebral protection, even in prolonged periods (>60 min) of circulatory arrest. The axillary artery should be considered as first choice for cannulation for surgery of the aortic arch and in AD. Innovative arch prostheses, including branching for supra-aortic vessel reconnection,¹⁰⁸ have made the timing of arch reconstruction more predictable, allowing moderate (26–28°C) rather than deep (20–22°C) hypothermia under extracorporeal circulation.^{111,112} This is the case for the majority of reconstructions, including acute and chronic AD, requiring total arch replacement and arrest times from 40–60 minutes. The precautions for this procedure resemble those formerly applied for partial arch repair, requiring much shorter periods of circulatory arrest (<20 minutes). Various extents and variants of aortic rerouting (left subclavian, left common carotid and finally brachiocephalic trunk, autologous vs. alloplastic) might also be used. Nowadays, many arch replacements are re-operations for dilated aneurysms after Type A AD following limited ascending aorta replacement or proximal arch repair performed in emergency.

Extensive repair including graft replacement of the ascending aorta and aortic arch and integrated stent grafting of the descending aorta¹⁰⁸ ('frozen elephant trunk') was introduced as a single-stage procedure.^{103,105} The 'frozen elephant trunk' is increasingly applied for this disease entity if complete ascending-, arch-, and descending AD are diagnosed in otherwise uncomplicated patients.^{113–117} Originally designed for repair of chronic aneurysm, the hybrid approach, consisting of a single graft, is also applied, more often now in the setting of acute dissection (Web Figures 10 and 11).^{118–121}

5.3.3 Descending aorta

The surgical approach to the descending aorta is a left thoracotomy between the fourth and seventh intercostal spaces, depending on the extension of the aortic pathology (Web Figure 12). Established methods for operation of the descending aorta include the left heart bypass technique, the partial bypass, and the operation in deep hypothermic circulatory arrest. The simple 'clamp and sew' technique may not be advisable because the risk of post-operative neurological deficit, mesenteric and renal ischaemia is significant when the aortic cross-clamp procedure exceeds 30 minutes.^{122,123} In contrast, the left heart bypass technique provides distal aortic perfusion (by means of a centrifugal pump) during aortic clamping, which drains through cannulation of the left atrial appendage or preferably the left pulmonary veins and returns blood through cannulation of the distal aorta or femoral artery. A similar technique is the partial bypass technique, where cardiopulmonary bypass is initiated via cannulation of the femoral artery and vein and ensures perfusion and oxygenation of the organs distal to the aortic clamp. In contrast to the left heart bypass technique, this method requires full heparinization due to the cardiopulmonary bypass system used.¹²⁴

The technique of deep hypothermic circulatory arrest has to be used when clamping of the descending aorta distal to the left subclavian artery—or between the carotid artery and the left subclavian artery—is not feasible because the aortic lesion includes the aortic arch. At a core temperature of 18°C the proximal anastomosis is performed; thereafter the Dacron prosthesis is clamped and the supra-aortic branches are perfused via a side-graft with 2.5 L/min. After accomplishment of the distal anastomosis, the clamp is removed from the prosthesis and complete perfusion and re-warming are started.¹²⁴

5.3.4 Thoraco-abdominal aorta

When the disease affects both the descending thoracic and abdominal aorta, the surgical approach is a left thoracotomy extended to paramedian laparotomy. This access ensures exposure of the whole aorta, from the left subclavian artery to the iliac arteries (Web Figures 12 and 13). When the aortic disease starts distal to the aortic arch and clamping is feasible, the left heart bypass technique is a proven method that can be performed in experienced centres with excellent results.^{125–128} The advantage of this method is that it maintains distal aortic perfusion during aortic cross-clamping, including selective perfusion of mesenteric visceral and renal arteries.^{129–131} Owing to the protective effect of hypothermia, other adjunctive methods are unnecessary.

The risk of paraplegia after thoraco-abdominal repair is in the range of 6–8%,^{131,132} and procedural as well as systemic measures are beneficial in preventing this disastrous complication.^{133,134} These measures include permissive systemic hypothermia (34°C), re-attachment of distal intercostal arteries between T8 and L1, and the pre-operative placement of cerebrospinal fluid drainage. Drainage reduces the rate of paraplegia in patients with thoraco-abdominal aneurysms and its continuation up to 72 hours post-operatively is recommended, to prevent delayed onset of paraplegia.^{135–138}

5.3.5 Abdominal aorta

Open abdominal aortic repair usually involves a standard median laparotomy, but may also be performed through a left retroperitoneal approach. The aorta is dissected, in particular at the aortic neck and the distal anastomotic sites. After heparinization, the aorta is cross-clamped above, below, or in between the renal arteries,

depending on the proximal extent of the aneurysm. Renal ischaemia should not exceed 30 minutes, otherwise preventive measures should be taken (i.e. cold renal perfusion). The aneurysmal aorta is replaced either by a tube or bifurcated graft, according to the extent of aneurysmal disease into the iliac arteries. If the common iliac arteries are involved, the graft is anastomosed to the external iliac arteries and revascularization of the internal iliac arteries provided via separate bypass grafts.

Colonic ischaemia is a potential problem in the repair of AAA. A patent inferior mesenteric artery with pulsatile back-bleeding suggests a competent mesenteric collateral circulation and, consequently, the inferior mesenteric artery may be ligated; however, if the artery is patent and only poor back-bleeding present, re-implantation into the aortic graft must be considered, to prevent left colonic ischaemia. A re-implantation of the inferior mesenteric artery may also be necessary if one internal iliac artery has to be ligated.

The excluded aneurysm is not resected, but is closed over the graft, which has a haemostatic effect and ensures that the duodenum is not in contact with the graft, as this may lead to erosion and a possible subsequent aorto-enteric fistula.

Recommendations for surgical techniques in aortic disease

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref. ^c
Cerebrospinal fluid drainage is recommended in surgery of the thoraco-abdominal aorta, to reduce the risk of paraplegia.	I	B	126–127
Aortic valve repair, using the re-implantation technique or remodelling with aortic annuloplasty, is recommended in young patients with aortic root dilation and tricuspid aortic valves.	I	C	
For repair of acute Type A AD, an open distal anastomotic technique avoiding aortic clamping (hemiarach/comple arch) is recommended.	I	C	
In patients with connective tissue disorders ^d requiring aortic surgery, the replacement of aortic sinuses is indicated.	I	C	
Selective antegrade cerebral perfusion should be considered in aortic arch surgery, to reduce the risk of stroke.	IIa	B	139,131, 134,141
The axillary artery should be considered as first choice for cannulation for surgery of the aortic arch and in aortic dissection.	IIa	C	
Left heart bypass should be considered during repair of the descending aorta or the thoraco-abdominal aorta, to ensure distal organ perfusion.	IIa	C	

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cReference(s) supporting recommendations.

^dEhlers-Danlos IV -, Marfan- or Loeys-Dietz syndromes.

6. Acute thoracic aortic syndromes

6.1 Definition

Acute aortic syndromes are defined as emergency conditions with similar clinical characteristics involving the aorta. There is a common pathway for the various manifestations of AAS that

eventually leads to a breakdown of the intima and media. This may result in IMH, PAU, or in separation of aortic wall layers, leading to AD or even thoracic aortic rupture.³ Ruptured AAA is also part of the full picture of AAS, but it is presented in section 7.2 because of its specific presentation and management.




Figure 4 Classification of aortic dissection localization. Schematic drawing of aortic dissection class 1, subdivided into DeBakey Types I, II, and III.¹ Also depicted are Stanford classes A and B. Type III is differentiated in subtypes III A to III C. (sub-type depends on the thoracic or abdominal involvement according to Reul et al.¹⁴⁰)




Figure 5 Classification of acute aortic syndrome in aortic dissection.^{1,141}

Class 1: Classic AD with true and FL with or without communication between the two lumina.

Class 2: Intramural haematoma.

Class 3: Subtle or discrete AD with bulging of the aortic wall.

Class 4: Ulceration of aortic plaque following plaque rupture.

Class 5: Iatrogenic or traumatic AD, illustrated by a catheter-induced separation of the intima.

6.2 Pathology and classification

Acute aortic syndromes occur when either a tear or an ulcer allows blood to penetrate from the aortic lumen into the media or when a rupture of *vasa vasorum* causes a bleed within the media. The inflammatory response to blood in the media may lead to aortic dilation and rupture. Figure 4 displays the Stanford and the DeBakey classifications.¹⁴⁰ The most common features of AAS are displayed in Figure 5.¹⁴¹ Acute AD (<14 days) is distinct from sub-acute (15–90 days), and chronic aortic dissection (>90 days) (see section 12).

6.3 Acute aortic dissection

6.3.1 Definition and classification

Aortic dissection is defined as disruption of the medial layer provoked by intramural bleeding, resulting in separation of the aortic wall layers and subsequent formation of a TL and an FL with or without communication. In most cases, an intimal tear is the initiating condition, resulting in tracking of the blood in a dissection plane within the media. This process is followed either by an aortic rupture in the case of adventitial disruption or by a re-entering into the aortic lumen through a second intimal tear. The dissection can be either antegrade or retrograde. The present Guidelines will apply the Stanford classification unless stated otherwise. This classification takes into account the extent of dissection, rather than the location of the entry tear. The propagation can also affect side branches. Other complications include tamponade, aortic valve regurgitation, and proximal or distal malperfusion syndromes.^{4,142–144} The inflammatory response to thrombus in the media is likely to initiate further necrosis and apoptosis of smooth muscle cells and degeneration of elastic tissue, which potentiates the risk of medial rupture.

6.3.2 Epidemiology

Up-to-date data on the epidemiology of AD are scarce. In the Oxford Vascular study, the incidence of AD is estimated at six per hundred thousand persons per year.¹⁰ This incidence is higher in men than in women and increases with age.⁹ The prognosis is poorer in women, as a result of atypical presentation and delayed diagnosis. The most common risk factor associated with AD is hypertension, observed in 65–75% of individuals, mostly poorly controlled.^{4,142–145} In the IRAD registry, the mean age was 63 years; 65% were men. Other risk factors include pre-existing aortic diseases or aortic valve disease, family history of aortic diseases, history of cardiac surgery, cigarette smoking, direct blunt chest trauma and use of intravenous drugs (e.g. cocaine and amphetamines). An autopsy study of road accident fatalities found that approximately 20% of victims had a ruptured aorta.¹⁴⁶

6.3.3 Clinical presentation and complications

6.3.3.1 Chest pain is the most frequent symptom of acute AD. Abrupt onset of severe chest and/or back pain is the most typical feature. The pain may be sharp, ripping, tearing, knife-like, and typically different from other causes of chest pain; the abruptness of its onset is the most specific characteristic (Table 4).^{4,146} The most common site of pain is the chest (80%), while back and abdominal pain are experienced in 40% and 25% of patients, respectively. Anterior chest pain is more commonly associated with Type A AD, whereas patients with Type B dissection present more frequently with pain in the back or abdomen.^{147,148} The clinical presentations

of the two types of AD may frequently overlap. The pain may migrate from its point of origin to other sites, following the dissection path as it extends through the aorta. In IRAD, migrating pain was observed in <15% of patients with acute Type A AD, and in approximately 20% of those with acute Type B.

Although any pulse deficit may be as frequent as 30% in patients with Type A AD and 15% in those with Type B, overt lower limb ischaemia is rare.

Multiple reports have described signs and symptoms of end-organ dysfunction related to AD. Patients with acute Type A AD suffer double the mortality of individuals presenting with Type B AD (25% and 12%, respectively).¹⁴⁶ Cardiac complications are the most frequent in patients with AD. Aortic regurgitation may accompany 40–75% of cases with Type A AD.^{148–150} After acute aortic rupture, aortic regurgitation is the second most common cause of death in patients with AD. Patients with acute severe aortic regurgitation commonly present with heart failure and cardiogenic shock.

6.3.3.2 Aortic regurgitation in AD includes dilation of the aortic root and annulus, tearing of the annulus or valve cusps, downward displacement of one cusp below the line of the valve closure, loss of support of the cusp, and physical interference in the closure of the aortic valve by an intimal flap. Pericardial tamponade may be observed in <20% of patients with acute Type A AD. This complication is associated with a doubling of mortality.^{144,145}

6.3.3.3 Myocardial ischaemia or infarction may be present in 10–15% of patients with AD and may result from aortic FL expansion, with subsequent compression or obliteration of coronary ostia or the propagation of the dissection process into the coronary tree.¹⁵¹ In the presence of a complete coronary obstruction, the ECG may show ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Also, myocardial ischaemia may be exacerbated by acute aortic regurgitation, hypertension or hypotension, and shock in patients with or without pre-existing coronary artery disease. This may explain the observation that approximately 10% of patients presenting with acute Type B AD have ECG signs of myocardial ischaemia.¹⁴⁷ Overall, comparisons of the incidence of myocardial ischaemia and infarction between the series and between Types A and -B aortic dissection are challenged by the lack of a common definition. In addition, the ECG diagnosis of non-transmural ischaemia may be difficult in this patient population because of concomitant left ventricular hypertrophy, which may be encountered in approximately one-quarter of patients with AD. If systematically assessed, troponin elevation may be found in up to 25% of patients admitted with Type A AD.¹⁴³ Both troponin elevation and ECG abnormalities, which may fluctuate over time, may mislead the physician to the diagnosis of acute coronary syndromes and delay proper diagnosis and management of acute AD.

6.3.3.4 Congestive heart failure in the setting of AD is commonly related to aortic regurgitation. Although more common in Type A AD, heart failure may also be encountered in patients with Type B AD, suggesting additional aetiologies of heart failure, such as myocardial ischaemia, pre-existing diastolic dysfunction, or uncontrolled hypertension. Registry data show that this complication occurs in <10% of cases of AD.^{131,145} Notably, in the setting of AD, patients with acute heart failure and cardiogenic shock present less frequently with the characteristic severe and abrupt chest pain, and this may delay diagnosis and treatment of AD. Hypotension and shock may result from aortic rupture, acute severe aortic regurgitation, extensive myocardial ischaemia, cardiac tamponade, pre-existing left ventricular dysfunction, or major blood loss.

6.3.3.5 Large pleural effusions resulting from aortic bleeding into the mediastinum and pleural space are rare, because these patients usually do not survive up to arrival at hospital. Smaller pleural effusions may be detected in 15–20% of patients with AD, with almost equal distribution between Type A and Type B patterns, and are believed to be mainly the result of an inflammatory process.^{131,145}

6.3.3.6 Pulmonary complications of acute AD are rare, and include compression of the pulmonary artery and aortopulmonary fistula, leading to dyspnoea or unilateral pulmonary oedema, and acute aortic rupture into the lung with massive haemoptysis.

6.3.3.7 Syncope is an important initial symptom of AD, occurring in approximately 15% of patients with Type A AD and in <5% of those presenting with Type B. This feature is associated with an increased risk of in-hospital mortality because it is often related to life-threatening complications, such as cardiac tamponade or supra-aortic vessel dissection. In patients with suspected AD presenting with syncope, clinicians must therefore actively search for these complications.

6.3.3.8 Neurological symptoms may often be dramatic and dominate the clinical picture, masking the underlying condition. They may result from cerebral malperfusion, hypotension, distal thromboembolism, or peripheral nerve compression. The frequency of neurological symptoms in AD ranges from 15–40%, and in half of the cases they may be transient. Acute paraplegia, due to spinal ischaemia caused by occlusion of spinal arteries, is infrequently observed and may be painless and mislead to the Leriche syndrome.¹⁵² The most recent IRAD report on Type A AD described an incidence of major brain injury (i.e. coma and stroke) in <10% and ischaemic spinal cord damage in 1.0%.¹⁴⁵ Upper or lower limb ischaemic neuropathy, caused by a malperfusion of the subclavian or femoral territories, is observed in approximately 10% of cases. Hoarseness, due to compression of the left recurrent laryngeal nerve, is rare.

6.3.3.9 Mesenteric ischaemia occurs in <5% of patients with Type A AD.¹⁴⁵ Adjacent structures and organs may become ischaemic as

aortic branches are compromised, or may be affected by mechanical compression induced by the dissected aorta or aortic bleeding, leading to cardiac, neurological, pulmonary, visceral, and peripheral arterial complications. End-organ ischaemia may also result from the involvement of a major arterial orifice in the dissection process. The perfusion disturbance can be intermittent if caused by a dissection flap prolapse, or persistent in cases of obliteration of the organ arterial supply by FL expansion. Clinical manifestation is frequently insidious; the abdominal pain is often non-specific, patients may be painless in 40% of cases; consequently, the diagnosis is frequently too late to save the bowel and the patient. Therefore, it is essential to maintain a high degree of suspicion for mesenteric ischaemia in patients with acute AD and associated abdominal pain or increased lactate levels. The presence of mesenteric ischaemia deeply affects the management strategy and outcomes of patients with Type A AD; in the latest IRAD report, 50% of patients with mesenteric malperfusion did not receive surgical therapy, while the corresponding proportion in patients without this complication was 12%.¹⁴⁵ In addition, the in-hospital mortality rate of patients with mesenteric malperfusion is almost three times as high as in patients without this complication (63 vs. 24%).¹⁴⁵ Gastrointestinal bleeding is a rare but potentially lethal. Bleeding may be limited, as a result of mesenteric infarction, or massive, caused by an aorto-oesophageal fistula or FL rupture into the small bowel.

6.3.3.10 Renal failure may be encountered at presentation or during hospital course in up to 20% of patients with acute Type A AD and in approximately 10% of patients with Type B AD.¹⁴⁵ This may be the result of renal hypoperfusion or infarction, secondary to the involvement of the renal arteries in the AD, or may be due to prolonged hypotension. Serial testing of creatinine and monitoring of urine output are needed for an early detection of this condition.

6.3.4 Laboratory testing

In patients admitted to the hospital with chest pain and suspicion of AD, the following laboratory tests, listed in Table 5, are required for differential diagnosis or detection of complications.

Table 4 Main clinical presentations and complications of patients with acute aortic dissection

	Type A	Type B
Chest pain	80%	70%
Back pain	40%	70%
Abrupt onset of pain	85%	85%
Migrating pain	<15%	20%
Aortic regurgitation	40–75%	N/A
Cardiac tamponade	<20%	N/A
Myocardial ischaemia or infarction	10–15%	10%
Heart failure	<10%	<5%
Pleural effusion	15%	20%
Syncope	15%	<5%
Major neurological deficit (coma/stroke)	<10%	<5%
Spinal cord injury	<1%	NR
Mesenteric ischaemia	<5%	NR
Acute renal failure	<20%	10%
Lower limb ischaemia	<10%	<10%

NR = not reported; NA = not applicable. Percentages are approximated.

Table 5 Laboratory tests required for patients with acute aortic dissection

Laboratory tests	To detect signs of:
Red blood cell count	Blood loss, bleeding, anaemia
White blood cell count	Infection, inflammation (SIRS)
C-reactive protein	Inflammatory response
ProCalcitonin	Differential diagnosis between SIRS and sepsis
Creatine kinase	Reperfusion injury, rhabdomyolysis
Troponin I or T	Myocardial ischaemia, myocardial infarction
D-dimer	Aortic dissection, pulmonary embolism, thrombosis
Creatinine	Renal failure (existing or developing)
Aspartate transaminase/alanine aminotransferase	Liver ischaemia, liver disease
Lactate	Bowel ischaemia, metabolic disorder
Glucose	Diabetes mellitus
Blood gases	Metabolic disorder, oxygenation

SIRS = systemic inflammatory response syndrome.

If D-dimers are elevated, the suspicion of AD is increased.^{153–159} Typically, the level of D-dimers is immediately very high, compared with other disorders in which the D-dimer level increases gradually. D-dimers yielded the highest diagnostic value during the first hour.¹⁵³ If the D-dimers are negative, IMH and PAU may still be present; however, the advantage of the test is the increased alert for the differential diagnosis.

Since AD affects the medial wall of the aorta, several biomarkers have been developed that relate to injury of the vascular endothelial or smooth muscle cells (smooth muscle myosin), the vascular interstitium (calponin, matrix metalloproteinase 8), the elastic laminae (soluble elastin fragments) of the aorta, and signs of inflammation (tenascin-C) or thrombosis, which are in part tested at the moment but have not yet entered the clinical arena.^{159–162}

6.3.5 Diagnostic imaging in acute aortic dissection

The main purpose of imaging in AAD is the comprehensive assessment of the entire aorta, including the aortic diameters, shape and extent of a dissection membrane, the involvement in a dissection process of the aortic valve, aortic branches, the relationship with adjacent structures, and the presence of mural thrombus (Table 6).^{153,163}

Computed tomography, MRI, and TOE are equally reliable for confirming or excluding the diagnosis of AAD.⁷⁸ However, CT and MRI

have to be considered superior to TOE for the assessment of AAD extension and branch involvement, as well as for the diagnosis of IMH, PAU, and traumatic aortic lesions.^{82,164} In turn, TOE using Doppler is superior for imaging flow across tears and identifying their locations. Transoesophageal echocardiography may be of great interest in the very unstable patient, and can be used to monitor changes in-theatre and in post-operative intensive care.³

6.3.5.1 Echocardiography

The diagnosis of AD by standard transthoracic M-mode and two-dimensional echocardiography is based on detecting intimal flaps in the aorta. The sensitivity and specificity of TTE range from 77–80% and 93–96%, respectively, for the involvement of the ascending aorta.^{165–167} TTE is successful in detecting a distal dissection of the thoracic aorta in only 70% of patients.¹⁶⁷

The tear is defined as a disruption of flap continuity, with fluttering of the ruptured intimal borders.^{150,168} Smaller intimal tears can be detected by colour Doppler, visualizing jets across the flap,¹⁶⁹ which also identifies the spiral flow pattern within the descending aorta. Other criteria are complete obstruction of an FL, central displacement of intimal calcification, separation of intimal layers from the thrombus, and shearing of different wall layers during aortic pulsation.¹⁶⁸

TTE is restricted in patients with abnormal chest wall configuration, narrow intercostal spaces, obesity, pulmonary emphysema, and in patients on mechanical ventilation.¹⁷⁰ These limitations prevent adequate decision-making but the problems have been overcome by TOE.^{168,158} Intimal flaps can be detected, entry and re-entry tears localized, thrombus formation in the FL visualized and, using colour Doppler, antegrade and retrograde flow can be imaged while, using pulsed or continuous wave Doppler, pressure gradients between TL and FL can be estimated.¹⁶⁹ Retrograde AD is identified by lack of-, reduced-, or reversed flow in the FL. Thrombus formation is often combined with slow flow and spontaneous contrast.¹⁵⁰ Wide communications between the TL and FL result in extensive intimal flap movements which, in extreme cases, can lead to collapse of the TL, as a mechanism of malperfusion.¹⁵¹ Localized AD of the distal segment of the ascending aorta can be missed as it corresponds with the ‘blind spot’ in TOE.¹⁶⁸

The sensitivity of TOE reaches 99%, with a specificity of 89%.¹⁶⁸ The positive and negative predictive values are 89% and 99%, respectively, based on surgical and/or autopsy data that were independently confirmed.^{168,170} When the analysis was limited to patients who underwent surgery or autopsy, the sensitivity of TOE was only 89% and specificity 88%, with positive and negative predictive values at 97% and 93%, respectively.¹⁶⁸

6.3.5.2 Computed tomography

The key finding on contrast-enhanced images is the intimal flap separating two lumens. The primary role of unenhanced acquisition is to detect medially displaced aortic calcifications or the intimal flap itself.¹⁷¹ Unenhanced images are also important for detecting IMH (see below).^{172,173}

Diagnosis of AD can be made on transverse CT images, but multi-planar reconstruction images play an important complementary role in confirming the diagnosis and determining the extent of involvement, especially with regard to involvement of aortic branch vessels.^{174,175}

Table 6 Details required from imaging in acute aortic dissection

Aortic dissection
Visualization of intimal flap
Extent of the disease according to the aortic anatomic segmentation
Identification of the false and true lumens (if present)
Localization of entry and re-entry tears (if present)
Identification of antegrade and/or retrograde aortic dissection
Identification grading, and mechanism of aortic valve regurgitation
Involvement of side branches
Detection of malperfusion (low flow or no flow)
Detection of organ ischaemia (brain, myocardium, bowels, kidneys, etc.)
Detection of pericardial effusion and its severity
Detection and extent of pleural effusion
Detection of peri-aortic bleeding
Signs of mediastinal bleeding
Intramural haematoma
Localization and extent of aortic wall thickening
Co-existence of atheromatous disease (calcium shift)
Presence of small intimal tears
Penetrating aortic ulcer
Localization of the lesion (length and depth)
Co-existence of intramural haematoma
Involvement of the peri-aortic tissue and bleeding
Thickness of the residual wall
In all cases
Co-existence of other aortic lesions: aneurysms, plaques, signs of inflammatory disease, etc.

The major role of multidetector CT is in providing specific, precise measurements of the extent of dissection, including length and diameter of the aorta, and the TL and FL, involvement of vital vasculature, and distance from the intimal tear to the vital vascular branches.¹⁷⁶

The convex face of the intimal flap is usually towards the FL that surrounds the TL. The FL usually has slower flow and a larger diameter and may contain thrombi.¹⁷⁶ In Type A AD, the FL is usually located along the right anterolateral wall of the ascending aorta and extends distally, in a spiral fashion, along the left posterolateral wall of the descending aorta. Slender linear areas of low attenuation may be observed in the FL, corresponding to incompletely dissected media, known as the 'cobweb sign', a specific finding for identifying the FL. In most cases, the lumen that extends more caudally is the TL. Accurate discrimination between the FL and TL is important, to make clear which collaterals are perfused exclusively by the FL, as well as when endovascular therapy is considered.¹⁷⁶

CT is the most commonly used imaging technique for evaluation of AAS, and for AD in particular,^{177–180} because of its speed, widespread availability, and excellent sensitivity of >95% for AD.^{177,179} Sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing arch vessel involvement are 93% and 98%, respectively, with an overall accuracy of 96%.¹⁷⁷ Diagnostic findings include active contrast extravasation or high-attenuation haemorrhagic collections in the pleura, pericardium, or mediastinum.¹⁸⁰

'Triple-rule out' is a relatively new term that describes an ECG-gated 64-detector CT study to evaluate patients with acute chest pain, in the emergency department, for three potential causes: AD, pulmonary embolism, and coronary artery disease. The inherent advantage of CT is its rapid investigation of life-threatening sources of acute chest pain, with a high negative predictive value.^{88,181} However, it is important to recognize highly mobile linear intraluminal filling defect, which may mimic an intimal flap on CT.¹⁸² The so-called 'pulsation artefact' is the most common cause of misdiagnosis.¹⁸³ It is caused by pulsatile movement of the ascending aorta during the cardiac cycle between end-diastole and end-systole. The potential problem of pulsation artefacts can be eliminated with ECG-gating,^{77,183,184} or else by a 180° linear interpolation reconstruction algorithm.¹⁸⁵ Dense contrast enhancement in the left brachiocephalic vein or superior vena cava, mediastinal clips, and indwelling catheters can all produce streak artefacts in the aorta, which may potentially simulate dissection. This difficulty can be avoided by careful attention to the volume and injection rate of intravenous contrast material administered.⁸⁸

6.3.5.3 Magnetic resonance imaging

MRI is considered the leading technique for diagnosis of AD, with a reported sensitivity and specificity of 98%.¹⁶⁴ It clearly

demonstrates the extent of the disease and depicts the distal ascending aorta and the aortic arch in more detail than is achieved by TOE.¹⁸⁶ The localization of entry and re-entry is nearly as accurate as with TOE and the sensitivity for both near to 90%.¹⁸⁶ The identification of the intimal flap by MRI remains the key finding, usually seen first on spin-echo black-blood sequences.¹⁸⁷ The TL shows signal void, whereas the FL shows higher signal intensity indicative of turbulent flow.¹⁸⁸

MRI is also very useful for detecting the presence of pericardial effusion, aortic regurgitation, or carotid artery dissection.^{164,189} The proximal coronary arteries and their involvement in the dissecting process can be clearly delineated.¹⁹⁰ Flow in the FL and TL can be quantified using phase contrast cine-MRI or by tagging techniques.^{191,192}

Despite the excellent performance of this method, several methodological and practical limitations preclude the use of this modality in the majority of cases and in unstable patients.

6.3.5.4 Aortography

The angiographic diagnosis of AD is based upon 'direct' angiographic signs, such as the visualization of the intimal flap (a negative, frequently mobile, linear image) or the recognition of two separate lumens; or 'indirect' signs including aortic lumen contour irregularities, rigidity or compression, branch vessel abnormalities, thickening of the aortic walls, and aortic regurgitation.¹⁶⁸ This technique is no longer used for the diagnosis of AD, except during coronary angiography or endovascular intervention.

6.3.6 Diagnostic work-up

The diagnostic work-up to confirm or to rule out AD is highly dependent on the *a priori* risk of this condition. The diagnostic tests can have different outputs according to the pre-test probability. In 2010, the ACC/American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines proposed a risk assessment tool based on three groups of information—predisposing conditions, pain features, and clinical examination—and proposed a scoring system that considered the number of these groups that were involved, from 0 (none) to 3 (Table 7).⁸ The IRAD reported the sensitivity of this approach, but a validation is not yet available.¹⁵³ The presence of 0, 1, 2, or 3 groups of information is associated with increasing pre-test probability, which should be taken into account in the diagnostic approach to all AAS, as shown at the basis of the flow chart (Figure 6). The diagnostic flow chart combines the pre-test probabilities (Table 7) according to clinical data, and the laboratory and imaging tests, as should be done in clinical practice in emergency or chest pain units (Figure 6).

Table 7 Clinical data useful to assess the *a priori* probability of acute aortic syndrome

High-risk conditions	High-risk pain features	High-risk examination features
• Marfan syndrome (or other connective tissue diseases) • Family history of aortic disease • Known aortic valve disease • Known thoracic aortic aneurysm • Previous aortic manipulation (including cardiac surgery)	• Chest, back, or abdominal pain described as any of the following: - abrupt onset - severe intensity - ripping or tearing	• Evidence of perfusion deficit: - pulse deficit - systolic blood pressure difference - focal neurological deficit (in conjunction with pain) • Aortic diastolic murmur (new and with pain) • Hypotension or shock




Figure 6 Flowchart for decision-making based on pre-test sensitivity of acute aortic syndrome. AAS = abdominal aortic aneurysm; AD = aortic dissection; CT = computed tomography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; TOE = transoesophageal echocardiography; TTE = transthoracic echocardiography.

Recommendations on diagnostic work-up of acute aortic syndrome

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref. ^c
History and clinical assessment			
In all patients with suspected AAS, pre-test probability assessment is recommended, according to the patient's condition, symptoms, and clinical features.	I	B	142
Laboratory testing			
In case of suspicion of AAS, the interpretation of biomarkers should always be considered along with the pre-test clinical probability.	IIa	C	
In case of low clinical probability of AAS, negative D-dimer levels should be considered as ruling out the diagnosis.	IIa	B	154–156,159
In case of intermediate clinical probability of AAS with a positive (point-of-care) D-dimer test, further imaging tests should be considered.	IIa	B	154,159
In patients with high probability (risk score 2 or 3) of AD, testing of D-dimers is not recommended.	III	C	
Imaging			
TTE is recommended as an initial imaging investigation.	I	C	
In unstable ^d patients with a suspicion of AAS, the following imaging modalities are recommended according to local availability and expertise:			
• TOE	I	C	
• CT	I	C	

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref. ^c
In stable patients with a suspicion of AAS, the following imaging modalities are recommended (or should be considered) according to local availability and expertise:			
• CT	I	C	
• MRI	I	C	
• TOE	IIa	C	
In case of initially negative imaging with persistence of suspicion of AAS, repetitive imaging (CT or MRI) is recommended.	I	C	
Chest X-ray may be considered in cases of low clinical probability of AAS.	IIb	C	
In case of uncomplicated Type B AD treated medically, repeated imaging (CT or MRI) ^e during the first days is recommended.	I	C	

^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.
^cReference(s) supporting recommendations.
^dUnstable means very severe pain, tachycardia, tachypnoea, hypotension, cyanosis, and/or shock.
^ePreferably MRI in young patients, to limit radiation exposure.
AAS = abdominal aortic aneurysm; AD = aortic dissection; CT = computed tomography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; TOE = transoesophageal echocardiography; TTE = transthoracic echocardiography.

6.3.7 Treatment

Whether or not the patient undergoes any intervention, medical therapy to control pain and the haemodynamic state is essential (see section 5.1).

6.3.7.1 Type A aortic dissection

Surgery is the treatment of choice. Acute Type A AD has a mortality of 50% within the first 48 hours if not operated. Despite improvements in surgical and anaesthetic techniques, perioperative mortality (25%) and neurological complications (18%) remain high.^{193,194} However, surgery reduces 1-month mortality from 90% to 30%. The advantage of surgery over conservative therapy is particularly obvious in the long-term follow-up.¹⁹⁵

Based on that evidence, all patients with Type A AD should be sent for surgery; however, coma, shock secondary to pericardial tamponade, malperfusion of coronary or peripheral arteries, and stroke are important predictive factors for post-operative mortality. The superiority of surgery over conservative treatment has been reported, even in patients with unfavourable presentations and/or major comorbidities. In an analysis of 936 patients with Type A AD enrolled in the IRAD registry, up to the age of 80 years, in-hospital mortality was significantly lower after surgical management than with medical treatment. In octogenarians, in-hospital mortality was lower after surgery than with conservative treatment (37.9 vs. 55.2%); however, the difference failed to reach clinical significance, probably due to the limited sample size of participants over 80 years of age.¹⁹⁶ While some have reported excellent surgical and quality-of-life outcomes in the elderly,¹⁹⁷ others found a higher rate of post-operative neurological complications.¹⁹⁸ Based on the current evidence, age *per se* should not be considered an exclusion criterion for surgical treatment.

For optimal repair of acute Type A AD in respect of long-term results—including risk of late death and late re-operation—the following points need to be addressed. In most cases of aortic insufficiency associated with acute Type A dissection, the aortic valve is essentially normal and can be preserved by applying an aortic valve-sparing repair of the aortic root.^{199–203} Alternatively, given the emergency situation, aortic valve replacement can be performed. In any case, it is preferable to replace the aortic root if the dissection involves at least one sinus of Valsalva, rather than perform a supracoronary ascending aorta replacement only. The latter is associated with late dilation of the aortic sinuses and recurrence of aortic regurgitation, and requires a high-risk re-operation.^{202,203} Various techniques exist for re-implantation of the coronary ostia or preservation of the ostia of the coronary arteries. A current topic of debate is the extent of aortic repair; ascending aortic replacement or hemiarch replacement alone is technically easier and effectively closes the entry site but leave a large part of the diseased aorta untreated. Patients with visceral or renal malperfusion in acute Type A AD often have their primary entry tear in the descending aorta. These patients might profit from extended therapies, such as ‘frozen elephant trunk’ repair in order to close the primary entry tear and decompress the TL. The importance of intraoperative aortoscopy and of immediate post-operative imaging—ideally in a hybrid suite—to reconfirm or exclude the effectiveness of therapy, is obvious. In contrast, more extensive repair, including graft replacement of the ascending aorta and aortic arch and integrated stent grafting of the descending

aorta^{103,105} (‘frozen elephant trunk’) as a one-stage procedure is technically more challenging and prolongs the operation, with an increased risk of neurological complications,²⁰⁴ but offers the advantage of a complete repair, with a low likelihood of late re-intervention.²⁰⁵ If the dissection progresses into the supra-aortic branches, rather than the classic ‘island’ technique, end-to-end grafting of all supra-aortic vessels may be considered, using individual grafts from the arch prosthesis.^{206–208}

There is still controversy over whether surgery should be performed in patients with Type A AD presenting with neurological deficits or coma. Although commonly associated with a poor post-operative prognosis, recovery has been reported when rapid brain reperfusion is achieved,^{114,209} especially if the time between symptom onset and arrival at the operating room is <5 hours.²¹⁰

One major factor influencing the operative outcome is the presence of mesenteric malperfusion at presentation. Malperfusion syndrome occurs in up to 30% of patients with acute AD. Visceral organ and/or limb ischaemia is caused by dynamic compression of the TL, due to high-pressure accumulation in the FL as the result of large proximal inflow into the thoracic aortic FL and insufficient outflow in the distal aorta. Malperfusion may also be caused by extension of the intimal flap into the organ/peripheral arteries, resulting in static ‘stenosis-like’ obstruction. In most cases, malperfusion is caused by a combination of dynamic and static obstruction; therefore, surgical/hybrid treatment should be considered for patients with organ malperfusion. Fenestration of the intimal flap is used in patients with dynamic malperfusion syndrome, to create a sufficient distal communication between the TL and FL to depressurize the FL. The classic technique comprises puncture of the intimal flap from the TL into the FL using a Brockenborough needle using a transfemoral approach.^{211,212} Puncture is performed at the level of the maximum compression of the TL in the abdominal aorta. Intravascular ultrasound may be useful to guide puncture of the FL.²¹³ A 12–18 mm diameter balloon catheter is used to create one or several large communications between the two lumens. An alternative technique (the ‘scissor’ technique)²¹⁴ for fenestration of the intimal flap is based on the insertion of two stiff guide wires, one in the TL and the other in the FL, through a single, transfemoral, 8 F sheath. The sheath is advanced over the two guide wires from the external iliac artery up to the visceral arteries, to create a large communication site.

Although performed with high technical success rates, fenestration alone may not completely resolve malperfusion. In a recent series, 75% of patients undergoing fenestration required additional endovascular interventions (e.g. stenting) for relief of ischaemia.²¹⁵

Endovascular therapy alone, to treat Type A AD, has been attempted in highly selected cases but has not yet been validated.^{216,217}

6.3.7.2 Treatment of Type B aortic dissection

The course of Type B AD is often uncomplicated so—in the absence of malperfusion or signs of (early) disease progression—the patient can be safely stabilized under medical therapy alone, to control pain and blood pressure.

6.3.7.2.1 Uncomplicated Type B aortic dissection:

6.3.7.2.1.1. Medical therapy

Patients with uncomplicated Type B AD receive medical therapy to control pain, heart rate, and blood pressure, with close surveillance

to identify signs of disease progression and/or malperfusion (see section 5.1). Repetitive imaging is necessary, preferably with MRI or CT.

6.3.7.2.1.2. Thoracic endovascular aortic repair

Thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) aims at stabilization of the dissected aorta, to prevent late complications by inducing aortic remodelling processes. Obliterating the proximal intimal tear by implantation of a membrane-covered stent-graft redirects blood flow to the TL, thus improving distal perfusion. Thrombosis of the FL results in shrinkage and conceptually prevents aneurysmal degeneration and, ultimately, its rupture over time. So far, there are few data comparing TEVAR with medical therapy in patients with uncomplicated Type B AD. The Investigation of Stent Grafts in Patients with Type B AD (INSTEAD) trial randomized a total of 140 patients with sub-acute (>14 days) uncomplicated Type B AD.²¹⁸ Two-year follow-up results indicated that TEVAR is effective (aortic remodelling in 91.3% of TEVAR patients vs. 19.4% of patients receiving medical treatment; $P < 0.001$); however, TEVAR showed no clinical benefit over medical therapy (survival rates: $88.9 \pm 3.7\%$ with TEVAR vs. $95.6 \pm 2.5\%$ with optimal medical therapy; $P = 0.15$). Extended follow-up of this study (INSTEAD-XL) recently showed that aorta-related mortality (6.9 vs. 19.3%, respectively; $P = 0.04$) and disease progression (27.0 vs. 46.1%, respectively; $P = 0.04$) were significantly lower after 5 years in TEVAR patients compared with those receiving medical therapy only.²¹⁹ No difference was found regarding total mortality. A similar observation has recently been reported from the IRAD registry, which, however, also included patients with complicated AD.²²⁰

6.3.7.2.2 Complicated Type B aortic dissection: endovascular therapy.

6.3.7.2.2.1. Thoracic endovascular aortic repair

Thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) is the treatment of choice in complicated acute Type B AD.¹¹ The objectives of TEVAR are the closure of the 'primary' entry tear and of perforation sites in the descending aorta. The blood flow is redirected into the TL, leading to improved distal perfusion by its decompression. This mechanism may resolve malperfusion of visceral or peripheral arteries. Thrombosis of the FL will also be promoted, which is the initiation for aortic remodelling and stabilization.

The term 'complicated' means persistent or recurrent pain, uncontrolled hypertension despite full medication, early aortic expansion, malperfusion, and signs of rupture (haemothorax, increasing periaortic and mediastinal haematoma). Additional factors, such as the FL diameter, the location of the primary entry site, and a retrograde component of the dissection into the aortic arch, are considered to significantly influence the patient's prognosis.²²¹ Future studies will have to clarify whether these subgroups benefit from immediate TEVAR treatment.

In the absence of prospective, randomized trials, there is increasing evidence that TEVAR shows a significant advantage over open surgery in patients with acute complicated Type B AD. A prospective, multicentre, European registry including 50 patients demonstrated a 30-day mortality of 8% and stroke and spinal cord ischaemia of 8% and 2%, respectively.²²²

6.3.7.2.2.2. Surgery

Lower extremities artery disease, severe tortuosity of the iliac arteries, a sharp angulation of the aortic arch, and the absence of a proximal landing zone for the stent graft are factors that indicate open surgery for the treatment of acute complicated Type B AD. The aim of open surgical repair is to replace the descending aorta with a Dacron®

prosthesis and to direct the blood flow into the TL of the downstream aorta by closing the FL at the distal anastomotic site, and to improve perfusion and TL decompression, which may resolve malperfusion.²²³

Owing to the fact that, in most patients, the proximal entry tear is located near to the origin of the left subclavian artery, the operation has to be performed in deep hypothermic circulatory arrest via a left thoracotomy. This surgical technique offers the possibility of an 'open' proximal anastomosis to the non-dissected distal aortic arch. Although the surgical results have improved over past decades, they remain sub-optimal, with in-hospital mortality ranging from 25–50%.²²⁴ Spinal cord ischaemia (6.8%), stroke (9%), mesenteric ischaemia/infarction (4.9%), and acute renal failure (19%) are complications associated with open surgery.²²⁵

Nowadays, surgery is rare in cases of complicated Type B AD, and has been replaced largely by endovascular therapy. For the most part, the aorta has to be operated in deep hypothermic circulatory arrest via a left posterolateral thoracotomy. Cross-clamping of the aorta, distal to the left subclavian artery, may be impractical in most cases because of the site of the entry tear, which is predominantly located near to the origin of the left subclavian artery. The aim of the surgical repair implies the resection of the primary entry tear and the replacement of the dissected descending aorta; as a consequence, the blood is directed into the TL, resulting in an improved perfusion and decompression of the TL in the thoraco-abdominal aorta. This mechanism may resolve malperfusion of visceral arteries and peripheral arteries. In particular clinical situations, the 'frozen elephant trunk' technique might also be considered in the treatment of complicated acute Type B AD without a proximal landing zone, as it also eliminates the risk of retrograde Type A AD.²²⁶

Recommendations for treatment of aortic dissection

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref. ^c
In all patients with AD, medical therapy including pain relief and blood pressure control is recommended.	I	C	
In patients with Type A AD, urgent surgery is recommended.	I	B	1,2
In patients with acute Type A AD and organ malperfusion, a hybrid approach (i.e. ascending aorta and/or arch replacement associated with any percutaneous aortic or branch artery procedure) should be considered.	IIa	B	2,118, 202–204, 227
In uncomplicated Type B AD, medical therapy should always be recommended.	I	C	
In uncomplicated Type B AD, TEVAR should be considered.	IIa	B	218,219
In complicated Type B AD, TEVAR is recommended.	I	C	
In complicated Type B AD, surgery may be considered.	IIb	C	

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cReference(s) supporting recommendations.

AD = aortic dissection; TEVAR = thoracic endovascular aortic repair.

6.4 Intramural haematoma

6.4.1 Definition

Aortic IMH is an entity within the spectrum of AAS, in which a haematoma develops in the media of the aortic wall in the absence of an FL and intimal tear. Intramural haematoma is diagnosed in the presence of a circular or crescent-shaped thickening of >5 mm of the aortic wall in the absence of detectable blood flow. This entity may account for 10–25% of AAS. The involvement of the ascending aorta and aortic arch (Type A) may account for 30% and 10% of cases, respectively, whereas it involves the descending thoracic aorta (Type B) in 60–70% of cases.^{228,229}

6.4.2 Diagnosis

For the detection of an acute aortic IMH, TTE is inadequate because of its low sensitivity. For an IMH cut-off limit of 5 mm,²³⁰ the sensitivity of TTE for its detection is estimated to be lower than 40%. Based on these findings, TTE cannot be used as the sole imaging technique in patients with suspected AAS.²³¹

CT and MRI are the leading techniques for diagnosis and classification of intramural haematoma. When evaluating the aorta using CT, an unenhanced acquisition is crucial for the diagnosis of IMH. A high-attenuation crescentic thickening of the aortic, extending in a longitudinal, non-spiral fashion, is the hallmark of this entity. In contrast to AD, the aortic lumen is rarely compromised in IMH, and no intimal flap or enhancement of the aortic wall is seen after administration of contrast. Using CT, the combination of an unenhanced acquisition followed by a contrast-enhanced acquisition yields a sensitivity as high as 96% for detection of IMH.²³² Infrequently, however, the differentiation of IMH from atherosclerotic thickening of the aorta, thrombus, or thrombosed dissection may be difficult using CT. In those circumstances, MRI can be a valuable problem-solving tool, especially when dynamic cine gradient-echo sequences are applied.^{79,233,234} MRI may also provide a determination of the age of a haematoma, based on the signal characteristics of different degradation products of haemoglobin.^{88,187}

In acute IMH Types A and B, imaging should always include a thorough attempt to localize a primary (micro) entry tear, which is very often present and therefore might lead the way to the choice of treatment, especially when considering TEVAR.

6.4.3 Natural history, morphological changes, and complications

The mortality rates of medically treated patients in European and American series are high,^{228,229,235–238} in contrast to Asian series.^{239,240} In the IRAD series, the in-hospital mortality of Type A IMH was similar to Type A AD, and related to its proximity to the aortic valve.²²⁹ On the other hand, several series showed that 30–40% of Type A IMH evolved into AD, with the greatest risk within the first 8 days after onset of symptoms.²³⁶ Acute Type B IMH has an in-hospital mortality risk of <10%, similar to that observed with descending Type B AD.²²⁸ Predictors of IMH complications in the acute phase are described in Table 8.

Table 8 Predictors of intramural haematoma complications

Persistent and recurrent pain despite aggressive medical treatment ²⁴¹
Difficult blood pressure control ²²⁸
Ascending aortic involvement ^{228, 237, 242}
Maximum aortic diameter ≥50 mm ^{178, 242}
Progressive maximum aortic wall thickness (>11 mm) ²⁴³
Enlarging aortic diameter ²⁴³
Recurrent pleural effusion ²⁴¹
Penetrating ulcer or ulcer-like projection secondary to localized dissections in the involved segment ^{241, 244–246}
Detection of organ ischaemia (brain, myocardium, bowels, kidneys, etc)

Overall, the long-term prognosis of patients with IMH is more favourable than that of patients with AD.^{247,248} However, survival at 5 years reported in IMH series ranged from 43–90%, depending on the population characteristics.^{178,228,236} Localized disruption, called ulcer-like projection (ULP) of the aorta, may appear within the first days or several months after the acute onset of symptoms (Web Figure 14), and this differs from PAU, which is related to atherosclerosis of the aortic wall.^{241,248} Although ULP has a poor prognosis in the ascending aorta,²⁴⁸ the course is more benign in Type B IMH.^{241,248} It appears that the greater the initial depth of the ULP, the greater the risk of associated complications.^{247,249,250}

6.4.4 Indications for surgery and thoracic endovascular aortic repair

Therapeutic management in acute IMH should be similar to that for AD.

6.4.4.1 Type A intramural haematoma

Emergency surgery is indicated in complicated cases with pericardial effusion, periaortic haematoma, or large aneurysms, and urgent surgery (<24 hours after diagnosis) is required in most of Type A IMHs. In elderly patients or those with significant comorbidities, initial medical treatment with a ‘wait-and-watch strategy’ (optimal medical therapy with blood pressure and pain control and repetitive imaging) may be a reasonable option, particularly in the absence of aortic dilation (<50 mm) and IMH thickness <11 mm.^{239,240}

6.4.4.2 Type B intramural haematoma

Medical treatment is the initial approach to this condition. Endovascular therapy or surgery would have the same indications as for Type B AD. The subgroup of patients with aortic dilation or ulcer-like projection (ULP) should be followed up closely and treated more aggressively if symptoms persist or reappear, or if progressive aortic dilation is observed.²⁵⁰ Indications for intervention (TEVAR rather than surgery) in the acute phase are an expansion of the IMH despite medical therapy, and the disruption of intimal tear on CT with contrast enhancement.

Recommendations on the management of intramural haematoma

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In all patients with IMH, medical therapy including pain relief and blood pressure control is recommended.	I	C
In cases of Type A IMH, urgent surgery is indicated.	I	C
In cases of Type B IMH, initial medical therapy under careful surveillance is recommended.	I	C
In uncomplicated ^c Type B IMH, repetitive imaging (MRI or CT) is indicated.	I	C
In complicated ^c Type B IMH, TEVAR should be considered.	IIa	C
In complicated ^c Type B IMH, surgery may be considered.	IIb	C

^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.
^cUncomplicated/complicated IMH means absence or present recurrent pain, expansion of the IMH, periaortic haematoma, intimal disruption. CT = computed tomography; IMH = intramural haematoma; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; TEVAR = thoracic endovascular aortic repair.

6.5 Penetrating aortic ulcer

6.5.1 Definition

Penetrating aortic ulcer (PAU) is defined as ulceration of an aortic atherosclerotic plaque penetrating through the internal elastic lamina into the media.²⁵¹ Such lesions represent 2–7% of all AAS.²⁵² Propagation of the ulcerative process may either lead to IMH, pseudoaneurysm, or even aortic rupture, or an acute AD.²⁵³ The natural history of this lesion is characterized by progressive aortic enlargement and development of saccular or fusiform aneurysms, which is particularly accelerated in the ascending aorta (Type A PAU).^{245,251,253,254} PAU is often encountered in the setting of extensive atherosclerosis of the thoracic aorta, may be multiple, and may vary greatly in size and depth within the vessel wall.²⁵⁵ The most common location of PAU is the middle and lower descending thoracic aorta (Type B PAU). Less frequently, PAUs are located in the aortic arch or abdominal aorta, while involvement of the ascending aorta is rare.^{245,251,256,257} Common features in patients affected

by PAU include older age, male gender, tobacco smoking, hypertension, coronary artery disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and concurrent abdominal aneurysm.^{256–258} Symptoms may be similar to those of AD, although they occur more often in elderly patients and rarely manifest as signs of organ malperfusion.²⁵⁹ Symptoms have to be assumed to indicate an emergency as the adventitia is reached and aortic rupture expected. CT is the imaging modality of choice to diagnose PAU as an out-pouching of contrast media through a calcified plaque.

6.5.2 Diagnostic imaging

On unenhanced CT, PAU resembles an IMH. Contrast-enhanced CT, including axial and multiplanar reformations, is the technique of choice for diagnosis of PAU. The characteristic finding is localized ulceration, penetrating through the aortic intima into the aortic wall in the mid- to distal third of the descending thoracic aorta. Focal thickening or high attenuation of the adjacent aortic wall suggests associated IMH. A potential disadvantage of MRI in this setting, compared with CT, is its inability to reveal dislodgement of the intimal calcifications that frequently accompany PAU (Table 9).

6.5.3 Management

In the presence of AAS related to PAU, the aim of treatment is to prevent aortic rupture and progression to acute AD. The indications for intervention include recurrent and refractory pain, as well as signs of contained rupture, such as rapidly growing aortic ulcer, associated periaortic haematoma, or pleural effusion.^{241,258,259}

It has been suggested that asymptomatic PAUs with diameter >20 mm or neck >10 mm represent a higher risk for disease progression and may be candidates for early intervention.²⁴¹ However, the size-related indications are not supported by other observations.²⁵³ The value of FDG-positron emission tomography/CT is currently being investigated, for the assessment of the degree and extension of lesion inflammation as a marker of aortic instability and potential guidance for therapy.⁸⁶

6.5.4 Interventional therapy

In patients with PAU, no randomized studies are available that compare open surgical- and endovascular treatment. The choice of

Table 9 Diagnostic value of different imaging modalities in acute aortic syndromes

Lesion	TTE	TOE	CT	MRI
Ascending aortic dissection	++	+++	+++	+++
Aortic arch dissection	+	+	+++	+++
Descending aortic dissection	+	+++	+++	+++
Size	++	+++	+++	+++
Mural thrombus	+	+++	+++	+++
Intramural haematoma	+	+++	++	+++
Penetrating aortic ulcer	++	++	+++	+++
Involvement of aortic branches	+ ^a	(+)	+++	+++

^aCan be improved when combined by vascular ultrasound (carotid, subclavian, vertebral, celiac, mesenteric and renal arteries).
++ = excellent; + = moderate; + = poor; (+) = poor and inconstant; CT = computed tomography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; TOE = transoesophageal echocardiography; TTE = transthoracic echocardiography.

treatment is commonly based on anatomical features, clinical presentation, and comorbidities. Since these patients are often poor candidates for conventional surgery due to advanced age and related comorbidities—and the aortic lesions, due to their segmental nature, represent an ideal anatomical target for stenting—TEVAR is increasingly being used for this indication, with encouraging results.^{255,259–261}

Recommendations on management of penetrating aortic ulcer

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In all patients with PAU, medical therapy including pain relief and blood pressure control is recommended.	I	C
In the case of Type A PAU, surgery should be considered.	IIa	C
In the case of Type B PAU, initial medical therapy under careful surveillance is recommended.	I	C
In uncomplicated Type B PAU, repetitive imaging (MRI or CT) is indicated.	I	C
In complicated Type B PAU, TEVAR should be considered.	IIa	C
In complicated Type B PAU, surgery may be considered.	IIb	C

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

CT = computed tomography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging;

PAU = penetrating aortic ulcer; TEVAR = thoracic endovascular aortic repair.

6.6 Aortic pseudoaneurysm

Aortic pseudoaneurysm (false aneurysm) is defined as a dilation of the aorta due to disruption of all wall layers, which is only contained by the periaortic connective tissue. When the pressure of the aortic pseudoaneurysm exceeds the maximally tolerated wall tension of the surrounding tissue, fatal rupture occurs. Other life-threatening complications—due to the progressive increase of the size of the aortic pseudoaneurysm—include fistula formation and the compression or erosion of surrounding structures. Pseudoaneurysms of the thoracic aorta are commonly secondary to blunt thoracic trauma, as a consequence of rapid deceleration experienced in motor vehicle accidents, falls, and sports injuries.²⁶² Iatrogenic aetiologies include aortic surgery and catheter-based interventions.^{263–265} Rarely, aortic pseudoaneurysms are secondary to aortic infections (mycotic aneurysms) and penetrating ulcers.

In patients with aortic pseudoaneurysms—if feasible and independently of size—interventional or open surgical interventions are always indicated. Currently, no randomized studies are available that compare outcomes after open surgical and endovascular treatment in aortic pseudoaneurysm patients. The choice of treatment is commonly based on anatomical features, clinical presentation, and comorbidities.

6.7 (Contained) rupture of aortic aneurysm

Contained rupture should be suspected in all patients presenting with acute pain, in whom imaging detects aortic aneurysm with preserved integrity of the aortic wall. In this setting, recurrent or refractory

pain—as well as pleural or peritoneal effusions, particularly if increasing—identifies patients at highest risk of aortic rupture. At the time of imaging, aortic rupture may be difficult to differentiate from contained aortic rupture. In contrast to overt free rupture (in which disruption of all of the layers of the aortic wall leads to massive haematoma), in contained ruptures of aortic aneurysms (with or without pseudoaneurysm formation), perivascular haematoma is sealed off by periaortic structures, such as the pleura, pericardium and retroperitoneal space, as well as the surrounding organs. Therefore, patients with contained aortic rupture are haemodynamically stable.

6.7.1 Contained rupture of thoracic aortic aneurysm

6.7.1.1 Clinical presentation

Patients with contained rupture of a TAA usually present with acute onset of chest and/or back pain. Concurrent abdominal pain may be present in patients with symptomatic thoraco-abdominal aneurysms. Overt free aortic rupture typically leads rapidly to internal bleeding and death. Acute respiratory failure may be the result of free aortic rupture into the left hemithorax. Rarely, erosion into mediastinal structures can result in haemoptysis from aortobronchial fistula or haematemesis from an aorto-oesophageal fistula. The location of the rupture is of paramount importance, as it is pertinent to prognosis and management. As a general rule, the closer the location of the aneurysm to the aortic valve, the greater the risk of death. Fewer than half of all patients with rupture arrive at hospital alive; mortality may be as high as 54% at 6 hours and 76% at 24 hours after the initial event.¹²³

6.7.1.2 Diagnostic work-up

With the suspicion of (contained) rupture of a TAA, CT is indicated, using a protocol including a non-contrast phase to detect IMH, followed by a contrast injection to delineate the presence of contrast leaks indicating rupture. In addition to the entire aorta, imaging should cover the iliac and femoral arteries, to provide sufficient information for the planning of surgical or endovascular treatment. Contained (also called impending) ruptures of TAA are indications for urgent treatment because of the risk of imminent internal bleeding and death. As a general rule and in the absence of contraindications, symptomatic patients should be treated regardless of the diameter of the aneurysm because of the risk of aortic rupture.²⁶⁶ Open surgical and endovascular options should be carefully balanced in terms of risks and benefits, case by case, depending also on local expertise. The planning and performance of TEVAR for (contained) rupture of TAA should be performed according to the recent ESC/European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery consensus document.¹¹ Favourable anatomical factors for an endovascular repair include the presence of adequate proximal and distal landing zones for the prosthesis and adequate iliac/femoral vessels for vascular access.

6.7.1.3 Treatment

Contained rupture of TAA is a condition requiring urgent treatment because, once overt free rupture occurs, most patients do not survive. Traditionally, this condition has been treated by open repair, but endovascular repair has emerged as an alternative treatment option for suitable patients. A meta-analysis of 28 retrospective series, comparing open with endovascular repair in a total of 224

patients, documented a 30-day mortality rate of 33% in the open surgical group and 19% in the TEVAR group ($P = 0.016$).²⁶⁷ In a retrospective multicentre analysis of 161 patients, the 30-mortalities in the surgical- and TEVAR groups were 25% and 17%, respectively ($P = 0.26$).²⁶⁸ The composite outcome of death, stroke, or permanent paraplegia occurred in 36% of patients in the open repair group, compared with 22% in the TEVAR group. An analysis of the US Nationwide Inpatient Sample data set identified 923 patients who underwent ruptured descending TAA repair between 2006 and 2008, and who had no concomitant aortic disorders. Of these patients, 61% underwent open repair and 39% TEVAR. Unadjusted in-hospital mortality was 29% for open surgery and 23% for TEVAR ($P = 0.064$).²⁶⁹ After multivariable adjustment, the odds of mortality, complications, and failure to rescue were similar for open surgery and TEVAR.

Recommendations for (contained) rupture the thoracic aortic aneurysm

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In patients with suspected rupture of the TAA, emergency CT angiography for diagnosis confirmation is recommended.	I	C
In patients with acute contained rupture of TAA, urgent repair is recommended.	I	C
If the anatomy is favourable and the expertise available, endovascular repair (TEVAR) should be preferred over open surgery.	I	C

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

CT = computed tomography; TAA = thoracic aortic aneurysm; TEVAR = thoracic endovascular aortic repair.

6.8 Traumatic aortic injury

6.8.1 Definition, epidemiology and classification

Blunt traumatic thoracic aortic injury (TAI) most often occurs as a consequence of sudden deceleration resulting from head-on or side-impact collisions, usually in high-speed motor vehicle accidents or falling from a great height. Rapid deceleration results in torsion and shearing forces at relatively immobile portions of the aorta, such as the aortic root or in proximity of the ligamentum arteriosum or the diaphragm. A combination of compression and upward thrust of the mediastinum, sudden blood pressure elevation, and stretching of the aorta over the spine may also explain the pathogenesis of TAI. Accordingly, TAI is located at the aortic isthmus in up to 90% of cases.^{270,271} A classification scheme for TAI has been proposed: Type I (intimal tear), Type II (IMH), Type III (pseudoaneurysm), and Type IV (rupture).²⁷² Thoracic aortic injury is, after brain injury, the second most common cause of death in blunt trauma patients; the on-site mortality may exceed 80%. With improved rescue processes and rapid detection of TAI, patients who initially survive are more likely to undergo successful repair.

6.8.2 Patient presentation and diagnosis

The clinical presentation of TAI ranges from minor non-specific symptoms to mediastinal or interscapular pain. In a multicentre

retrospective study of 640 patients a score data set was developed in one group and validated in another. Emergency CT should be performed. Computed tomography is quick and reproducible, with sensitivity and specificity close to 100% for TAI. Predictors of TAI were widened mediastinum, hypotension <90 mm Hg, long bone fracture, pulmonary contusion, left scapula fracture, haemothorax, and pelvic fracture. Sensitivity reached 93% and specificity 86% in the validation set of patients.²⁷³ Also, CT allows simultaneous imaging of other organs (brain, visceral and bones injuries). Other findings associated with TAI may include mediastinal haematoma, haemothorax, and at the level of the aortic wall pseudoaneurysm, intimal flap, or thrombus formation. Finally, CT allows for 3D reconstructions with MPR that are critical for TEVAR. Alternatively, TOE is widely available, relatively non-invasive, and can be performed quickly at the bedside or in the operating room. In a subset of 101 patients with TAI, TOE reached a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 98% for detection of an injury of the aortic wall, but was possible only in 93 (92%) patients. Traumatic aortic injury was found in 11 (12%) of 93 patients and validated by surgery or autopsy.²⁷⁴ In a smaller series of 32 patients, similarly high values were observed, yielding a sensitivity of 91% and a specificity of 100% for TAI with sub-adventitial injury. Only one intimal tear was missed.²⁷⁵ Despite these excellent results, TOE has a limited value in the evaluation of associated thoracic or abdominal injuries.

6.8.3 Indications for treatment in traumatic aortic injury

The appropriate timing of treatment in patients with TAI is still controversial. In haemodynamically stable patients, the majority of TAI-associated aortic ruptures were believed to occur within 24 hours. For this reason, immediate treatment of TAI has for many years been considered to be the standard of care. Subsequently, several studies have suggested a reduction in paraplegia and mortality associated with delayed aortic treatment in selected patients requiring management of additional extensive injuries.²⁷⁶ In those patients, aortic repair should then be performed as soon as possible after initial injury (i.e. within 24 hours). A classification system has recently been worked out.²⁶⁸

The type of aortic injury is a critical factor determining the timing of intervention. Patients with free aortic rupture or large periaortic haematoma should be treated as emergency cases. For all other conditions, the intervention may be delayed for up to 24 hours to allow for patient stabilization and the best possible conditions for the aortic intervention. An initial conservative management, with serial imaging, has been proposed for patients with minimal aortic injuries (intimal tear/Type I lesions), as most lesions remain stable or resolve.^{277,278}

6.8.4 Medical therapy in traumatic aortic injury

In polytrauma patients, multidisciplinary management is vital to establish the correct timing of the interventions and treatment priorities. Aggressive fluid administration should be avoided because it may exacerbate bleeding, coagulopathy, and hypertension; to reduce the risk of aortic rupture, mean blood pressure should not exceed 80 mm Hg.^{272,279,280}

6.8.5 Surgery in traumatic aortic injury

To facilitate access, open surgical repair of a TAI at the classic isthmus location requires exposure of the aorta via a left fourth interspace

thoracotomy, as well as selective right lung ventilation. The aorta is clamped proximally to the origin of the left subclavian artery and distally to the injured segment. Until the mid-1980s, most of these procedures were completed with an expeditious clamp-and-sew technique. A meta-analysis of this technique reported mortality and paraplegia rates of 16–31% and 5–19%, respectively.^{262,281,282}

Various methods of distal aortic perfusion have been used to protect the spinal cord. The use of extracorporeal circulation has been associated with a reduced risk of perioperative mortality and paraplegia. A meta-analysis and large cohort studies of active vs. passive perfusion showed a lower rate of post-operative paraplegia from 19% to 3% and a reduction in mortality from 30% to 12% associated with active perfusion.^{283,284}

6.8.6 Endovascular therapy in traumatic aortic injury

Available data indicate that TEVAR, in suitable anatomies, should be the preferred treatment option in TAI.^{262,268,269,278,281,285–295} In a review of 139 studies (7768 patients), the majority being non-comparative case series, retrospective in design, and none being a randomized trial, a significantly lower mortality rate has been reported for TEVAR than for open surgery (9 vs. 19%; $P < 0.01$).²⁷⁶

Similarly, most other systematic reviews suggested an advantage from TEVAR, in terms of survival as well as a decreased incidence of paraplegia, when compared with open surgery. Endoleak rates of up to 5.2% and a stent collapse rate of 2.5%, with a mortality rate of 12.9% associated with the latter complication, have been reported for TEVAR.^{276,289}

6.8.7 Long-term surveillance in traumatic aortic injury

CT is currently considered the standard imaging modality for follow-up in patients who benefit from TEVAR; however, given the frequent young age of patients with TAI, concerns arise with regard to cumulative exposure to radiation and iodinated contrast medium.⁸³ For these reasons MRI is the best alternative for surveillance when magnetic resonance-compatible stent grafts are employed. It therefore seems rational to adopt a combination of a multiview chest X-ray and MRI, instead of CT, for long-term follow-up of these patients, with due consideration of the metallic composition of the endograft. By these two modalities, endoleaks, pseudoaneurysm, and stent graft material-related complications can be detected.

Recommendations for traumatic aortic injury

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In case of suspicion of TAI, CT is recommended.	I	C
If CT is not available, TOE should be considered	IIa	C
In cases of TAI with suitable anatomy requiring intervention, TEVAR should be preferred to surgery.	IIa	C

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

CT = computed tomography; TAI = traumatic aortic injury; TEVAR = thoracic endovascular aortic repair; TOE = transoesophageal echocardiography.

6.9 Iatrogenic aortic dissection

Iatrogenic aortic dissection (IAD) may occur in the setting of (i) catheter-based coronary procedures, (ii) cardiac surgery, (iii) as a complication of endovascular treatment of aortic coarctation,^{296,297} (iv) aortic endografting,²⁹⁸ (v) peripheral interventions, (vi) intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation and, more recently, (vii) during transcatheter aortic valve implantation.²⁹⁹ With respect to catheter-based coronary procedures, IAD is a rare complication, reported in less than 4 per 10 000 coronary angiographies and less than 2 per 1000 percutaneous coronary interventions.^{299–303} One series reported an incidence of 7.5 per 1000 coronary interventions.³⁰⁴ Iatrogenic AD can be induced when the catheter is pushed into the vessel wall during the introduction of a diagnostic or guiding catheter, and is usually located in the abdominal aorta. Iatrogenic AD can also be the result of retrograde extension into the ascending aorta of a vessel wall injury, most commonly located at the ostium of the right coronary artery, which is located along the right anterior convexity of the ascending aorta where dissections more easily extend upwards.^{300–304} Injury propagation may be favoured by contrast injections and extensive dissections involving the ascending aorta, the aortic arch, the supra-aortic vessels, and even the descending aorta may be observed. Furthermore, extension of the intimal flap towards the aortic valve may cause significant acute aortic regurgitation, haemopericardium and cardiac tamponade. Usually, the diagnosis of IAD is straightforward during angiography, characterized by stagnation of contrast medium at the level of the aortic root or ascending aorta. If needed, the extension of the process can be further investigated with TOE or CT. Clinical manifestations may range from the absence of symptoms to excruciating chest, back, or abdominal pain, according to the site of the AD. Hypotension, haemodynamic compromise, and shock may ensue. At times, the diagnosis of IAD may be difficult due to atypical presentation and relative lack of classic signs of dissection on imaging studies.³⁰⁵ The management of iatrogenic catheter-induced AD is not standardized. A conservative approach is frequently applied, especially for catheter-induced dissection of the abdominal aorta or iliac arteries, and for those located at the level of the coronary cusps. Whilst an IAD of the right coronary artery ostium may compromise flow at the ostium and require emergency coronary stenting, the outcome for the aortic wall is benign when the complication is promptly recognized and further injections are avoided. Treatment is conservative in most cases, with complete spontaneous healing observed in most instances. Rupture is exceedingly rare, but isolated reports of extensive secondary Type A dissections recommend careful monitoring of these patients. Dissections extending over several centimetres into the ascending aorta or further propagating do require emergency cardiac surgery.

The largest series, at a single high-volume centre, of iatrogenic catheter-based or surgically induced AD ($n = 48$) that underwent emergency surgical repair suggested a somewhat higher incidence following cardiac surgery than with coronary catheterization procedures.³⁰³ Early mortality was 42%, with no difference between catheter- or cardiac surgery-induced dissections. Iatrogenic AD during surgery occurred most frequently during aortic cannulation, insertion of the cardioplegia cannula, or manipulation of the aorta cross-clamp.³⁰³ In a report from IRAD, the mortality of Type A

IAD ($n = 34$) was similar to that for spontaneous AD, while the mortality for iatrogenic Type B AD exceeded that during spontaneous AD.³⁰⁵ Several cases have been reported of IAD following transcatheter aortic valve implantations.²⁹⁹ The incidence of this complication is not known because, in large-scale registries and randomized trials, it is usually included in the endpoint 'major vascular complications' and is not reported separately.

7. Aortic aneurysms

Aneurysm is the second most frequent disease of the aorta after atherosclerosis. In these Guidelines, the management of aortic aneurysms is focused largely on the lesion, and is separated into TAAs and AAAs. This approach follows the usual dichotomy, in part related to the fact that different specialists tend to be involved in different locations of the disease. The pathways leading to TAA or AAA may also differ, although this issue has not been clearly investigated, and similarities between the two locations may outweigh disparities. Before presentation of the sections below, several points should be highlighted.

First, this dichotomy into TAA and AAA is somehow artificial, not only because of the presence of thoraco-abdominal aneurysm, but also because of the possibility of tandem lesions. In a recent series, 27% of patients with AAA also presented a TAA, most of whom were women and the elderly.³⁰⁶ In another large study of more than 2000 patients with AAA, more than 20% had either synchronous or metachronous TAA.³⁰⁷ In a multicentre study screening for AAA during TTE, in those with AAA the ascending aorta was larger, with significantly higher rates of aortic valve disease (bicuspid aortic valve and/or grade 3 or more aortic regurgitation: 8.0 vs. 2.6% in those without AAA; $P = 0.017$).³⁰⁸ On the other hand, patients with AD are at risk of developing AAA, mostly unrelated to a dissected abdominal aorta.³⁰⁹ These data emphasize the importance of a full assessment of the aorta and the aortic valve in patients with aortic aneurysms, both at baseline and also during follow-up.

Second, the presence of aortic aneurysm may be associated with other locations of aneurysms. Iliac aneurysms are generally detected during aortic imaging, but other locations, such as popliteal aneurysms, may be missed. There are some discrepancies regarding the co-existence of peripheral aneurysms in patients with AAA, but a prevalence as high as 14% of either femoral or popliteal aneurysm has been reported.³¹⁰ These locations are accessible for ultrasound imaging and should be considered in the general work-up of patients with AAA, along with screening for peripheral artery disease, a frequent comorbidity in this setting. Data on the co-existence of peripheral aneurysms in the case of TAA are scarce.

Third, patients with aortic aneurysm are at increased risk of cardiovascular events, mostly unrelated to the aneurysm, but plausibly related to common risk factors (e.g. smoking or hypertension) and pathways (e.g. inflammation), as well as the increased risk of cardiovascular comorbidities at the time of aneurysm diagnosis.³¹¹ Indeed, the 10-year risk of mortality from any other cardiovascular cause (e.g. myocardial infarction or stroke) may be as high as 15 times the risk of aorta-related death in patients with AAA.⁵⁴ Even after

successful repair, patients with TAA or AAA remain at increased risk for cardiovascular events.³¹¹ While no randomized, clinical trial (RCT) has yet specifically addressed the medical treatment of these patients to improve their general cardiovascular prognosis, it is common sense to advocate the implementation of general rules and treatments for secondary cardiovascular prevention, beyond specific therapies targeting the aneurysmal aorta as developed below.

Recommendations in patients with aortic aneurysm

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
When an aortic aneurysm is identified at any location, assessment of the entire aorta and aortic valve is recommended at baseline and during follow-up.	I	C
In cases of aneurysm of the abdominal aorta, duplex ultrasound for screening of peripheral artery disease and peripheral aneurysms should be considered.	IIa	C
Patients with aortic aneurysm are at increased risk of cardiovascular disease: general principles of cardiovascular prevention should be considered.	IIa	C

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

7.1 Thoracic aortic aneurysms

TAA encompasses a wide range of locations and aetiologies, the most frequent being degenerative aneurysm of the ascending aorta.

7.1.1 Diagnosis

Patients with TAA are most often asymptomatic and the diagnosis is made following imaging, performed either for other investigative reasons or for screening purposes. The usefulness of screening patients at risk is well recognized in the case of Marfan syndrome. In patients with a BAV, the value of screening first-degree relatives is more debatable but can be considered.³¹² TAA is less frequently revealed by clinical signs of compression, chest pain, an aortic valve murmur, or during a complication (i.e. embolism, AD, or rupture).

7.1.2 Anatomy

In Marfan syndrome, aortic enlargement is generally maximal at the sinuses of Valsalva, responsible for annulo-aortic ectasia. This pattern is also seen in patients without Marfan phenotype. In patients with BAV, three enlargement patterns are described, according to whether the maximal aortic diameter is at the level of the sinuses of Valsalva, the supracoronary ascending aorta, or the sinotubular junction level (cylindrical shape). There is a relationship between the morphology of the ascending aorta and the valve fusion pattern.³¹³

7.1.3 Evaluation

Once aortic dilation is suspected, based on echocardiography and/or chest X-ray, CT or MRI (with or without contrast) is required to adequately visualize the entire aorta and identify the affected parts.

Key decisions regarding management of aortic aneurysms depend on their size. Hence, care must be taken to measure the diameter perpendicular to the longitudinal axis. A search should also be made for co-existing IMH, PAU, and branch vessel involvement of aneurysmal disease.

TTE, CT, and MRI should be performed with appropriate techniques and the consistency of their findings checked. This is of particular importance when diameters are borderline for the decision to proceed to intervention, and to assess enlargement rates during follow-up (see section 4). Follow-up modalities are detailed in section 13.

7.1.4 Natural history

Dimensions and growth rates of the normal aorta are described in section 3.

7.1.4.1 Aortic growth in familial thoracic aortic aneurysms

Familial TAAs grow faster, up to 2.1 mm/year (combined ascending and descending TAA). Syndromic TAA growth rates also vary. In patients with Marfan syndrome, the TAA growth is on average at 0.5–1 mm/year, whereas TAAs in patients with Loeys-Dietz syndrome (LDS) can grow even faster than 10 mm/year, resulting in death at a mean age of 26 years.^{85,314–316}

7.1.4.2 Descending aortic growth

In general, TAAs of the descending aorta grow faster (at 3 mm/year) than those in ascending aorta (1 mm/year).³¹⁷ In patients with Marfan syndrome with TAA, the mean growth rate after aortic valve and proximal aorta surgery for AD was 0.58 ± 0.5 mm/year for distal descending aortas. Dissection, urgent procedure, and hypertension were associated with larger distal aortic diameters at late follow-up and with more significant aortic growth over time.³¹⁸

7.1.4.3 Risk of aortic dissection

There is a rapid increase in the risk of dissection or rupture when the aortic diameter is >60 mm for the ascending aorta and >70 mm for the descending aorta.²⁶⁶ Although dissection may occur in patients with a small aorta, the individual risk is very low.

7.1.5 Interventions

7.1.5.1 Ascending aortic aneurysms

Indications for surgery are based mainly on aortic diameter and derived from findings on natural history regarding the risk of complications weighed against the risk of elective surgery. Surgery should be performed in patients with Marfan syndrome, who have a maximal aortic diameter ≥ 50 mm.³¹⁹ A lower threshold of 45 mm can be considered in patients with additional risk factors, including family history of dissection, size increase >3 mm/year (in repeated examinations using the same technique and confirmed by another technique), severe aortic regurgitation, or desire for pregnancy.³¹² Patients with Marfanoid manifestations due to connective tissue disease, without complete Marfan criteria, should be treated as Marfan patients. Earlier interventions have been proposed for aortic diameters >42 mm in patients with LDS.⁸ However, the underlying evidence is self-contradictory and

the Task Force chose not to recommend a different threshold from Marfan syndrome.^{320,321} Patients with Ehlers-Danlos syndrome are exposed to a high risk of aortic complications, but no data are available to propose a specific threshold for intervention.

Surgery should be performed in patients with a BAV, who have a maximal aortic diameter ≥ 55 mm; these face a lower risk of complications than in Marfan.³²² A lower threshold of 50 mm can be considered in patients with additional risk factors, such as family history, systemic hypertension, coarctation of the aorta, or increase in aortic diameter >3 mm/year, and also according to age, body size, comorbidities, and type of surgery. Regardless of aetiology, surgery should be performed in patients who have a maximal aortic diameter ≥ 55 mm.

The rate of enlargement, above which surgery should be considered, is a matter of debate. It should weigh prognostic implications against the accuracy of the measurements and their reproducibility. Rather than sticking to a given progression rate, it is necessary to rely on investigations performed using appropriate techniques with measurements taken at the same level of the aorta. This can be checked by analysing images and not just by considering the dimensions mentioned in the report. When rates of progression have an impact on the therapeutic decision, they should be assessed using alternative techniques (e.g. TTE and CT or MRI) and their consistency checked.

In borderline cases, the individual and family history, patient age, and the anticipated risk of the procedure should be taken into consideration. In patients with small body size, in particular in patients with Turner syndrome, an indexed aortic diameter of 27.5 mm/m² body surface area should be considered.³²³ Lower thresholds of aortic diameters may also be considered in low-risk patients, if valve repair, performed in an experienced centre, is likely.³⁴ In these borderline cases, decisions shared by the patient and the surgical team are important, following a thorough discussion regarding pros and cons for an earlier intervention, and a transparent presentation of surgical team's results.

For patients who have an indication for surgery on the aortic valve, lower thresholds can be used for concomitant aortic replacement (>45 mm) depending on age, body size, aetiology of valvular disease, and intraoperative shape and thickness of the ascending aorta. Surgical indications for aortic valve disease are addressed in specific guidelines.³¹² The choice between a total replacement of the ascending aorta—including the aortic root—by coronary re-implantation, and a segmental replacement of the aorta above the sinotubular junction, depends on the diameters at different sites of the aorta, in particular the sinuses of Valsalva. In cases of total replacement, the choice between a valve-sparing intervention and a composite graft with a valve prosthesis depends on the analysis of aortic valve function and anatomy, the size and site of TAA, life expectancy, desired anticoagulation status, and the experience of the surgical team.

7.1.5.2 Aortic arch aneurysms

Indications for surgical treatment of aneurysms of the aortic arch raise particular issues, due to the hazards relating to brain

protection. In addition, few data exist on the natural history of isolated aortic arch aneurysms, since they are often associated with adjacent aneurysms of the ascending or descending aorta.

Surgery should be considered in patients who have an aortic arch aneurysm with a maximal diameter ≥ 55 mm or who present symptoms or signs of local compression. Decision-making should weigh the perioperative risks, since aortic arch replacement is associated with higher rates of mortality and stroke than in surgery of the ascending and descending aorta. Indications for partial or total aortic arch replacement are more frequently seen in patients who have an indication for surgery on an adjacent aneurysm of the ascending or descending aorta.

Arch vessel transposition (debranching) and TEVAR might be considered as an alternative to conventional surgery in certain clinical situations, especially when there is reluctance to expose patients to hypothermic circulatory arrest; however, especially after total arch vessel transposition, as well as in patients with the underlying diagnosis of acute Type B AD, the risk of retrograde Type A AD as a direct consequence of the procedure is elevated and should be weighed against the remaining risk of conventional surgery.^{105,117,324,325}

7.1.5.3 Descending aortic aneurysms

The treatment of descending aortic aneurysms has been re-orientated with the development of TEVAR using stent grafts. No randomized trials exist to guide the choice between open surgery and TEVAR. From non-randomized comparisons and meta-analyses, early mortality is lower after TEVAR than open surgery.^{326–330} Early mortality depends on the extent of repair and patient characteristics, in particular age and comorbidities. Overall mid-term survival does not differ between TEVAR and surgery.^{327,328} During follow-up, there is a contrast between low mortality related to aortic complications and relatively high overall mortality, especially from cardiopulmonary causes.^{331,332}

TEVAR should be considered in patients who have a descending TAA with a maximal diameter ≥ 55 mm. When surgery is the only option, it should be considered in patients with a maximal diameter ≥ 60 mm. Lower thresholds can be considered in patients with Marfan syndrome. Indications for treatment and the choice between TEVAR and open surgery should be made by a multidisciplinary team with expertise in both methods, taking into consideration patient age, comorbidities, and life expectancy, and conducting a thorough analysis of the arterial tree to assess the feasibility and presumed risks of each technique: extent and size of aneurysm, associated atheroma, collaterals, and size and length of the landing zone for endovascular grafting and vascular access.^{11,333} The lack of information on long-term results of TEVAR should be kept in mind, in particular in young patients. Surgery and TEVAR may be combined in hybrid approaches.

In cases of Marfan disease, surgery should be preferred over TEVAR. There is no evidence supporting any use of TEVAR in patients with connective tissue disease, except in emergency situations in order to get initial stabilization as a bridge to definitive surgical therapy.^{334,335}

Recommendations on interventions on ascending aortic aneurysms

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Surgery is indicated in patients who have aortic root aneurysm, with maximal aortic diameter ^c ≥ 50 mm for patients with Marfan syndrome.	I	C
Surgery should be considered in patients who have aortic root aneurysm, with maximal ascending aortic diameters: ≥ 45 mm for patients with Marfan syndrome with risk factors.^d ≥ 50 mm for patients with bicuspid valve with risk factors.^{e,f} ≥ 55 mm for other patients with no elastopathy.^{g,h}	IIa	C
Lower thresholds for intervention may be considered according to body surface area in patients of small stature or in the case of rapid progression, aortic valve regurgitation, planned pregnancy, and patient's preference.	IIb	C
Interventions on aortic arch aneurysms		
Surgery should be considered in patients who have isolated aortic arch aneurysm with maximal diameter ≥ 55 mm.	IIa	C
Aortic arch repair may be considered in patients with aortic arch aneurysm who already have an indication for surgery of an adjacent aneurysm located in the ascending or descending aorta.	IIb	C
Interventions on descending aortic aneurysms		
TEVAR should be considered, rather than surgery, when anatomy is suitable.	IIa	C
TEVAR should be considered in patients who have descending aortic aneurysm with maximal diameter ≥ 55 mm.	IIa	C
When TEVAR is not technically possible, surgery should be considered in patients who have descending aortic aneurysm with maximal diameter ≥ 60 mm.	IIa	C
When intervention is indicated, in cases of Marfan syndrome or other elastopathies, surgery should be indicated rather than TEVAR.	IIa	C

^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.
^cDecision should also take into account the shape of the different parts of the aorta. Lower thresholds can be used for combining surgery on the ascending aorta for patients who have an indication for surgery on the aortic valve.
^dFamily history of AD and/or aortic size increase > 3 mm/year (on repeated measurements using the same imaging technique, at the same aorta level, with side-by-side comparison and confirmed by another technique), severe aortic or mitral regurgitation, or desire for pregnancy.
^eCoarctation of the aorta, systemic hypertension, family history of dissection, or increase in aortic diameter > 3 mm/year (on repeated measurements using the same imaging technique, measured at the same aorta level, with side-by-side comparison and confirmed by another technique).
^fPending comorbidities in the elderly.
^gSee text in section 8.
^hFor patients with LDS or vascular type IV Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (EDS), lower thresholds should be considered, possibly even lower than in Marfan syndrome. There are no data to provide figures and a sensible case-by-case approach is the only option.

7.2 Abdominal aortic aneurysm

7.2.1 Definition

While an aneurysm is generally defined as arterial enlargement with loss of arterial wall parallelism, AAA—almost exclusively infra-renal—is usually defined as a diameter ≥ 30 mm. Several authors proposed an alternative definition of a $>50\%$ increased diameter, but this cannot always be determined, especially when the limit between the aneurysmal and disease-free zones is not well delineated. The main aetiology of this disease is degenerative, although it is frequently associated with atherosclerotic disease.

7.2.2 Risk factors

Age, male gender, personal history of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, smoking and hypertension are all associated with the presence of AAA.³³⁶ Dyslipidaemia is considered as a weaker risk factor while, in contrast, diabetic patients are at decreased risk for AAA.³³⁶ A family history of AAA is a powerful predictor of prevalent AAA and risk for the condition increases exponentially with the number of siblings affected.^{336–338,339}

7.2.3 Natural history

Large and life-threatening AAA is preceded by a long period of subclinical growth in the diameter of the aneurysm, estimated at $<1–6$ mm/year.^{95,340} These average rates cover a wide range of variability in diameter progression, which may depend on genetic and environmental factors—among which continued smoking is the most potent factor for a rapid growth. Also, the larger the AAA, the higher its growth rate.³⁴⁰ The risk of rupture rises exponentially with the aneurysm's maximal diameter and is higher in women than in men at similar diameters; women present ruptured AAA on average 10 mm smaller than men.

7.2.4 Diagnosis

7.2.4.1 Presentation

Before its cataclysmic presentation when ruptured, AAA is mostly silent. The most frequent mode of detection is incidental, during abdominal imaging for any indication. Atypical abdominal or back pain may be present but should not be awaited in order to reach a diagnosis. Systematic palpation of the abdomen during cardiovascular examination may detect a pulsatile abdominal mass, but its sensitivity is poor. Acute abdominal pain and shock are usually present in the case of ruptured AAA, sometimes preceded by a less intense abdominal pain for contained rupture.

7.2.4.2 Diagnostic imaging

Ultrasonography is an excellent tool for screening and surveillance, without risk and at low cost. Diameter measurements should be performed in the plane perpendicular to the arterial axis, to avoid any overestimation of the actual diameter (see section 4).

Considered the 'gold standard' in the past, aortography enabled optimal imaging of the length of the aorto-iliac lesion, the collateral or variant anatomy, the location and severity of occlusive disease, and the associated aneurysms in the visceral or iliac arteries. Its limitations are high radiation dose, contrast load, and its invasive nature. Also, this technique does not provide information about thrombus or the aneurysmal sac, and may misjudge the aortic diameter.

Because of technical improvements, their relatively non-invasive nature and lower cost, CT and MRI have emerged as the current

'gold standards' in the pre-operative and post-operative evaluation of AAAs. Operator proficiency and availability of equipment may determine the preferred modality. Computed tomography accurately visualizes the aorto-iliac lesions, including calcifications, but requires ionizing radiation and iodinated contrast. Breath-held dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI allows rapid acquisition of images in any plane, independent of flow. Its disadvantages include non-visualization of calcifications and the usual contraindications (e.g. metal implants).

The pre-operative assessment of AAAs includes the measurement of their maximal transverse perpendicular diameter and the relationship of the aneurysm to the renal arteries (*Web Figure 15*). Their lengths, as well as diameters, angulations, and tortuosity, are particularly important for endovascular aneurysm repair at the level of the segment of normal calibre of the aorta, below the renal arteries ('proximal neck') and the iliac arteries ('distal neck'). Pre-operative imaging also reveals iliac or hypogastric aneurysms, occlusive disease in the iliac or renal arteries, and the presence of vascular abnormalities.

7.2.4.3 Screening abdominal aortic aneurysm in high-risk populations

The grim prognosis of ruptured AAA (mortality $>60–70\%$) contrasts with the excellent survival rate ($>95\%$) after planned AAA operation. This observation, along with the silent course of AAA and the possibility of detecting it easily with ultrasound, led to the consideration of mass screening in subgroups at risk (i.e. men ≥ 65 years, smokers, and those with a family history of AAA). Using abdominal echography, four randomized trials ($>125\,000$ participants; three exclusively in men) compared the outcomes of population-based studies with or without AAA screening. The prevalence of AAA in these studies was on average 5.5%. Overall, AAA screening in men >65 years was associated with a significant 45% decreased risk of AAA-related mortality at 10 years, with a borderline 2% total decrease in risk of mortality ($P = 0.05$).³⁴¹ Few (~ 9300) women were included, confined to one trial, and showed no benefit from ultrasound screening.

Based on these trials, population-wide AAA screening programmes are currently proposed in several countries,³⁴² with mixed results owing to difficulties over implementation.³⁴³ Several countries have not implemented such a programme, despite national guidelines in favour of AAA screening.³⁴² Indeed, some doubts have been cast over the good results of the trials performed during the 1990s, since the epidemiology of AAA is evolving, with decreased rates of the incidence of AAA attributed largely to the decreasing rates of smoking in western countries. In a recent cohort of Swedish men >65 years of age, the prevalence of AAA was estimated at 2.2%.³⁴⁴

In the absence of a systematic population-screening programme, opportunistic screening may be an alternative for the detection of AAA. Indeed, in a series of patients with ruptured AAA who were managed in Scotland, three-quarters were unaware of having an AAA before rupture, even though three-quarters of the entire study population had attended a medical facility in the preceding 5 years.³⁴⁵ Opportunistic screening is defined here as the use of ultrasound to detect AAA (while abdominal imaging is not specifically planned) in situations where both the ultrasound machines and expertise are easily accessible. The most appealing situation for cardiologists is during echocardiography, since abdominal aorta imaging can be performed using the same probe. Several single-centre studies reported detection of AAAs during TTE in 0.8–6.0% of cases, with

discrepancies related to inclusion and definition criteria, as well as specific factors inherent to each centre.³⁴⁶ In a recent nationwide survey in France, the prevalence of AAA screened immediately after TTE was 3.7%, at a low extra cost related to the time necessary for screening.³⁴⁷

7.2.5 Management of small abdominal aortic aneurysms

The definition of 'small' AAA varies in the literature, being usually either 30–49 mm or 30–54 mm, the upper limit depending on the threshold set for intervention; however, the AAA diameter cannot be considered as the sole criterion for the decision to intervene.

In this document, 'small' AAA encompasses situations where endovascular or surgical intervention is not yet considered. Indeed, two trials, the Aneurysm Detection And Management (ADAM) and the UK Small Aneurysm Trial (UKSAT) compared the benefits of early surgery for AAAs of 40–55 mm diameter against a surveillance strategy.^{348,349} A recent meta-analysis of these two trials demonstrated an early survival benefit in the surveillance group (due to the mortality in the surgery arm) without significant differences in long-term survival (6-year mortality: odds ratio (OR) 1.11; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.91–1.34).³⁵⁰ In line with these trials, the Comparison of surveillance vs. Aortic Endografting for Small Aneurysm Repair showed no benefits from early EVAR in AAAs of 41–54 mm diameter, compared with the surveillance strategy combining regular imaging and prompt intervention in cases of predefined criteria (symptoms, or AAA >55 mm or enlargement >10 mm/year).³⁵¹ However, the management of these patients should not be limited to a strategy of 'watchful waiting': they are at higher risk by far of dying from major cardiovascular events (e.g. myocardial infarction) than from AAA rupture. The participants in the Cardiovascular Health Study with an AAA >30 mm had a 10-year risk of fatal myocardial infarction of 38%, compared with an AAA-related mortality of 2%.⁵⁴ Accordingly, in the UK Small Aneurysm Trial, aneurysmal diameter was an independent predictor of cardiovascular mortality (hazard ratio 1.34 and 1.31 for every 8 mm enlargement during surveillance and after surgery, respectively). Hence, medical therapy in small AAAs presents three objectives: to prevent cardiovascular events, to limit AAA growth, and to prepare the patient optimally in order to reduce perioperative risk once intervention is indicated. These patients should be categorized as at high risk, so all of the usual actions for secondary prevention can be applied, although no specific trial on patients with small AAAs has ever been undertaken. The measures addressed below will focus only on actions to specifically reduce the AAA rate of growth, but they are all useful for achieving the other two aforementioned objectives.

7.2.5.1 Management of risk factors

In a recent meta-analysis using data from 15 475 patients with AAA >30 mm, current smoking was associated with an increased rate of expansion of 0.35 mm/year, which is twice as fast as AAA growth in previous- or non-smokers.³⁵² Similarly, data from population-based studies indicated that tobacco smoking was the most important predictor of future aortic aneurysm outcomes.³⁵³

There is no evidence of any beneficial effect on AAA growth from diet intervention or exercise prescription, but both are reasonable in patients at high risk of AAA. In a recent trial involving 140 patients with small (<55 mm) AAAs, in-house and home training over

3 years led to improved cardiopulmonary fitness, without any greater rate of enlargement than in the usual care arm.³⁵⁴ Intense isometric exercise is usually discouraged.

7.2.5.2 Medical therapy

Several small studies of unequal quality have assessed different drug classes with a view to reducing AAA growth, hypothetically by reducing either the wall shear stress or the inflammation, both of which play key roles in growth of AAAs. A meta-analysis³⁵⁵ of these studies led to the following results: while cohort studies suggested potential benefits of beta-blockers (pooled growth rate difference –0.62 mm/year; 95% CI –1.00 to –0.24) this finding was not confirmed in three RCTs (pooled growth rate difference –0.05 mm/year; 95% CI –0.16 to 0.05). The results of another meta-analysis were consistent with these findings.³⁵⁶ Two cohort studies suggested that statins were beneficial (pooled growth rate difference of –2.97; 95% CI –5.83 to –0.11), consistent with another meta-analysis of five longitudinal series.³⁵⁷ Doxycycline and roxithromycin have been evaluated in two RCTs without significant benefits (pooled growth rate difference –1.32 mm/year; 95% CI –2.89 to 0.25). Regarding ACE-inhibitors, a large population-based case-control study suggested a beneficial effect for this therapeutic class to prevent rupture (odds ratio 0.82; 95% CI 0.74–0.90), while this association was not found with other hypertensive drugs, including beta-blockers.³⁵⁸ Recently two studies provided mutually contradictory results: while the use of ACE-inhibitors was associated with increased AAA growth in UKSAT (the trial was not designed to assess this therapy),³⁵² the Chichester study suggested beneficial effects of renin-angiotensin inhibitors, with significant results for those on angiotensin receptors blockers.³⁵⁹ Overall, these data require further investigation in well-designed, large RCTs; however, both statins and ACE-inhibitors should also be considered in these patients, to reduce risk of cardiovascular disease. According to the latest ESC Guidelines on hypertension in 2013, beta-blockers should be included as a first-line treatment for patients with hypertension and AAA.⁸²

Enlargement of an AAA is usually associated with the development of an intraluminal mural thrombus. The presence, development, and rupture of aneurysms have been related to thrombus size, so that the use of antiplatelet therapy has been suggested to reduce complication rates in AAA.³⁶⁰ In the absence of any RCT, several cohort studies have analysed the potential benefits of aspirin in patients with AAA, especially in those in whom the lesion is large enough for the development of mural thrombus. In the Viborg study,³⁶¹ the perioperative risk was more than twice as high in non-users of aspirin vs. users, even after adjustment for smoking and comorbidities. In a Swedish study,³⁶² the concomitant use of aspirin and statins was significantly associated with the lowest rates of AAA growth. In contrast, a secondary analysis of UKSAT,³⁶³ as well as another study,³⁶⁴ did not find any significant difference in terms of AAA growth between aspirin users and non-users. Overall, data on the benefits of aspirin in reducing AAA growth are contradictory; however, most patients with AAAs are at increased risk of non-AAA-related cardiovascular events. Given the strong association between AAA and other atherosclerotic diseases, the use of aspirin may be considered according to the presence of other cardiovascular comorbidities.

The analysis of the RESCAN collaborative study is awaited, to provide insights regarding the benefits of these different drug classes in slowing AAA growth.³⁶⁵

7.2.5.3 Follow-up of small abdominal aortic aneurysm

Several studies have attempted to address the optimal pace for ultrasound surveillance of small AAAs. After a first imaging of the abdominal aorta, those with an aorta diameter <25 mm can be considered to be at very low risk of large AAA within the following 10 years,⁵⁴ while an initial aorta of 26–29 mm merits a new assessment after 4 years.^{54,366} During the 13-year follow-up of participants in the Multicentre Aneurysm Screening Study (MASS), half of the ruptured AAAs had a baseline aortic diameter within the 25–29 mm range.³⁶⁷ Based on a recent individual-based meta-analysis of trials and observational studies with repeated AAA measurements over time, intervals of 3, 2, and 1 year(s) can be safely proposed for AAAs of 30–39, 40–44 and 45–54 mm diameter, respectively, with a risk <1% of rupture in men.³⁶⁵ In the same report, women experienced similar growth rates but a fourfold increased risk of rupture. *Web Table 2* presents the average growth, risk of surgery, and risk of rupture in men and women according to AAA diameter. Women with 45 mm AAA had a risk of rupture equivalent to men with a 55 mm AAA, so a lower intervention threshold, rather than shorter intervals of follow-up, may be considered.

Recommendations for abdominal aortic aneurysm screening

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref. ^c
Population screening for AAA with ultrasound:			
• is recommended in all men >65 years of age.	I	A	357,367
• may be considered in women >65 years of age with history of current/past smoking.	IIb	C	
• is not recommended in female non-smokers without familial history.	III	C	
Targeted screening for AAA with ultrasound should be considered in first-degree siblings of a patient with AAA.	IIa	B	338,339
Opportunistic screening for AAA during TTE:			
• should be considered in all men >65 years of age.	IIa	B	346,347
• may be considered in women >65 years with a history of current/past smoking.	IIb	C	

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cReference(s) supporting recommendations.

AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm; TTE = transthoracic echocardiography.

7.2.6 Abdominal aortic aneurysm repair

7.2.6.1 Pre-operative cardiovascular evaluation

Coronary artery disease is the leading cause of early mortality after surgery for AAA. Angiographic evidence of coronary artery disease can be found in approximately two-thirds of patients with AAA, of

which one-third are asymptomatic.^{336,367,368} The long duration of AAA repair procedures, the need for aortic clamping, and physiological stress from blood loss and fluid shifts may be strong triggers for acute ischaemic events. Thus, open repair of AAA is associated with a high risk (>5%) for perioperative cardiovascular complications (death, myocardial infarction, stroke).³⁶⁹ Endovascular AAA repair procedures, however, carry a lower risk (1–5%) than open surgery.³⁷⁰ The need for—and clinical value of—pre-operative risk stratification before repair of AAA depends on the risk of the procedure (i.e. open vs. endovascular repair) and clinical, patient-specific risk factors.³⁷¹ For a more detailed description of risk stratification algorithms, the reader is referred to the recently updated ESC Guidelines.³⁷²

7.2.6.2 Aortic repair in asymptomatic abdominal aortic aneurysm

The management of AAA depends on aneurysm diameter. The indication for AAA repair needs to balance the risk of aneurysm surveillance and the associated risk of rupture against the surgical risk at a certain threshold diameter. Today, periodic ultrasound surveillance of the aneurysm—until it reaches 55 mm or becomes symptomatic or fast growing (>10 mm/year)—is regarded as a safe strategy for patients with small AAAs. This is based on the findings of two large multicentre RCTs (UKSAT and ADAM), both launched in the early 1990s.^{348,373} Few women were included in these trials and neither had the power to detect differences in all-cause mortality in this specific subgroup; however, there is evidence that women are more likely to rupture under surveillance and tend to suffer AAA rupture at a smaller aortic diameter than men.^{348,365,374} Even though evidence for threshold diameter in women is scarce, intervention at a smaller diameter (>50 mm) may be justified.

7.2.6.3 Open aortic aneurysm repair

Since its first use by Dubost *et al.* in the early 1950s, open AAA repair has been regarded as the default surgical intervention for AAA,³⁷⁵ but it carries a certain risk of mortality and morbidity, particularly in terms of cardiovascular events. Operative mortality from elective open surgical AAA repair was estimated in a variety of studies, but the figures vary considerably between centres and countries—relating to the type and design of the study—and range from 1% (selected centres of excellence) to 8% (population-based cohorts).³⁷⁶ There is even a discrepancy in quoted surgical mortality between different RCTs. For instance, the UKSAT and the ADAM trial quoted 30-day mortality rates of 5.6% and 2.7%, respectively, but it must be remembered that both trials included all AAAs, irrespective of anatomy, unless renal artery re-implantation was expected.^{348,373} A review combining results from 64 studies found an average mortality rate of 5.5%.³⁷⁷

Patient fitness is an important predictor and many authors tried to estimate the individual patient operative risk in order to identify subsets at different risk levels. The presence of cardiac and respiratory diseases as well as impaired renal function increases perioperative mortality of elective open AAA repair, whilst the impact of age as an independent factor is controversial.^{378,379} Other predictors of outcome are operator experience and hospital volume as discussed elsewhere in this document.

Outcomes of open ruptured AAA repair are much worse than those for elective AAA repair, and again results vary substantially across centres and countries. Bown *et al.* combined the results

from 171 studies in a meta-analysis to determine the outcomes of ruptured AAA.³⁸⁰ The pooled estimate of operative mortality rate was 48%, although single centres report prospectively collected mortality results as low as 15%.³⁸¹ A meta-regression analysis accounting for date of each study showed a 3.5% reduction in operative mortality per decade, whereas the intraoperative mortality rate remained stable at 15%, suggesting that overall improvements in outcome were not due to surgery-related factors.³⁸⁰

7.2.6.4 Endovascular aortic aneurysm repair

Endovascular aortic aneurysm repair was introduced in the early 1990s. The greatest advantage of EVAR is in its less invasive nature, which allows a shorter post-operative convalescence time. A meta-analysis of 161 studies reported a pooled operative mortality rate of 3.3% (95% CI 2.9–3.6); however, results have improved rapidly over time with lower mortality rates, at 1.4%, in recent studies.³⁸²

On the other hand, the long-term efficacy of EVAR remains a matter of concern. Subsequent lifelong imaging surveillance is currently required to monitor for late complications, including endoleaks, migration, and rupture. Late complications, including secondary sac ruptures, are closely linked to aortic sac enlargement over time. A recent study evaluated current compliance with anatomical guidelines for EVAR and the relationship between baseline aorto-iliac arterial anatomy and post-EVAR sac enlargement. This study from the USA showed that the incidence of AAA sac enlargement >5 mm after EVAR was 41% at 5 years and this rate increased over the study period, probably due to a more liberal use of EVAR outside the indication for use.³⁸³

The key feature of EVAR is the fluoroscopically guided insertion of an endograft through the femoral arteries, in order to re-line the aorta. Its feasibility depends on multiple factors, including aortic anatomy, individual clinical judgment, and manufacturers' guidelines. The proportion of AAAs suitable for EVAR varies between different studies, ranging from 15–68%.³⁸⁴ A recent study involving 241 patients and three different devices showed an overall 49.4% suitability rate for EVAR. Its authors assumed that the use of newer, low-profile devices would allow for EVAR in up to 60% of the AAA cases.³⁸⁵

7.2.6.5 Comparative considerations of abdominal aortic aneurysm management

Endovascular aortic repair is a valid alternative to surgical repair of AAA; however, in patients with more complex aortic anatomy—including those with aneurysms in close proximity to- or involving the renal arteries, who are unsuitable for EVAR—open repair remains the standard. Endovascular treatment strategies exist to address such aneurysms, for instance branched or fenestrated endografts, but comparisons with open repair in RCTs are still awaited.

For a subset of AAA patients, all being anatomically and physiologically eligible for both conventional EVAR and open repair, a head-to-head comparison of the two techniques was prompted in the late 1990s. The first and largest RCT comparing open with endovascular repair for large AAA started in the United Kingdom in 1999, the UK Endovascular Aneurysm Repair (EVAR)-1 trial.^{386–388} Similar trials followed in the Netherlands: the Dutch

Randomized Aneurysm Management (DREAM) trial.^{389–391} In the United States, there was the Open Vs. Endovascular Repair (OVER) trial,^{392,393} and in France, the Anévrisme de l'aorte abdominale: Chirurgie vs. Endoprothèse trial.³⁹⁴ The results of all these, including two smaller trials from Canada and the Netherlands,^{395,396} were combined in a recent meta-analysis resulting in 1470 patients allocated to EVAR and 1429 allocated to open repair.³⁹⁷ The trials reported different follow-up periods, with only the EVAR-1 and DREAM trials reporting longer-term follow-up (>6 years). Short-term (30 day), intermediate-term (up to 2 years), and long-term (≥3 years) results were analysed in the meta-analysis. Thirty-day all-cause mortality was lower with EVAR [relative risk (RR) 0.35; 95% CI 0.19–0.64].³⁹⁷ This 66% reduction was consistent in all except for the Anévrisme de l'aorte abdominale: Chirurgie vs. Endoprothèse trial, which quoted similar operative mortality rates for EVAR and open repair (1.3 vs. 0.6%, respectively).³⁹⁴ However, the early benefit in favour of EVAR was gradually lost during follow-up (due to secondary sac ruptures after EVAR), yielding an RR of 0.78 (95% CI 0.57–1.08) at intermediate-term follow-up (≤2 years following procedure) and 0.99 (95% CI 0.85–1.15) at long-term follow-up (>2 years).³⁹⁷ Similarly, the long-term results from the OVER trial suggested a mortality 'catch-up' in the EVAR group after 3 years.³⁹³ The rate of secondary interventions was considerably higher in the EVAR group at both intermediate (RR 1.48; 95% CI 1.06–2.08) and long-term (RR 2.53; 95% CI 1.58–4.05) follow-up. Similar findings were reported from another meta-analysis that included data from the aforementioned randomized controlled trials and two large registries (Medicare data and Swedish Vascular database).³⁹⁸

Optimal treatment for patients who are unfit for open surgery was addressed only in EVAR-2, a sister trial of EVAR-1. Patients were allocated to either EVAR with best medical care or best medical care alone. The operative mortality of EVAR was 7.3%. Aneurysm-related mortality was significantly lower in the long-term follow-up, but this benefit did not translate into improved all-cause mortality.³⁸⁸ These findings are corroborated by a recently published observational study that included a total of 1652 patients treated by EVAR, of whom 309 (18.7%) were deemed unfit for open repair.³⁹⁹

In conclusion, in patients with suitable anatomy, EVAR is associated with a 66% reduction in operative mortality, a benefit that is lost during follow-up, and which comes at the cost of an increased re-intervention rate. For all other AAA aneurysms that are not suitable for EVAR, open repair remains the reference standard.

7.2.7 (Contained) rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysm

7.2.7.1 Clinical presentation

The classic presentation of ruptured AAA, which includes abdominal pain, hypotension, and abdominal pulsatile mass, may be present in up to 50% of cases. Patients with contained rupture of AAA may present with abdominal or back pain. Since the clinical presentation of ruptured AAA may mimic other abdominal emergencies and early recognition of this condition is imperative, diagnosis cannot be based solely on clinical signs and symptoms and the threshold for immediate imaging should be low.

Recommendations on the management of asymptomatic patients with enlarged aorta or abdominal aortic aneurysm

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref. ^c
In patients with abdominal aortic diameter of 25–29 mm, new ultrasound imaging should be considered 4 years later.	IIa	B	367
Surveillance is indicated and safe in patients with AAA with a maximum diameter of <55 mm and slow (<10 mm/year) growth. ^d	I	A	340,373
In patients with small (30–55 mm) AAAs, the following time interval for imaging should be considered: ^d - every 3 years for AAA of 30–39 mm diameter. - every 2 years for AAA of 40–44 mm diameter. - every year for AAA >45 mm^e diameter.	IIa	B	365
Smoking cessation is recommended to slow growth of the AAA.	I	B	351
To reduce aortic complications in patients with small AAAs, the use of statins and ACE-inhibitors may be considered.	IIb	B	355,345
AAA repair is indicated if: - AAA diameter exceeds 55 mm.^f - Aneurysm growth exceeds 10 mm/year.	I	B	373,363
If a large aneurysm is anatomically suitable for EVAR, either open or endovascular aortic repair is recommended in patients with acceptable surgical risk.	I	A	397,398
If a large aneurysm is anatomically unsuitable for EVAR, open aortic repair is recommended.	I	C	
In patients with asymptomatic AAA who are unfit for open repair, EVAR, along with best medical treatment, may be considered. ^g	IIb	B	388,399

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cReference(s) supporting recommendations.

^dWith <1% risk of rupture between two AAA imaging assessments.

^eThis interval maybe shortened in women or in the case of rapid growth between previous assessments.

^fIndividual decision for operative aneurysm correction should also be influenced by the patient's gender. At a given size, AAAs in women are up to four times as likely to rupture under surveillance, thus aortic repair can be discussed at a lower threshold of probably 50 mm. The patient's life expectancy should also be considered prior to decision for intervention.

^gSince only aneurysm-related and not all-cause mortality is improved, informed patient choice is to be taken into account.

AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm; ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; EVAR = endovascular aortic repair.

the peritoneal space, allows for a straightforward diagnosis even with ultrasound. Computed tomography is the imaging method of choice in the evaluation of patients with suspected contained- or contained rupture of an AAA. Signs suggesting this condition include a large aneurysm sac, increase of aneurysm size, a thrombus and high-attenuation crescent sign, focal discontinuity in circumferential wall calcification, and the 'draped aortic sign'.⁴⁰⁰ This term refers to the combination of an indistinct posterior aortic wall, which lies in close proximity to the adjacent vertebral body, often with loss of the normal fat plane. It may indicate aortic wall insufficiency and contained leak, even in the absence of retroperitoneal bleeding.⁴⁰¹

7.2.7.3 Treatment

The preferred treatment strategy for ruptured AAA is currently being investigated in a number of clinical trials.⁴⁰² The recently published results from the Amsterdam Acute Aneurysm (AJAX) trial showed no significant difference in the combined endpoint of death and severe complication at 30 days, between EVAR and open repair (42 vs. 47%, respectively; absolute risk reduction 5.4%; 95% CI –13–23%).⁴⁰³ Very recent results from the largest study—the Immediate Management of the Patient with Rupture: Open Vs. Endovascular repair trial—yielded similar 30-day mortality results of an endovascular-first strategy and the conventional treatment of immediate repair (35.4 vs. 37.4%, respectively; OR 0.92; 95% CI 0.66–1.28; *P* = 0.62). All patients with an endovascular-first strategy were sent for immediate CT scan to determine their anatomical suitability for endovascular repair. Suitable patients underwent immediate endovascular repair and the remainder open repair.⁴⁰⁴

Regarding the patient's gender, for untreated aneurysms the risk of rupture is almost four times as great in women than in men for similar aortic aneurysm diameters. Compared with men, women are exposed to higher periprocedural mortality in elective open and endovascular aneurysm repair.⁴⁰⁵ The same is true for emergency open repair of ruptured AAA.⁴⁰⁶ Conversely, a recent systematic analysis did not show a statistically significant increase in risk for mortality in women presenting with ruptured AAA undergoing endovascular repair.⁴⁰⁷ This is supported by the results from the IMPROVE trial, which suggest that women in particular may benefit from an endovascular strategy.³⁹⁶

7.2.8 Long-term prognosis and follow-up of aortic aneurysm repair

Most patients require a convalescence period of up to 3 months after open AAA repair, after which quality-of-life scores are similar for endovascular and open AAA repair, and even slightly better for open repair at 1 year.⁴⁰⁸ Open AAA repair is regarded as durable and late, graft-related complications are unusual. Conrad *et al.* reported a graft-related complication rate of 5.4% at 10 years, while Hallett *et al.* quoted a rate of 9.4% at an average follow-up of 5.8 years.^{409,410} The most common complications were anastomotic pseudoaneurysm and graft limb thromboses; graft infection, however, occurs in less than 1%.

Secondary aortic ruptures after open repair are extremely rare; none were reported during long-term follow-up in the EVAR-1 trial.³⁸⁸ Conversely, ruptures after EVAR have been described in many reports and carry a high risk of mortality. These secondary sac ruptures, occurring at a rate of 0.7 per 100 patient-years, were further investigated in the EVAR-1 and EVAR-2 cohorts and were likely to have caused the observed convergence over time, in

7.2.7.2 Diagnostic work-up

In the presence of free, ruptured AAA, massive periaortic bleeding involving the perirenal or pararenal spaces, as well as free fluid in

aneurysm-related mortality, between open repair and EVAR.⁴¹¹ Some specific ‘cluster’ factors, such as Type 1, Type 2, and Type 3 endoleaks, all with sac expansion, kinking, or migration, were associated with late sac ruptures.⁴¹¹

There is some evidence that oral anticoagulation may negatively impact on EVAR outcome due to a higher risk of all types of endoleaks, including persistent Type II, and a loss of endograft sealing. Consequently, close surveillance of EVAR patients on long-term anticoagulation is advised.^{412,413}

Recommendations on management of patients with symptomatic abdominal aortic aneurysm

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref. ^c
In patients with suspected rupture of AAA, immediate abdominal ultrasound or CT is recommended.	I	C	
In case of ruptured AAA, emergency repair is indicated.	I	C	
In case of symptomatic but non-ruptured AAA, urgent repair is indicated.	I	C	
In case of symptomatic AAA anatomically suitable for EVAR, either open or endovascular aortic repair is recommended. ^d	I	A	403

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cReference(s) supporting recommendations.

^dDepending on the expertise of the interventional team and patient's level of risk.

AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm; CT = computed tomography;

EVAR = endovascular aortic repair.

8 Genetic diseases affecting the aorta

Genetic diseases affecting the aorta are broadly split into two categories: syndromic and non-syndromic, both essentially displaying autosomal dominant transmission. In the past decade, novel underlying gene defects have been discovered in both categories, leading to the constitution of homogeneous molecular groups of thoracic aortic aneurysms and dissection (TAAD). Extensive clinical and imaging studies readily found involvement of the arterial vasculature that was more extensive than just the thoracic aorta. Also, unreported specific alterations were revealed, some shared between the various molecular entities. Finally, large clinical variability is observed within families carrying an identical gene mutation and instances of incomplete penetrance (a ‘skipped generation’) are observed. Both categories and chromosomal or molecular entities of inherited TAAD, as well as non-inherited TAAD, display cystic medial necrosis, thus excluding the use of pathology for making a precise diagnosis.

8.1 Chromosomal and inherited syndromic thoracic aortic aneurysms and dissection

8.1.1 Turner syndrome

Turner syndrome (TS) is essentially caused by partial or complete monosomy of the X chromosome (karyotype 45X0). Diagnosis is based on clinical findings and cytogenetic analyses. Affected women

display short stature, various congenital cardiac defects, aortic abnormalities, and metabolic and hormonal alterations leading to obesity, impaired glucose tolerance, hyperlipidaemia, and ovarian failure. Hypertension and brachiofemoral delay are due to coarctation of the aorta, found in 12% of women with TS, usually identified in childhood. Bicuspid aortic valve is found in 30% of patients.⁴¹⁴ Approximately 75% of individuals with TS have an abnormal cardiovascular anatomy.^{415,416} A generalized dilation of major vessels is observed, notably the aorta, the brachial, and carotid arteries. Elongation of the transverse arch and aortic dilation are respectively observed in 30% and 33% of cases, the latter typically located at the root of the ascending aorta. Determination of aortic diameter in adults with TS is, however, difficult in the absence of adequate sex- and age-matched controls of similar body size. The incidence of AD in women with TS is 100 times as great as for women in general, occurring in the third and fourth decades of life.⁴¹⁶ The management of adult women with TS associates imaging (echocardiogram and thoracic MRI) with cardiovascular risk assessment. Follow-up will be related to risk categories (absence or number of standard vascular cardiovascular risk factors) with TTE every 3–5 years for low risk, thoracic MRI every 3–5 years for moderate risk, and referral to a cardiologist with 1–2-yearly thoracic MRI for high-risk patients.⁴¹⁴ The genetic basis of the disease is still unclear in terms of related cardiovascular and metabolic phenotypes, while short stature has been associated with haploinsufficiency for the *SHOX* gene.⁴¹⁷

8.1.2 Marfan syndrome

Marfan syndrome is the most frequent heritable connective tissue disorder. Transmitted as an autosomal dominant disease, Marfan syndrome is essentially associated with mutations in the *FBN1* gene that encodes fibrillin-1, the major component of isolated or elastin-associated microfibrils.⁴¹⁸ In a fibrillin-deficient mouse model of Marfan syndrome, enhanced transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta signalling was identified and inhibition of TGF-beta with a neutralizing antibody or with angiotensin-II Type-1 receptor blockers was shown to reverse vascular complications.⁴¹⁹ This result was important, since it provided the first new therapeutic option in over 20 years—since the initial report by Shores *et al.* of the effectiveness of beta-blockade in slowing the rate of aortic dilation, which led to the widespread use of this treatment in Marfan syndrome.⁹⁸ Several RCTs testing sartans are under way using various Marfan syndrome populations (children and young adults, or adults) and designs (atenolol vs. losartan or losartan vs. placebo on top of optimal therapy).^{420–422} The results of the two earliest trials (in 20 paediatric/adolescent patients⁴²³ and in 233 adults⁹⁶) show that losartan is effective in reducing the rate of dilation of the aortic root. The results from the other trials are expected in 2014.

Marfan syndrome has already been addressed and recommendations can be found in the Guidelines on the management of grown-up congenital heart disease.⁴²⁴

8.1.3 Ehlers-Danlos syndrome Type IV or vascular type

Ehlers-Danlos syndrome Type IV (EDSIV) is a rare, autosomal, dominant connective tissue disorder caused by mutations in the *COL3A1* gene coding for Type III procollagen. Diagnosis is based on clinical signs, non-invasive imaging, and the identification of a mutation in the *COL3A1* gene. The clinical features of EDSIV are thin, translucent

skin, extensive bruising, characteristic facial appearance (notably a pinched and thin nose, thin lips, prominent ears, hollow cheeks, and tightness of skin over the face), and premature ageing of the skin. Individuals with EDSIV have significantly shortened life spans (50% mortality rate by 48 years) due to the spontaneous rupture of visceral organs (colon, uterus) and blood vessels;⁴²⁵ it affects the entire vascular system and the heart. Fusiform aneurysms are reported. Vascular complications have a tendency to affect arteries of large and medium diameters. The disease frequently involves the thoracic and abdominal aorta, the renal, mesenteric, iliac, and femoral arteries, as well as the vertebral and carotid arteries (extra- and intra-cranial).⁴²⁶ Arteries can dissect without previous dilation and are thus unpredictable. One open randomised trial on 53 affected patients showed a 64% risk reduction of rupture or dissection over 4 years.⁴²⁷ Non-invasive imaging is the preferred approach for evaluating vascular alterations; surgery is only contemplated in potentially fatal complications, since the fragility of tissue, haemorrhagic tendency, and poor wound healing confer an added surgical risk. Prolonged post-operative monitoring is required.⁴²⁸ There are no data to set a threshold diameter for intervention in cases of TAA, and the decision should be based on case by case, multidisciplinary discussion.

8.1.4 Loeys-Dietz syndrome

First described in 2005, Loeys-Dietz syndrome (LDS) is an autosomal dominant aortic aneurysm syndrome combining the triad of arterial tortuosity and aneurysms throughout the arterial tree, hypertelorism, and bifid uvula, as well as features shared with Marfan syndrome.^{320,429} In some forms, LDS shows a strong overlap with EDSIV. Loeys-Dietz syndrome is associated with mutations in either of the genes encoding the Type I or Type II TGF- β receptors (*TGFBR1* or *TGFBR2*). Since arterial tortuosity is diagnosed on qualitative observations, a vertebral tortuosity index—measured on a volume-rendered angiogram obtained by thoracic contrast-enhanced MRI—was proposed by Morris *et al.*⁴³⁰ and was shown to be a reproducible marker of adverse cardiovascular outcomes, not only in LDS but also in other connective tissue disorders where arterial tortuosity is less frequently observed (notably Marfan syndrome and EDS).

Extreme clinical severity is more readily observed in children with prominent craniofacial features (cleft palate, craniosynostosis, retrognathia, exotropia and proptosis) associated with a more severe aortic disease. Observation, in both children and adults, of a widespread and aggressive arteriopathy led to the recommendation of early operative intervention at ascending aortic diameters of ≥ 42 mm.³²⁰ Aggressive surgical management of the aneurysms in patients with LDS is achieved with few complications in the absence of tissue fragility.^{320,431} However a definite threshold diameter for intervention in cases of TAA cannot be still proposed and the matter requires further investigation. Notably, mutations in the *TGFBR2* gene are also found in patients with a Marfan phenotype, who do not display the altered craniofacial features or the widespread and aggressive arteriopathy reported in LDS.⁴³² In contrast to initial studies, which reported dismal clinical outcomes for patients with LDS with *TGFBR2* mutations, outcomes appeared similar to those of patients with an *FBN1* mutation once the diagnosis was made and medical care given. Conversely, the spontaneous evolution of affected patients who were not medically followed up illustrated the severe prognosis in the absence of care. Patient management is

tailored according to extensive vascular imaging at baseline and family history of vascular events.

8.1.5 Arterial tortuosity syndrome

Characterized by arterial tortuosity, elongation, stenosis, and aneurysm of the large- and middle-sized arteries, arterial tortuosity syndrome (ATS) is a very rare autosomal recessive disease. Focal stenoses of the pulmonary arteries and aorta can also be found. Patients display altered facial features (elongated face, blepharophimosis and down-slanting palpebral fissures, a beaked nose, a highly arched palate, and micrognathia) and various signs of a more generalized connective tissue disorder of skin (soft, hyperextensible skin) and skeleton (arachnodactyly, chest deformity, joint laxity, and contractures) overlapping those found in Marfan syndrome. The prognosis was first reported to be poor with mortality rates up to 40% before the age of 5 years.⁴³³ A more recent study in families of mostly European origin reported on adult patients, with less-frequent aneurysms and a less-severe vascular phenotype.⁴³⁴ Initially reported in families from Italy, Morocco, and the Middle East, ATS is associated with mutations in the *SLC2A10* gene that encodes the facilitative glucose transporter GLUT10.⁴³⁵ Management of patients requires a baseline whole-body vascular imaging, and follow-up should be individually tailored, based on the rate of enlargement of vascular diameters and the family history.

8.1.6 Aneurysms-osteoarthritis syndrome

Aneurysms-osteoarthritis syndrome (AOS) is a new syndromic TAAD that accounts for approximately 2% of familial TAAD.⁴²⁶ This autosomal dominant condition combines early-onset joint abnormalities (including osteoarthritis and osteochondritis dissecans) and aortic aneurysms and dissections. Tortuosity, aneurysms, and dissections are reported throughout the arterial tree.^{436,437} Mild craniofacial-, skin-, and skeletal features may also be found, overlapping with Marfan syndrome and LDS.⁴³⁷ The disease is associated with mutations in the *SMAD3* gene, which encodes an intracellular effector of TGF- β signalling.⁴³⁸ Diagnosis is based on clinical features and the identification of a mutation in the *SMAD3* gene. There is no current consensus on management. Beta-blockade may be beneficial in AOS, since it displays identical aortic alterations to those observed in Marfan syndrome and Loeys-Dietz syndrome, for which this treatment is efficient.⁴³⁶ However, since only limited data are available on the rate of growth of aneurysm, some authors suggest applying the aggressive surgical management recommended for LDS.⁴³⁹

8.1.7 Non-syndromic familial thoracic aortic aneurysms and dissection

Most patients with TAAD do not have a known genetic syndrome. In these patients, familial aggregation with an affected first-degree relative is found in up to 19% of cases. These non-syndromic forms of TAAD (nsTAAD) may be associated with BAV and/or persistent *ductus arteriosus*,⁴⁴⁰ and display typical cystic medial necrosis on pathological examination.⁴⁴¹ Non-syndromic TAAD presents an autosomal dominant transmission with great clinical variability (notably in women) and decreased penetrance.⁴⁴² Mutations in genes known to be involved in syndromic forms of TAAD (*FBN1*, *TGFBR1*, and *TGFBR2*) are rarely found in families and sporadic patients with

nsTAAD.^{432,443} The effects of mutations in the following new nsTAAD genes have been identified as follows:

- Mutations in *MYH11* (encoding a myosin heavy chain produced in smooth muscle cell [SMC]) associate TAAD and patent *ductus arteriosus*.⁴⁴⁴
- Mutations in *ACTA2* (encoding the SMC-specific alpha-actin) are found in patients with TAAD also presenting with coronary artery disease, stroke, and Moyamoya disease.⁴⁴⁵
- Mutations in *MYLK* (encoding myosin light chain kinase) lead to AD with little to no aortic enlargement.⁴⁴⁶
- Mutations in *TGFB2* (encoding TGF-beta Type 2) result in TAAD with some overlap with Marfan syndrome for skin and skeletal features.⁴⁴⁶
- Mutations in *PRKG1* (encoding PKG I, a Type I cGMP-dependent protein kinase that controls SMC relaxation) result in aortic aneurysm and acute ADs at relatively young ages.⁴⁴⁷

All of these new molecular entities of nsTAAD and the known gene defects of the syndromic forms now provide a more comprehensive picture of the initiating events of TAAD, with either a connective tissue defect or decreased TGF-beta signalling or altered SMC contractile function. Clinically, these molecular forms display strong overlap and a continuum of gravity of the aortic disease, as well as a more generalized arteriopathy than was previously known. Few data are yet available on the natural history of the new molecular entities of nsTAAD. Diagnosis relies first on exclusion of known genetic syndromes, followed by genetic counselling and investigation of first-degree relatives. Current management strategies combine widespread imaging at baseline and follow-up, according to family history of vascular events.

Recommendations on genetic testing in aortic diseases

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
It is recommended to investigate first-degree relatives (siblings and parents) of a subject with TAAD to identify a familial form in which relatives all have a 50% chance of carrying the family mutation/disease.	I	C
Once a familial form of TAAD is highly suspected, it is recommended to refer the patient to a geneticist for family investigation and molecular testing.	I	C
Variability of age of onset warrants screening every 5 years of 'healthy' at-risk relatives until diagnosis (clinical or molecular) is established or ruled out.	I	C
In familial non-syndromic TAAD, screening for aneurysm should be considered, not only in the thoracic aorta, but also throughout the arterial tree (including cerebral arteries).	IIa	C

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

TAAD = thoracic aortic aneurysms and dissection.

8.1.8 Genetics and heritability of abdominal aortic aneurysm

Since the first report of three brothers with AAA by Clifton in 1977,⁴⁴⁷ many studies have reported familial aggregation of AAA among siblings of patients with that condition.⁴⁴⁸ There is a 24% probability that a monozygotic twin of a person with an AAA will develop

an aneurysm.⁴⁴⁹ However, the proportion of patients with AAA who have first-degree relatives with the disease is usually low in cohort studies, although it does vary between 1% and 29%.⁴⁵⁰

In the minority of families with multiple AAA cases, segregation analyses have been performed and have led to models of either autosomal recessive- or autosomal dominant inheritance.^{451,452} Despite reports of these rare families, the development of AAAs is generally unlikely to be related to a single gene mutation and multiple genetic factors are implicated. Thus susceptibility genes, rather than causal gene mutations, are likely to be important, particularly those regulating inflammatory mediators, tissue proteases, and smooth muscle cell (SMC) biology. A note of caution should be added in view of the recent description of familial forms of TAA in which AAAs are observed. Therefore, if AAA occurs in a young subject with no overt risk factors and without other affected family members to investigate, then a more widespread arterial disease should be screened, notably in the thoracic aorta.

8.2 Aortic diseases associated with bicuspid aortic valve

Valvular problems associated with BAV are covered in the 2012 ESC Guidelines on the management of valvular heart disease.³¹²

8.2.1 Epidemiology

8.2.1.1 Bicuspid aortic valve

BAV is the most common congenital cardiac defect, with a prevalence at birth of 1–2%. Males are more often affected than females, with the ratio ranging from 2:1 to 4:1.^{453–456} BAV is the result of fusion of the left coronary cusp (LCC) and right coronary cusp (RCC) in >70% of patients, of fusion of the RCC with the non-coronary cusp (NCC) in 10–20%, and due to fusion of LCC with NCC in 5–10%.⁴⁵⁷ True bicuspid valves and unicommissural valves are very rare.

8.2.1.2 Ascending aorta growth in bicuspid valves

Aortic dilation, defined as an aorta diameter of >40 mm irrespective of body surface area,^{458–460} or of >27.5 mm/m² for people of short stature, is frequently associated with BAV. The risk of development of aortic dilation in patients with BAV is probably much higher than in the normal population,³¹³ but there are no reliable population-based data on its incidence. There are some indications on racial differences in the extent of aortic dilation in BAV.⁴⁶¹

Various subtypes of BAV are associated with different forms of aortic dilation.⁴⁶² In patients with an LCC–RCC type BAV, ascending aorta dilation is common, but aortic root dilation is also seen.⁴⁶³ In the RCC–NCC type, the aortic root is rarely affected and only dilation of the ascending aorta is seen.³¹³ Aortic dilation is maximal at the level of the tubular aorta, with a mean rate of 0.5 mm/year, similar to that seen in Marfan patients.³¹⁶ However, in this population, 50% of the patients do not present aortic dilation over a 3-year period, whereas other do,³¹⁶ emphasizing the heterogeneity of the population of patients with BAV. The aortic arch is rarely affected.⁴⁶⁴ Data to quantify the strength of these associations are not available.

Beyond aortic dilation and aneurysm formation, BAV is a risk factor for dissection and rupture.⁴⁶⁵ Patients with BAV, including those with a haemodynamically normal valve, have dilated aortic roots and ascending aortas, compared with age- and sex-matched control subjects.⁴⁶⁶

Among adults with BAV and no significant valve disease at baseline, 27% will require cardiovascular surgery within 20 years.⁴⁶⁷ The mean growth rate of proximal ascending aortic aneurysms in patients with BAV and aortic stenosis is greater than that seen in patients with tricuspid valves (1.9 vs. 1.3 mm/year, respectively).⁴⁶⁵ In another study in patients with a normally functioning BAV, an annual growth rate of 0.77 mm was reported.⁴⁶⁸ Average annual changes in the ascending aorta in patients with BAV may vary from 0.2 to 1.2 mm/year.^{316,466,469} Aortic dilation rate is higher in the tubular ascending aorta than in the sinuses of Valsalva, which differs from Marfan syndrome.³¹⁶ In patients with BAV who had untreated aortic dilation at the time of aortic valve replacement, the 15-year rate of aortic surgery or complications was reported to be as high as 86% when the initial aortic diameter was < 40 mm, 81% with diameters from 40–44 mm, and only 43% for diameters from 45–49 mm, respectively ($P < 0.001$).⁴⁷⁰ Another study found a low risk of adverse aortic events after isolated valve replacement in patients with BAV stenosis and concomitant mild-to-moderate dilation of the ascending aorta (40–50 mm) with only 3% of patients requiring proximal aortic surgery at up to 15 years follow-up.⁴⁷¹

8.2.1.3 Aortic dissection

One study reported a cumulative incidence of 6% of Type A AD in untreated patients with BAV and aortic dilation over a mean follow-up of 65 months,⁴⁶⁵ but in the current era of early preventive surgery this is difficult to assess. There are no reliable historical data. The prevalence of BAV ranges from 2–9% in Type A AD and 3% in Type B AD,⁴⁷² both only slightly higher than the prevalence of BAV in the general population (1–2%).

8.2.1.4 Bicuspid aortic valve and coarctation

Only the LCC–RCC type of BAV is associated with aortic coarctation.^{473,474} Data on the prevalence of aortic coarctation in BAV are scarce: one report states 7%.³¹³ In contrast, among patients with a coarctation, 50–75% have a BAV (of the LCC–RCC type). In patients with coarctation and BAV, the risk of developing aortic dilation and dissection is much higher than in the population with BAV only.^{475,476}

8.2.2 Natural history

Reports on the enlargement of aortic dimensions vary. Mean progression is reported to be 1–2 mm/year,^{65,469} but faster growth occurs occasionally. Rapid progression of > 5 mm/year and larger diameters are associated with increased risk of AD or rupture, with a sharp increase of risk at a diameter > 60 mm. A higher gradient across a stenotic BAV and more severe aortic regurgitation (higher stroke volume) are reported to be associated with faster increase in aortic dimensions.⁴⁷⁷ In the absence of stenosis or regurgitation, severe dilation also can occur, especially in young adults.^{478,479}

Data on the increase in aortic dimensions after valve replacement show that re-operation for an aortic root with a diameter of 40–50 mm during the valve replacement is rarely necessary after a follow-up of > 10 years. Dissection is very rare in this group.^{471,480}

8.2.3 Pathophysiology

Notch1 gene mutations are associated with BAV.⁴⁸¹ A high incidence of familial clustering was observed, compatible with autosomal dominant inheritance with reduced penetrance.

Different orientations of the leaflets (fusion of LCC to RCC or RCC to NCC) seem to have distinct aetiologies in the embryonic phase.⁴⁸²

Different types of BAV are associated with different forms of aortic pathology but the pathophysiology behind this remains unknown.³¹³ It might be either genetic, with common genetic pathways for aortic dilation and BAV,^{483,484} or consecutive to altered aortic flow patterns in BAV,^{485–487} or a combination of both.

8.2.4 Diagnosis

8.2.4.1 Clinical presentation

BAV, with stenosis or regurgitation, can give rise to complaints and clinical signs (heart murmurs) that can be detected on clinical examination. A dilating aorta is rarely symptomatic. Chronic chest, neck, and back pain can be atypical signs of a dilated aorta. Dyspnoea, inspiratory stridor, and recurrent airway infection may indicate compression of major airways. Hoarseness may indicate compression of the laryngeal nerve. The first clinical manifestation of untreated progressive aortic dilation associated with BAV is often aortic rupture or AD. A small subset of patients with BAV (< 15%), almost exclusively young men, presents predominantly with aortic root dilation without substantial valvular stenosis or regurgitation, with very few or no clinical symptoms. These patients are at risk, but are very difficult to identify if not detected by means of screening.

8.2.4.2 Imaging

There are no specific comments regarding imaging of the aorta in this setting.

8.2.4.3 Screening in relatives

Because of BAV's strong familial association,^{453,483,488} screening of first-degree relatives may be considered. There are no data about the effectiveness (i.e. number of patients to screen to diagnose one otherwise undetected patient) or cost-effectiveness of a screening programme.

8.2.4.4 Follow-up

In every newly diagnosed patient with BAV, the aortic root and ascending aorta should be visualized with TTE alone or associated with another imaging modality, preferably MRI. If TTE is feasible, there is a good correlation between MRI and TTE and, when the aorta is not dilated, annual follow-up can be done with TTE, with intervals depending on rate of enlargement and/or family history. In cases of an increase in diameter > 3 mm/year or a diameter > 45 mm measured on TTE, a measurement with another imaging modality (MRI or CT) is indicated. From a diameter of 45 mm, annual follow-up of the ascending aorta is advised. If TTE cannot reliably visualize the ascending aorta, annual imaging with MRI (or CT if MRI is not possible) is indicated.⁴⁸⁹

8.2.5 Treatment

Although there are no studies that provide evidence that medical treatment of a dilated aorta has any effect on the enlargement of the ascending aorta or aortic root in BAV, it is common clinical practice to advise beta-blocker therapy when the aorta is dilated. The indication for surgical treatment of aortic dilation in BAV is similar to that for other causes of dilation, except for Marfan syndrome. When surgery is indicated for BAV, stenosis or regurgitation, aortic root replacement should be considered if the root is larger than 45 mm in diameter,⁴⁷⁰ because of elevated risk of aortic dilation necessitating intervention (or dissection or rupture) in the years following surgery.

8.2.6 Prognosis

The risk of dissection and rupture increases with the diameter of the aorta, with a sharp increase at a diameter of 60 mm. When treated according to guidelines, the prognosis is favourable—much better than that of Marfan syndrome—and similar to that of an age-matched normal population.^{313,485}

Recommendations for the management of aortic root dilation in patients with bicuspid aortic valve

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Patients with known BAV should undergo an initial TTE to assess the diameters of the aortic root and ascending aorta.	I	C
Cardiac MRI or CT is indicated in patients with BAV when the morphology of the aortic root and the ascending aorta cannot be accurately assessed by TTE.	I	C
Serial measurement of the aortic root and ascending aorta is indicated in every patient with BAV, with an interval depending on aortic size, increase in size and family history	I	C
In the case of a diameter of the aortic root or the ascending aorta >45 mm or an increase >3 mm/year measured by echocardiography, annual measurement of aortic diameter is indicated.	I	C
In the case of aortic diameter >50 mm or an increase >3 mm/year measured by echocardiography, confirmation of the measurement is indicated, using another imaging modality (CT or MRI).	I	C
In cases of BAV, surgery of the ascending aorta is indicated in case of: - aortic root or ascending aortic diameter >55 mm. - aortic root or ascending aortic diameter >50 mm in the presence of other risk factors.^c - aortic root or ascending aortic diameter >45 mm when surgical aortic valve replacement is scheduled.	I	C
Beta-blockers may be considered in patients with BAV and dilated aortic root >40 mm.	IIb	C
Because of familial occurrence, screening of first-degree relatives should be considered.	IIa	C
In patients with any elastopathy or BAV with dilated aortic root (>40 mm), isometric exercise with a high static load (e.g. weightlifting) is not indicated and should be discouraged.	III	C

^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.
^cCoarctation of the aorta, systemic hypertension, family history of dissection, or increase in aortic diameter >3 mm/year (on repeated measurements using the same imaging technique, measured at the same aortic level, with side-by-side comparison and confirmed by another technique).
 BAV = bicuspid aortic valve; CT = computed tomography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; TTE = transthoracic echocardiography.

8.3 Coarctation of the aorta

This topic is discussed extensively in the 2010 ESC Guidelines on the management of grown-up congenital heart disease.⁴²⁴

8.3.1 Background

Coarctation of the aorta is considered to be a complex disease of the vasculature and not only as a circumscribed narrowing of the aorta. It occurs as a discrete stenosis or as a long, hypoplastic aortic segment. Coarctation of the aorta is typically located at the area of *ductus arteriosus* insertion, and occurs ectopically (ascending, descending, or abdominal aorta) in rare cases. Coarctation of the aorta accounts for 5–8% of all congenital heart defects. The prevalence of isolated forms is 3 per 10 000 live births.

8.3.2 Diagnostic work-up

Clinical features include upper body systolic hypertension, lower body hypotension, a blood pressure gradient between the upper and lower extremities (>20 mm Hg indicates significant coarctation of the aorta), radiofemoral pulse delay, and palpable collaterals. Echocardiography provides information regarding site, structure, and extent of coarctation of the aorta, left ventricular function and hypertrophy, associated cardiac abnormalities, and aortic and supra-aortic vessel diameters. Doppler gradients are not useful for quantification, neither in native nor in post-operative coarctation. MRI and CT are the preferred non-invasive techniques to evaluate the entire aorta in adults. Both depict site, extent, and degree of the aortic narrowing, the aortic arch, the pre- and post-stenotic aorta, and collaterals. Both methods detect complications such as aneurysms, re-stenosis, or residual stenosis. Cardiac catheterization with manometry (a peak-to-peak gradient >20 mm Hg indicates a haemodynamically significant coarctation of the aorta in the absence of well-developed collaterals), and angiography are still the ‘gold standard’ for evaluation of this condition at many centres before and after operative or interventional treatment.

8.3.3 Surgical or catheter interventional treatment

In native coarctation of the aorta with appropriate anatomy, stenting has become the treatment of first choice in adults in many centres.

Recommendations on interventions in coarctation of the aorta

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In all patients with a non-invasive pressure difference >20 mm Hg between upper and lower limbs, regardless of symptoms but with upper limb hypertension (>140/90 mm Hg in adults), abnormal blood pressure response during exercise, or significant left ventricular hypertrophy, an intervention is indicated.	I	C
Independent of the pressure gradient, hypertensive patients with >50% aortic narrowing relative to the aortic diameter at the diaphragm level (on MRI, CT, or invasive angiography) should be considered for intervention.	IIa	C
Independent of the pressure gradient and presence of hypertension, patients with >50% aortic narrowing relative to the aortic diameter at the diaphragm level (on MRI, CT, or invasive angiography) may be considered for intervention.	IIb	C

^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.
 CT = computed tomography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging.

The question of whether to use covered or non-covered stents remains unresolved. Notably, despite intervention, antihypertensive drugs may still be necessary to control hypertension.

9. Atherosclerotic lesions of the aorta

9.1 Thromboembolic aortic disease

As a result of the atherosclerotic process, aortic plaques consist of the accumulation of lipids in the intima-media layer of the aorta.⁴⁹⁰ Secondary inflammation, fibrous tissue deposition, and surface erosions with subsequent appearance of thrombus may cause either thrombotic (thromboembolic) or atherosclerotic (cholesterol crystal) embolism.⁴⁹¹

Thromboemboli are usually large, and commonly occlude medium-to-large arteries, causing stroke, transient ischaemic attack, renal infarct, and peripheral thromboembolism. Cholesterol crystal emboli tend to occlude small arteries and arterioles, and may cause the 'blue-toe' syndrome, new or worsening renal insufficiency, and mesenteric ischaemia.

9.1.1 Epidemiology

Risk factors are similar to those for atherosclerosis in other vascular beds, including age, sex, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolaemia, sedentary lifestyle, tobacco smoking, and inflammation. In the Offspring Framingham Heart Study, aortic plaque was identified by MRI in 46% of normotensive individuals, with a greater prevalence in women. Hypertension was associated with greater aortic plaque burden. An even greater plaque burden was present in subjects with clinical cardiovascular disease.⁴⁹²

Aortic plaques are associated with cerebrovascular and peripheral embolic events. The association between cerebrovascular and embolic events is derived from autopsy studies,⁴⁹³ and studies in patients with non-fatal cerebrovascular or peripheral vascular events,⁴⁹⁴ as well as those in high-risk patients referred for TOE and intraoperative ultrasound.^{495,496} In the Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation study, patients with complex aortic plaque (defined by plaques with mobile thrombi or ulcerations or a thickness ≥ 4 mm by TOE) had a risk of stroke four times as great compared with plaque-free patients.⁴⁹⁷ In The French Study of Aortic Plaques in Stroke,⁴⁹⁸ aortic plaques ≥ 4 mm were independent predictors of recurrent brain infarction (RR = 3.8) and any vascular events (RR = 3.5). The prevalence of severe aortic arch atheroma among patients with acute ischaemic stroke is $> 20\%$, similar to atrial fibrillation and carotid atherosclerosis.⁴⁹⁹ Additionally, most of the studies noted that progression of atheroma was associated with more vascular events.⁵⁰⁰

Embolic events can also be induced by interventions including cardiac catheterization, intra-aortic balloon counter-pulsation, and cardiac surgery. For cardiac catheterization, the overall risk of stroke is low. In a recent meta-analysis, stroke rates tended to be lower with the radial vs. femoral approach without reaching statistical significance (0.1 vs. 0.5%, respectively; $P = 0.22$).⁵⁰¹ Atherosclerosis of the ascending aorta is a major risk factor for stroke after cardiac

surgery. The level of risk depends on the presence, location, and extent of disease when the ascending aorta is surgically manipulated. In a study of 921 patients undergoing cardiac surgery, the incidences of stroke in patients with and without atherosclerotic disease of the ascending aorta were 8.7% and 1.8%, respectively ($P < 0.0001$).⁵⁰² Intraoperative (epiaortic ultrasonography) or pre-operative diagnosis and surgical techniques such as intra-aortic filters, off-pump coronary artery bypass, single aortic clamp or no clamping, and 'no-touch' off-pump coronary artery bypass may prevent embolic events.⁵⁰³ Nowadays, transcatheter aortic valve implantation is mostly proposed in the elderly with multiple comorbidities, and these patients are at high risk for aortic plaques, which are in part responsible for procedure-related stroke, as highlighted by lower stroke rates when the aortic catheterization is avoided by the transapical approach.⁵⁰⁴

9.1.2 Diagnosis

Aortic atheroma can be subdivided in small, moderate, and severe aortic atherosclerosis, or even semi-quantitatively into four grades (Web Table 3).^{505,506}

TTE offers good imaging of the aortic root and proximal ascending aorta. TOE is a safe and reproducible method of assessing aortic atheromas.⁵⁰⁷ Multiplanar real-time 3D TOE may offer further advantages. Epiaortic ultrasonography (2D or 3D)⁵⁰⁸ can offer valuable data during the intraoperative setting. Multislice computed tomography can offer excellent imaging of aortic atheromas and gives valuable data on anatomy and calcifications. Magnetic resonance imaging can give details on the composition of plaques. The limitations of each technique are detailed in section 4.

9.1.3 Therapy

9.1.3.1 Antithrombotics (antiplatelets vs. vitamin K antagonists)

Because of the thromboembolic risk, antiplatelet therapy or anticoagulation is considered.⁴⁹⁸ However, studies comparing both options are scarce and mostly small and non-randomized.⁴⁸² Warfarin has been used for primary or secondary prophylaxis in patients with aortic plaque. In an observational study including 129 patients,⁵⁰⁹ a lower incidence of vascular and fatal events was found in the case of complex plaques in patients on vitamin K antagonist vs. antiplatelet therapy (aspirin or ticlopidine). Other studies also reported beneficial results.^{510,511} Nevertheless, other groups reported no benefit with warfarin use: in a study of 519 patients with severe aortic plaque the OR for embolic events was 0.7 (95% CI 0.4–1.2) for warfarin and 1.4 (95% CI 0.8–2.4) for antiplatelet agents.⁵¹² In the Patent Foramen Ovale in Cryptogenic Stroke study (PICSS), based on the Warfarin-Aspirin Recurrent Stroke Study (WARSS),⁵¹³ event rates for the entire population ($n = 516$, of whom 337 had aortic plaques) were similar in the warfarin and aspirin groups (16.4 vs. 15.8%; $P = 0.43$) and no correlation was observed between warfarin treatment and large plaques on the risk of events (HR 0.42; 95% CI 0.12–1.47).

More data are needed to allow for better selection of patients and to determine firm recommendations. The promising Aortic Arch Related Cerebral Hazard (ARCH) trial, comparing warfarin

(target international normalized ratio 2–3) with aspirin plus clopidogrel, has been prematurely stopped because of a lack of power for a definite result. In the Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation III study⁵¹⁴ the co-existence of aortic plaque in patients with atrial fibrillation dramatically increased the risk of embolic events. Aortic plaque is considered as ‘vascular disease’ and increases, by one point, the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score used to assess the stroke risk in atrial fibrillation.⁵¹⁵

9.1.3.2 Lipid-lowering agents

No randomized trials are available to support the use of statins for patients with stroke caused by atheroembolism. In a small series of patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia who were studied with TOE, pravastatin resulted in progression in 19% and regression in 38% over 2 years.⁵¹⁶ Statin use results in regression of aortic atheroma burden as assessed by MRI,⁵¹⁷ or attenuation of inflammation as assessed by PET.⁵¹⁸ More research is required to clarify the value of statins and the risk of stroke in patients with large aortic plaques. In a retrospective study of 519 patients with severe aortic plaque, only statin treatment was associated with a 70% lower risk of events.⁵¹²

9.1.3.3 Surgical and interventional approach

There are limited data—mainly from case studies—and no clear evidence to recommend prophylactic endarterectomy or aortic arch stenting for prevention of stroke. Surgery for atherothrombotic disease in the aortic arch is of a high-risk nature and cannot be recommended.⁵¹⁹

Recommendations on management of aortic plaque

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In the presence of aortic atherosclerosis, general preventive measures to control risk factors are indicated.	I	C
In the case of aortic plaque detected during the diagnostic work-up after stroke or peripheral embolism, anticoagulation or antiplatelet therapy should be considered. The choice between the two strategies depends on comorbidities and other indications for these treatments.	IIa	C
Prophylactic surgery to remove high-risk aortic plaque is not recommended.	III	C

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

9.2 Mobile aortic thrombosis

Mobile thrombi in the aorta of young patients without diffuse atherosclerosis have been reported since the regular use of TOE in patients with cerebral or peripheral emboli, mostly located at the aortic arch. The pathophysiology of these lesions is unclear, since thrombophilic states are not frequently found.⁵²⁰ In the largest series of 23 patients (of 27 855 examinations) with mobile thrombi of the aortic arch, only four cases presented thrombophilic states. Thrombi may present a paradoxical embolism via an open foramen ovale. The thrombi were attached either on a small aortic plaque or a visually normal wall. Medical treatment (heparinization),

endovascular stenting, or surgery have been proposed, but no comparative data are available.

9.3 Atherosclerotic aortic occlusion

Abdominal aortic occlusion is rare and results in a major threat of leg amputation or death. Extensive collateralization usually prevents the manifestation of acute ischaemic phenomena.⁵²⁰ Aortic occlusion can also be precipitated by hypercoagulable states. Aetiopathogenic factors of the disease include small vessel size, cardiac thromboembolism, AD, and distal aortic coarctation. This condition may be either asymptomatic or present with sudden onset of intermittent claudication. Symptoms may worsen progressively until low flow leads to obstruction of collateral vasculature, causing severe ischaemic manifestation in the lower extremities, the spinal cord, intestine and kidney, depending on the site and extension of obstruction. The diagnosis is mostly made with the use of Doppler ultrasonography. Other imaging techniques (CT or MRI) yield more detailed information that can guide the planning of treatment. Treatment may be bypass grafting or aorto-iliac endarterectomy. Endovascular therapy has also been proposed.

9.4 Calcified aorta

Calcification occurs in the media, and the amount of calcification is directly associated with the extent of atherosclerosis. The presence of severe atherosclerosis of the aorta causes an eggshell-like appearance visualized on chest X-ray (porcelain aorta). The calcification interferes significantly with cannulation of the aorta, cross-clamping, and placement of coronary bypass grafts, significantly increasing the risk of stroke and distal embolism. Off-pump coronary bypass and the implantation of transcatheter aortic heart valves may render a solution in patients requiring, respectively, coronary bypass grafting and aortic valve replacement with porcelain aorta [15.1% of patients in the Placement of AoRtic TraNscathetER Valves (PARTNER) cohort B trial with aortic stenosis were inoperable due to porcelain aorta].⁵²¹

9.5 Coral reef aorta

‘Coral reef’ aorta is a very rare calcifying stenotic disease of the juxta renal and suprarenal aorta. Only case reports exist, except for one group reporting a series of >80 cases, most of them women, over 24 years.⁵²² Coral reef aorta is described as rock-hard calcifications in the visceral part of the aorta. These heavily calcified plaques grow into the lumen and can cause significant stenosis, which may develop into bowel ischaemia, renal failure, or hypertension due to renal ischaemia. The aetiology and pathogenesis are still uncertain although it has been proposed that calcification of a fibrin-platelet thrombus may result in this lesion. This may occur at the site of an initial injury to the aortic endothelium. Vascular surgery was used in the past but, recently, endovascular interventions play a greater role, particularly in high-risk individuals with multiple comorbidities.⁵²³

10. Aortitis

10.1 Definition, types, and diagnosis

Aortitis is the general term used to define inflammation of the aortic wall. The most common causes of aortitis are non-infectious

inflammatory vasculitis, namely giant cell (or temporal) arteritis (GCA) and Takayasu arteritis (Web Table 4).^{524,525} Non-infectious aortitis has also been described in other inflammatory conditions such as Behçet's disease,⁵²⁶ Buerger disease, Kawasaki disease, ankylosing spondylarthritis, and Reiter's syndrome.⁵²⁷ Although less common, infections due to *Staphylococcus*, *Salmonella*, and mycobacteria have been reported to cause infective aortic disease, supplanting the infection by *Treponema pallidum* in the past.⁵²⁸

10.1.1 Giant cell arteritis

Giant cell arteritis tends to affect the older population, more often by far in women than in men. When the aorta is affected, it may result in thoracic aortic aneurysm. Although, classically, the temporal and/or other cranial arteries are involved, the aorta and its major branches are affected in approximately 10–18% of cases.^{514,524,528} Dilations of the aortic root and ascending aorta are common and can lead to AD or rupture.⁵²⁴ If a diagnosis of extracranial GCA is suspected, echocardiography, CT, or MRI are recommended.⁵²⁹ A thickened aortic wall on CT or MRI indicates inflammation of the aortic wall, and thus active disease.⁵³⁰ Studies with PET scanning have suggested that subclinical aortic inflammation is often present in patients with GCA.⁵³¹ Along with the usual inflammatory markers, measurement of interleukin-6 may be useful in patients with suspected GCA.

10.1.2 Takayasu arteritis

Takayasu arteritis is a rare, large-vessel vasculitis of unknown aetiology, typically affecting young women.⁵³² It occurs most often in the Asian population. The overall rate is 2.6 per million inhabitants.⁵³³ The thoracic aorta and its major branches are the most frequent locations of the disease, followed by the abdominal aorta. While the initial stages of the disease include signs and symptoms of systemic inflammation, the chronic phase reflects vascular involvement. The clinical presentation of Takayasu arteritis varies across a spectrum of symptoms and clinical signs, ranging from back- or abdominal pain with fever to acute severe aortic insufficiency, or to an incidentally identified large thoracic aortic aneurysm.^{525,528,532}

Upper extremity claudication, stroke, dizziness, or syncope usually indicate supra-aortic vessel obstruction. Hypertension is sometimes malignant and suggests narrowing of the aorta or renal arteries. AAS, including AD and rupture, can occur. Inflammation-associated thrombus formation in the aortic lumen with peripheral embolization has also been reported.^{528,532}

In the case of suspicion of Takayasu arteritis, imaging the entire aorta is of critical importance, to establish the diagnosis. All imaging modalities play an important role in the diagnosis and follow-up of Takayasu arteritis. Digital subtraction angiography of the aorta and its branches provides only information regarding luminal changes, a late feature in the disease course.⁵³⁰ Echocardiography, MRI, and CT are useful in demonstrating homogeneous circumferential thickening of the aortic wall with a uniform smooth internal surface.⁵²⁹ This finding could be misdiagnosed as an IMH. Compared with echocardiography, CT and MRI provide better assessment of the entire aorta and its proximal branches, as well as distal pulmonary arteries that are sometimes affected. MRI may show arterial wall oedema, a marker of active disease.^{528,530} In the chronic stage, the aortic wall may become calcified, best assessed by CT. A PET scan may be particularly useful in detecting vascular inflammation when combined with

traditional cross-sectional imaging modalities.⁵³¹ Inflammation biomarkers, such as C-reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rate, are elevated in approximately 70% of patients in acute phase and 50% in the chronic phase of the disease.⁵²⁸ Pentraxin-3 may have a better accuracy in differentiating the active- from the inactive phase of Takayasu arteritis.

10.2 Treatment

In non-infectious aortitis, corticosteroids are the standard initial therapy.⁵³⁴ In general, an initial dose of 0.5–1 mg/kg prednisone daily is prescribed. This treatment is typically required for 1–2 years to avoid recurrence, although the dose may be tapered off 2–3 months after initiation. Despite this prolonged regimen, nearly half of patients will relapse during tapering, requiring additional immunosuppression.⁵³⁵ In addition to recurrent symptoms, re-elevation of inflammatory markers may be a helpful sign of relapse, particularly among patients with GCA. The value of oedema-weighted MRI and 18F-FDG PET in the diagnosis of relapse in Takayasu arteritis is an area under continuing investigation. Second-line agents include methotrexate, azathioprine, and anti-tumour necrosis factor- α agents.⁵³⁶

A comprehensive vascular examination should be performed at each visit, in combination with follow-up of inflammation biomarkers and periodic imaging for the development of thoracic or abdominal aortic aneurysm, given the known risk of these complications.^{524,528} The indications for revascularization for aortic stenosis or aneurysm are similar to those in non-inflammatory disorders. The risk of graft failure is higher in patients with active local inflammation.^{537–539} Ideally, patients should be in clinical remission before elective repair of an aortitis-related aneurysm.^{528,534}

Suspected infectious aortitis requires rapid diagnosis and intravenous antibiotics with broad antimicrobial coverage of the most likely pathological organisms (particularly *Staphylococcal* and gram-negative species).

11. Aortic tumours

11.1 Primary malignant tumours of the aorta

Primary malignant tumours of the aorta are an extremely rare class of sarcomas exhibiting a wide histopathological heterogeneity. Intimal sarcomas, the most common, are derived from endothelial cells (angiosarcoma) or from myofibroblasts. Leiomyosarcomas and fibrosarcomas originate from the media or adventitia of the aortic wall.⁵⁴¹

The symptoms associated with aortic tumours are non-specific and mimic atherosclerotic disease of the aorta, peripheral artery diseases, gastrointestinal or renal pain syndromes, or vertebral disk herniation. The most characteristic and frequently reported clinical presentation of an intimal angiosarcoma of the aorta is the embolic occlusion of the mesenteric or peripheral artery. Most often the *ante mortem* diagnosis is made by immunohistopathological examination of endarterectomy or aortic resection specimens. Only in a very small number of cases the diagnosis is suspected on pre-operative MRI of the aorta.

Owing to its atypical and highly variable symptomatology, this very rare condition is most often diagnosed only in an advanced stage.

In patients with peripheral or splanchnic emboli, an aortic sarcoma should be included in the differential diagnosis, especially in patients with mild or absent underlying atherosclerotic disease. After a cardiac source of the embolism is ruled out, contrast-enhanced MRI of the thoracic and abdominal aorta should be performed, as this investigation is the most sensitive diagnostic tool for detection of an aortic tumour. If an aortic lesion is found that is suggestive of a sarcoma, additional ultrasound examination may demonstrate inhomogeneity of the lesion, which is atypical for a mural thrombus. If the diagnosis of an aortic sarcoma is suspected, bone scintigraphy is recommended owing to the high prevalence of bone metastasis.

Based on reported cases, the recommended therapy involves *en bloc* resection of the tumour-involved portion of the aorta with negative surgical margins, followed by graft interposition; however, owing to the late diagnosis—frequently at a stage already complicated by the presence of metastases, the location of the aortic lesion, or the presence of comorbidities—this intervention is mostly unfeasible. Other approaches can be endarterectomy or endovascular grafting of the involved segment of the aorta. Adjuvant or palliative chemotherapy and radiation have been used in selected cases and may result in a prolonged survival.

The prognosis for aortic sarcomas is poor, with metastatic disease leading to death in a short time in most patients. Mean survival from the time of diagnosis is 16 ± 2.4 months.⁵⁴¹ Overall survival at 3 years is 11.2%. Following surgical resection, the 3-year survival rates increased to 16.5%.⁵⁴²

12. Long-term follow-up of aortic diseases

Patients with aortic disease usually require life-long surveillance, regardless of the initial treatment strategy (medical, interventional, or surgical). This surveillance consists of clinical evaluation, reassessment of a patient's medical therapies and treatment goals, as well as imaging of the aorta. This section includes the chronic phase of AD after discharge and as well as specific aspects of follow-up in patients who took benefit from an aortic intervention.

12.1 Chronic aortic dissection

12.1.1 Definition and classification

Survivors of an acute AD ultimately enter a chronic disease course. Previously, AD was considered chronic 14 days after onset of symptoms. It is now accepted practice to further divide the time course of AD into acute (<14 days), sub-acute (15–90 days), and chronic (>90 days) phases. Chronic AD can either be uncomplicated, with a stable disease course, or complicated by progressive aneurysmal degeneration, chronic visceral or limb malperfusion, and persisting or recurrent pain or even rupture. Patients with chronic AD also include those previously operated for Type A AD, with persisting dissection of the descending aorta.

12.1.2 Presentation

Two clinical patterns should be distinguished: patients with initially acute AD entering the chronic phase of the disease and those in whom first diagnosis of chronic AD is made. Patients with newly diagnosed chronic AD are often asymptomatic. The lesion is found

incidentally as mediastinal widening or prominent aortic knob on chest X-ray. In these patients, the exact timing of dissection is often difficult. The patient's history has to be carefully evaluated for a previous acute pain event. Infrequently, patients may also present symptoms related to the enlarging dissected aorta (hoarseness, new onset chest pain), or chronic malperfusion (abdominal pain, claudication, altered renal function) or acute chest pain indicating rupture.

12.1.3 Diagnosis

Diagnosis has to be confirmed by cross-sectional imaging such as contrast-enhanced CT, TOE, or MRI. Chronicity of AD is suggested by imaging characteristics: thickened, immobile intimal flap, presence of thrombus in the FL, or aneurysms of the thoracic aorta secondary to chronic AD, mostly developed in the distal aortic arch. In symptomatic patients, signs of (contained) rupture such as mediastinal haematoma or pleural effusion may be present.

12.1.4 Treatment

In patients with chronic, uncomplicated Type B AD, a primary approach with medical therapy and repetitive clinical and imaging follow-up is recommended. Competitive sports and isometric heavy weight lifting should be discouraged, to reduce aortic wall shear stress due to sudden rises in arterial blood pressure during such exercise. Body contact sport activities should also be discouraged, while leisure sportive activities with low static/low dynamic stress are acceptable.

Blood pressure should be lowered to <130/80 mm Hg. Weight lifting activities should be restricted to avoid blood pressure peaks. Beta-blockers have been seen to be associated with reduced aneurysmal degeneration of the dissected aorta and reduced incidence of late dissection-related aortic procedures in non-randomized studies.⁵⁴³ A contemporary analysis of the IRAD database, comprising a total of 1301 patients with Type A and Type B acute AD, showed that beta-blockers (prescribed to 88.6% of patients) were the most commonly used medication and suggested that their use was associated with improved survival.⁵⁴⁴ Calcium channel blockers were associated with improved survival, selectively in those with Type B dissections, while renin-angiotensin system inhibitors were not significantly associated with survival.⁵⁴⁴ Angiotensin-1 antagonists (losartan) are conceptually attractive and have been shown to slow aortic enlargement in Marfan patients.^{96,545} No data exist on the use of angiotensin-1 blockers in chronic AD. So far, angiotensin-1 blockers may be considered for antihypertensive combination therapy if beta-blockers alone do not achieve the blood pressure target.

The INvestigation of STEnt-grafts in Aortic Dissection trial did not show any survival benefit of TEVAR over optimal medical therapy in patients with asymptomatic sub-acute/chronic AD during 2-year follow-up.^{218,219} The 5-year aorta-related mortality was 0% vs 16.9%, respectively, in TEVAR plus medical therapy vs. medical therapy alone. All-cause mortality at 5 years was 11.1% vs. 19.3%, respectively ($P =$ not significant), and progression 27% vs. 46.1% ($P = 0.04$). Morphological results were, however, significantly improved by TEVAR (aortic remodelling 91.3% with TEVAR vs. 19.4%). It should be noted that 16% of patients initially randomized to optimal medical therapy required crossover to TEVAR due to evolving complications during follow-up. Deferred TEVAR could be

successfully performed in these patients without increased mortality or complications. A recent multicentre study from China, covering 303 patients with chronic AD, showed lower aorta-related mortality for TEVAR than with medical therapy but failed to improve the overall survival rate or lower the rate of aorta-related adverse events.⁵⁴⁶

Patients with chronic Type B AD that is complicated by progressive thoracic aortic enlargement (>10 mm/year), FL aneurysms (with total aortic diameter >60 mm), malperfusion syndrome, or recurrent pain, require TEVAR or surgical treatment. The optimal treatment in patients with chronic AD is, however, unclear. No randomized comparison of TEVAR and conventional surgery exists. Thoracic endovascular aortic repair may be used to exclude the aneurysm, which is typically located in the distal aortic arch, and prevent rupture; however, aortic remodelling cannot be expected, due to the thickened, immobile intimal flap. Smaller case series have shown that TEVAR is feasible in patients with aneurysm of the descending thoracic aorta secondary to chronic AD, with an acceptable mid-term outcome.⁵⁴⁷ Complete aortic remodelling was observed in only 36% of patients after TEVAR.⁵⁴⁷ In a review of 17 studies including 567 patients,⁵⁴⁸ the technical success rate was 89.9%, with mid-term mortality 9.2%. Endoleaks occurred in 8.1%, and 7.8% developed aneurysms of the distal aorta or continued FL perfusion with aneurysmal dilation.

Surgery of the descending aorta carries high operative risk. More recently, surgical aortic arch replacement with antegrade stenting of the descending thoracic aorta ('frozen elephant trunk') may prove to be a valuable alternative for selected patients.¹¹⁵

12.2 Follow-up after thoracic aortic intervention

For patients undergoing TEVAR or surgical thoracic aortic repair, first follow-up should be performed 1 month after the treatment to exclude the presence of early complications. Surveillance should be repeated after 6 months, 12 months, and then yearly. For patients primarily receiving medical therapy, surveillance should be performed 6 months after initial diagnosis.

12.2.1 Clinical follow-up

Regular clinical follow-up is necessary, more frequently within the first year after diagnosis or intervention and then on a yearly basis. Blood pressure should be monitored closely, as $>50\%$ of cases may have resistant hypertension.⁵⁴⁹ Symptoms of chronic aortic disease are rare and non-specific. New-onset hoarseness or dysphagia may develop with progressive enlargement of the aneurysm. Patients with chronic AD may report symptoms of chronic peripheral malperfusion syndrome (claudication, abdominal pain). Chest or back pain may indicate progression of aortic disease up to (contained) rupture of the aorta.

12.2.2 Imaging after thoracic endovascular aortic repair

For imaging follow-up after TEVAR, CT is the modality of choice. To avoid exposure to radiation, MRI may be more widely used in the future, but is not compatible with stainless steel endografts, due to large artefacts.¹¹ MRI can be safely performed for surveillance of nitinol-based stent-grafts;⁵⁵⁰ however, it lacks the ability to visualize metallic stent struts and should thus be supplemented by chest X-ray to detect structural disintegration of the metallic stent

skeleton. TOE, in combination with chest X-ray, may be used in patients with severe renal dysfunction unable to undergo CT or MRI.

After TEVAR, imaging of the aorta is recommended after 1 month, 6 months, 12 months, and then yearly. If, after TEVAR for TAA, patients show a stable course without evidence of endoleak over 24 months, it may be safe to extend imaging intervals to every 2 years; however, clinical follow-up of the patients' symptom status and accompanying medical therapy should be maintained at yearly intervals. Patients with TEVAR for AD should receive yearly imaging, since the FL of the abdominal aorta is usually patent and prone to disease progression.

12.2.3 Imaging after thoracic aortic surgery

After aortic surgery, less-strict imaging intervals may be sufficient if a stable course has been documented over the first year. Imaging should focus on surgery-related complications (e.g. suture aneurysm) but should also evaluate disease progression in remote parts of the aorta. After surgery for Type A AD, dissection of the descending and abdominal aorta usually persists and has to be imaged at intervals similar to those described above.

12.3 Follow-up of patients after intervention for abdominal aortic aneurysm

12.3.1 Follow-up after endovascular aortic repair

Computed tomography is the first choice for follow-up imaging after EVAR; however, it is expensive and exposes patients to ionizing radiation and potentially nephrotoxic contrast agent. Duplex ultrasound, with or without contrast agents, is specific for the detection of endoleaks after EVAR.³¹¹ A recent meta-analysis showed that the sensitivity and specificity of contrast-enhanced Doppler ultrasonography (DUS) may be superior to Duplex ultrasound alone to detect Type 2 endoleak, which is caused by retrograde flow from side branches and is largely a benign condition that rarely requires secondary intervention.³¹¹ Clinically relevant Types 1 and 3 endoleaks, for which re-intervention is required, may be detected with sufficient accuracy with Duplex ultrasound alone and the use of contrast agents has not been shown to be superior in this setting.³¹¹

Magnetic resonance imaging has high diagnostic accuracy for detection of endoleaks after EVAR, but is also expensive and cannot visualize the metallic stent struts. It should thus be complemented with plain X-ray for evaluation of the metal stent skeleton. Magnetic resonance imaging is not compatible with stainless steel endografts due to the occurrence of artefacts.

12.3.2 Follow-up after open surgery

All patients should be provided with the best current medical treatment protocol. Post-operative surveillance of open aortic repair may be considered at 5-yearly intervals after open AAA repair to investigate for para-anastomotic aortic aneurysm using colour Doppler ultrasound or CT imaging. Also, patients with AAA appear to have a relatively high risk for incisional hernia. In an observational study using Medicare data, repair of incisional hernia was required in 5.8% of patients within 4 years.

Recommendations for follow-up and management of chronic aortic diseases

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Chronic aortic dissection		
Contrast CT or MRI is recommended, to confirm the diagnosis of chronic AD.	I	C
Initial close imaging surveillance of patients with chronic AD is indicated, to detect signs of complications as soon as possible.	I	C
In asymptomatic patients with chronic dissection of the ascending aorta, elective surgery should be considered. ^c	IIa	C
In patients with chronic AD, tight blood pressure control <130/80 is indicated.	I	C
Surgical repair or TEVAR is recommended for complicated Type B AD (aortic diameter >60 mm, >10 mm/year growth, malperfusion or recurrent pain).	I	C
Follow-up after endovascular treatment for aortic diseases		
After TEVAR or EVAR, surveillance is recommended after 1 month, 6 months, 12 months, and then yearly. Shorter intervals can be proposed in the event of abnormal findings requiring closer surveillance.	I	C
CT is recommended as the first-choice imaging technique for follow-up after TEVAR or EVAR.	I	C
If neither endoleak nor AAA sac enlargement is documented during first year after EVAR, then colour DUS, with or without contrast agents, should be considered for annual post-operative surveillance, with non-contrast CT imaging every 5 years.	IIa	C
For patients with TAA <45 mm, annual imaging is recommended; while in patients with TAA ≥45 mm and <55 mm, imaging every 6 months is recommended, unless the stability of the lesions is confirmed by serial imaging	I	C
For follow-up after (T)EVAR in young patients, MRI should be preferred to CT for magnetic resonance-compatible stent grafts, to reduce radiation exposure.	IIa	C
Long-term surveillance of open abdominal aortic repair may be considered at loose (5-year) intervals using colour DUS or CT imaging.	IIb	C

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cPending comorbidities and perioperative risk.

AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm; AD = aortic dissection; CT = computed tomography; DUS = duplex ultrasonography; EVAR = endovascular aortic repair; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; TAA = thoracic aortic aneurysm; TEVAR = thoracic endovascular aortic repair.

13. Gaps in evidence

As illustrated by the large number of 'level C' recommendations in this document, the level of evidence for the management of various diseases of the aorta is often weaker than in other cardiovascular conditions. This Task Force emphasizes the need for scientific networking and multicentre trials on several aspects of the management of aortic diseases. The Task Force highlights, briefly, major gaps in evidence that need further research as a priority:

- Epidemiological data on the occurrence of AAS are scarce in Europe and globally.
- More evidence is needed on the caseload–outcome relationship in the field of aortic diseases.
- The implementation and efficacy of aortic centres in Europe should be assessed. The establishment of a European network of aortic centres should be encouraged, along with the establishment of large registries.
- Further studies are needed to validate the most accurate, reproducible, and predictive method of measuring the aorta using different imaging modalities.
- With the development of 3D imaging and other dynamic imaging methods for the prediction of complications in aneurysmal disease, the superiority of these techniques over 2D size measurement should be assessed.
- There is a lack of evidence on the efficacy of medical therapy in chronic aortic diseases (especially chronic AD, TAA, and AAA), particularly regarding antihypertensive drugs and statins.
- For TAA, randomized studies are needed on the optimal timing for preventive intervention according to lesion size and other characteristics, as well as individual patient characteristics.
- In many cases (e.g. the indication for management of AAA according to its size) the management of women with aortic diseases is based on studies conducted in men. Gender-specific data are essential.
- Since the aortic diameter continues to evolve in adulthood, it remains unclear whether the oversizing practice should differ for TEVAR in young patients (e.g. in TAI).
- The optimal timing and technique of intervention in chronic AD is still unclear.

14. Appendix

ESC National Cardiac Societies actively involved in the review process of the 2014 ESC Guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of aortic diseases:

Austria: Austrian Society of Cardiology, Michael Grimm; **Azerbaijan:** Azerbaijan Society of Cardiology, Oktay Musayev; **Belgium:** Belgian Society of Cardiology, Agnès Pasquet; **Bosnia and Herzegovina:** Association of Cardiologists of Bosnia & Herzegovina, Zumreta Kušljugić; **Croatia:** Croatian Cardiac Society, Maja Cikes; **Cyprus:** Cyprus Society of Cardiology, Georgios P. Georgiou; **Czech Republic:** Czech Society of Cardiology,

Josef Stasek; **Denmark:** Danish Society of Cardiology, Henning Møgaard; **Estonia:** Estonian Society of Cardiology, Sirje Kõvask; **Finland:** Finnish Cardiac Society, Ville Kytö; **France:** French Society of Cardiology, Guillaume Jondeau; **Georgia:** Georgian Society of Cardiology, Zviad Bakhutashvili; **Germany:** German Cardiac Society, Yskert von Kodolitsch; **Greece:** Hellenic Cardiological Society, Costas Tsioufis; **Hungary:** Hungarian Society of Cardiology, András Temesvári; **Israel:** Israel Heart Society, Ronen Rubinshtein; **Italy:** Italian Federation of Cardiology, Francesco Antonini-Canterin; **Kyrgyzstan:** Kyrgyz Society of Cardiology, Olga Lunegova; **Latvia:** Latvian Society of Cardiology, Peteris Stradins; **Lebanon:** Lebanese Society of Cardiology, Elie Chammas; **Lithuania:** Lithuanian Society of Cardiology, Regina Jonkaitiene; **Malta:** Maltese Cardiac Society, Andrew Cassar; **Norway:** Norwegian Society of Cardiology, Knut Bjørnstad; **Poland:** Polish Cardiac

Society, Kazimierz Widenka; **Portugal:** Portuguese Society of Cardiology, Miguel Sousa Uva; **Romania:** Romanian Society of Cardiology, Daniel Lighezan; **Serbia:** Cardiology Society of Serbia, Jovan Perunicic; **Slovakia:** Slovak Society of Cardiology, Juraj Madaric; **Spain:** Spanish Society of Cardiology, Isidre Vilacosta; **Sweden:** Swedish Society of Cardiology, Magnus Bäck; **Tunisia:** Tunisian Society of Cardiology and Cardio-Vascular Surgery, Abdallah Mahdhaoui; **Turkey:** Turkish Society of Cardiology, Recep Demirbag; **Ukraine:** Ukrainian Association of Cardiology, Ivan Kravchenko

15. Web addenda

All Web Figures and Web Tables are available in the online addenda at: <http://www.escardio.org/guidelines-surveys/esc-guidelines/Pages/aortic-diseases.aspx>



The CME text '2014 ESC Guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of aortic diseases' is accredited by the European Board for Accreditation in Cardiology (EBAC). EBAC works according to the quality standards of the European Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (EACCME), which is an institution of the European Union of Medical Specialists (UEMS). In compliance with EBAC/EACCME Guidelines, all authors participating in this programme have disclosed any potential conflicts of interest that might cause a bias in the article. The Organizing Committee is responsible for ensuring that all potential conflicts of interest relevant to the programme are declared to the participants prior to the CME activities.

CME questions for this article are available at: European Heart Journal <http://www.oxfordjournals.org/eurheartj> and European Society of Cardiology <http://www.escardio.org/guidelines>.

References

1. Sampson UKA, Norman PE, Fowkes GR, Aboyans V, Song Y, Harrell FE, Forouzanfar MH, Naghavi M, Denenberg JO, McDermott MM, Criqui MH, Mensah GA, Ezzati M, Murray C. Global and regional burden of aortic dissection and aneurysms. *Global Heart* 2014;**8**:171–180.
2. Sampson UKA, Norman PE, Fowkes GR, Aboyans V, Song Y, Harrell FE, Forouzanfar MH, Naghavi M, Denenberg JO, McDermott MM, Criqui MH, Mensah GA, Ezzati M, Murray C. Estimation of global and regional incidence and prevalence of abdominal aortic aneurysms 1990 to 2010. *Global Heart* 2014;**8**:159–170.
3. Erbel R, Alfonso F, Boileau C, Dirsch O, Eber B, Haverich A, Rakowski H, Struyven J, Radegran K, Sechtem U, Taylor J, Zollkofer C, Klein WW, Mulder B, Providencia LA. Diagnosis and management of aortic dissection. *Eur Heart J* 2001;**22**:1642–1681.
4. Hagan PG, Nienaber CA, Isselbacher EM, Bruckman D, Karavite DJ, Russman PL, Evangelista A, Fattori R, Suzuki T, Oh JK, Moore AG, Malouf JF, Pape LA, Gaca C, Sechtem U, Lenferink S, Deutsch HJ, Diedrichs H, Marcos y Robles J, Llovet A, Gilon D, Das SK, Armstrong WF, Deeb GM, Eagle KA. The International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD): new insights into an old disease. *JAMA* 2000;**283**:897–903.
5. Rylski B, Suedkamp M, Beyersdorf F, Nitsch B, Hoffmann I, Blettner M, Weigang E. Outcome after surgery for acute aortic dissection type A in patients over 70 years: data analysis from the German Registry for Acute Aortic Dissection Type A (GERAADA). *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2011;**40**:435–440.
6. Svensson LG, Kouchoukos NT, Miller DC, Bavaria JE, Coselli JS, Curi MA, Eggebrecht H, Elefteriades JA, Erbel R, Gleason TG, Lytle BW, Mitchell RS, Nienaber CA, Roselli EE, Safi HJ, Shemin RJ, Sicard GA, Sundt TM 3rd, Szeto WY, Wheatley GH 3rd. Expert consensus document on the treatment of descending thoracic aortic disease using endovascular stent-grafts. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;**85**:S1–S41.
7. Fattori R, Cao P, De Rango P, Czerny M, Evangelista A, Nienaber C, Rousseau H, Schepens M. Interdisciplinary expert consensus document on management of type B aortic dissection. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;**61**:1661–1678.
8. Hiratzka LF, Bakris GL, Beckman JA, Bersin RM, Carr VF, Casey DE Jr., Eagle KA, Hermann LK, Isselbacher EM, Kazerooni EA, Kouchoukos NT, Lytle BW, Milewicz DM, Reich DL, Sen S, Shinn JA, Svensson LG, Williams DM. 2010 ACCF/AHA/AATS/ACR/ASA/SCA/SCAI/SIR/STS/SVM guidelines for the diagnosis and management of patients with Thoracic Aortic Disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American College of Radiology, American Stroke Association, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Interventional Radiology, Society of Thoracic Surgeons, and Society for Vascular Medicine. *Circulation* 2010;**121**:e266–e369.
9. Olsson C, Thelin S, Ståhl E, Ekblom A, Granath F. Thoracic aortic aneurysm and dissection: increasing prevalence and improved outcomes reported in a nationwide population-based study of more than 14,000 cases from 1987 to 2002. *Circulation* 2006;**114**:2611–2618.
10. Howard DP, Banerjee A, Fairhead JF, Perkins J, Silver LE, Rothwell PM. Population-based study of incidence and outcome of acute aortic dissection and premorbid risk factor control: 10-year results from the Oxford Vascular Study. *Circulation* 2013;**127**:2031–2037.
11. Grabenwoger M, Alfonso F, Bachet J, Bonser R, Czerny M, Eggebrecht H, Evangelista A, Fattori R, Jakob H, Lonn L, Nienaber CA, Rocchi G, Rousseau H, Thompson M, Weigang E, Erbel R. Thoracic Endovascular Aortic Repair (TEVAR) for the treatment of aortic diseases: a position statement from the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), in collaboration with the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI). *Eur Heart J* 2012;**33**:1558–1563.
12. Hughes GC, Zhao Y, Rankin JS, Scarborough JE, O'Brien S, Bavaria JE, Wolfe WG, Gaca JG, Gammie JS, Shahian DM, Smith PK. Effects of institutional volumes on operative outcomes for aortic root replacement in North America. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2013;**145**:166–170.
13. Knipp BS, Deeb GM, Prager RL, Williams CY, Upchurch GR Jr., Patel HJ. A contemporary analysis of outcomes for operative repair of type A aortic dissection in the United States. *Surgery* 2007;**142**:524–528.
14. Cowan JA Jr., Dimick JB, Henke PK, Huber TS, Stanley JC, Upchurch GR Jr. Surgical treatment of intact thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms in the United States: hospital and surgeon volume-related outcomes. *J Vasc Surg* 2003;**37**:1169–1174.
15. Schermerhorn ML, Giles KA, Hamdan AD, Dahlberg SE, Hagberg R, Pomposelli F. Population-based outcomes of open descending thoracic aortic aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg* 2008;**48**:821–827.
16. Eckstein HH, Bruckner T, Heider P, Wolf O, Hanke M, Niedermeier HP, Noppeney T, Umscheid T, Wenk H. The relationship between volume and outcome following elective open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA) in 131 German hospitals. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2007;**34**:260–266.
17. Holt PJ, Poloniecki JD, Loftus IM, Michaels JA, Thompson MM. Epidemiological study of the relationship between volume and outcome after abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery in the UK from 2000 to 2005. *Br J Surg* 2007;**94**:441–448.
18. Patel VI, Mukhopadhyay S, Ergul E, Aranson N, Conrad MF, Lamuraglia GM, Kwolek CJ, Cambria RP. Impact of hospital volume and type on outcomes of open and endovascular repair of descending thoracic aneurysms in the United States Medicare population. *J Vasc Surg* 2013;**58**:346–354.

19. Holt PJ, Poloniecki JD, Khalid U, Hinchliffe RJ, Loftus IM, Thompson MM. Effect of endovascular aneurysm repair on the volume-outcome relationship in aneurysm repair. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2009;**2**:624–632.
20. Braverman AC, Thompson RW, Sanchez LA. Diseases of the aorta. In: Bonow RO, Mann DL, Zipes DP, Libby P, (eds). *Braunwald's Heart Disease*. 9th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders; 2012, p1309–1337.
21. Devereux RB, de Simone G, Arnett DK, Best LG, Boerwinkle E, Howard BV, Kitzman D, Lee ET, Mosley TH Jr., Weder A, Roman MJ. Normal limits in relation to age, body size and gender of two-dimensional echocardiographic aortic root dimensions in persons ≥ 15 years of age. *Am J Cardiol* 2012;**110**:1189–1194.
22. Roman MJ, Devereux RB, Kramer-Fox R, O'Loughlin J. Two-dimensional echocardiographic aortic root dimensions in normal children and adults. *Am J Cardiol* 1989;**64**:507–512.
23. Kalsch H, Lehmann N, Mohlenkamp S, Becker A, Moebs S, Schermund A, Stang A, Mahabadi AA, Mann K, Jockel KH, Erbel R, Eggebrecht H. Body-surface adjusted aortic reference diameters for improved identification of patients with thoracic aortic aneurysms: results from the population-based Heinz Nixdorf Recall study. *Int J Cardiol* 2013;**163**:72–78.
24. Rogers IS, Massaro JM, Truong QA, Mahabadi AA, Kriegel MF, Fox CS, Thanassoulis G, Iselbacher EM, Hoffmann U, O'Donnell CJ. Distribution, determinants, and normal reference values of thoracic and abdominal aortic diameters by computed tomography (from the Framingham Heart Study). *Am J Cardiol* 2013;**111**: 1510–1516.
25. Lam CS, Xanthakis V, Sullivan LM, Lieb W, Aragam J, Redfield MM, Mitchell GF, Benjamin EJ, Vasan RS. Aortic root remodeling over the adult life course: longitudinal data from the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2010;**122**:884–890.
26. Vriz O, Driussi C, Bettio M, Ferrara F, D'Andrea A, Bossoni E. Aortic root dimensions and stiffness in healthy subjects. *Am J Cardiol* 2013;**112**:1224–1229.
27. Pelliccia A, Di Paolo FM, Quattrini FM. Aortic root dilatation in athletic population. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2012;**54**:432–437.
28. Diaz-Buschmann I, Castro A, Galve E, Calero MJ, Dalmau R, Guzman G, Cordero A, Facila L, Quiles J, Arrarte V, Alonso Gomez AM, Cequier A, Comin J, Fernandez-Ortiz A, Pan M, Fernandez Lozano FW, Sanmartin M, Ferreira I, Brotons C, Lopez Sendon JL, Mazon P, Alonso J, Abeytua M, Gonzalez Juanatey JR, de Pablo C, Worner F, Castro-Beiras A. Comments on the ESC guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention (version 2012). A report of the Task Force of the Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee of the Spanish Society of Cardiology. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)* 2012;**65**:869–873.
29. Aronberg DJ, Glazer HS, Madsen K, Sagel SS. Normal thoracic aortic diameters by computed tomography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1984;**8**:247–250.
30. Fleischmann D, Hastie TJ, Danneberg FC, Paik DS, Tillich M, Zarins CK, Rubin GD. Quantitative determination of age-related geometric changes in the normal abdominal aorta. *J Vasc Surg* 2001;**33**:97–105.
31. Hager A, Kaemmerer H, Rapp-Bernhardt U, Blucher S, Rapp K, Bernhardt TM, Galanski M, Hess J. Diameters of the thoracic aorta throughout life as measured with helical computed tomography. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2002;**123**:1060–1066.
32. Svensson LG, Khitin L. Aortic cross-sectional area/height ratio timing of aortic surgery in asymptomatic patients with Marfan syndrome. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2002;**123**:360–361.
33. Svensson LG, Kim KH, Lytle BW, Cosgrove DM. Relationship of aortic cross-sectional area to height ratio and the risk of aortic dissection in patients with bicuspid aortic valves. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;**126**:892–893.
34. Davies RR, Gallo A, Coady MA, Tellides G, Botta DM, Burke B, Coe MP, Kopf GS, Elefteriades JA. Novel measurement of relative aortic size predicts rupture of thoracic aortic aneurysms. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006;**81**:169–177.
35. Kaplan S, Aronow WS, Lai H, DeLuca AJ, Weiss MB, Dilmanian H, Spielvogel D, Lansman SL, Belkin RN. Prevalence of an increased ascending and descending thoracic aorta diameter diagnosed by multislice cardiac computed tomography in men versus women and in persons aged 23 to 50 years, 51 to 65 years, 66 to 80 years, and 81 to 88 years. *Am J Cardiol* 2007;**100**:1598–1599.
36. Lin FY, Devereux RB, Roman MJ, Meng J, Jow VM, Jacobs A, Weinsaft JW, Shaw LJ, Berman DS, Gilmore A, Callister TQ, Min JK. Assessment of the thoracic aorta by multidetector computed tomography: age- and sex-specific reference values in adults without evident cardiovascular disease. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2008;**2**:298–308.
37. Allison MA, Kwan K, DiTomaso D, Wright CM, Criqui MH. The epidemiology of abdominal aortic diameter. *J Vasc Surg* 2008;**48**:121–127.
38. Mao SS, Ahmadi N, Shah B, Beckmann D, Chen A, Ngo L, Flores FR, Gao YL, Budoff MJ. Normal thoracic aorta diameter on cardiac computed tomography in healthy asymptomatic adults: impact of age and gender. *Acad Radiol* 2008;**15**: 827–834.
39. Wolak A, Gransar H, Thomson LE, Friedman JD, Hachamovitch R, Gutstein A, Shaw LJ, Polk D, Wong ND, Saouaf R, Hayes SW, Rozanski A, Slomka PJ, Germano G, Berman DS. Aortic size assessment by noncontrast cardiac computed tomography: normal limits by age, gender, and body surface area. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2008;**1**:200–209.
40. Laughlin GA, Allison MA, Jensky NE, Aboyans V, Wong ND, Detrano R, Criqui MH. Abdominal aortic diameter and vascular atherosclerosis: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2011;**41**:481–487.
41. Burman ED, Keegan J, Kilner PJ. Aortic root measurement by cardiovascular magnetic resonance: specification of planes and lines of measurement and corresponding normal values. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2008;**1**:104–113.
42. Wanhainen A, Themudo R, Ahlstrom H, Lind L, Johansson L. Thoracic and abdominal aortic dimension in 70-year-old men and women: a population-based whole-body magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study. *J Vasc Surg* 2008;**47**:504–512.
43. Redheuil A, Yu WC, Mousseaux E, Harouni AA, Kachenoura N, Wu CO, Bluemke D, Lima JA. Age-related changes in aortic arch geometry: relationship with proximal aortic function and left ventricular mass and remodeling. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;**58**:1262–1270.
44. Turkbey EB, Jain A, Johnson C, Redheuil A, Arai AE, Gomes AS, Carr J, Hundley WG, Teixeira-Tura G, Eng J, Lima JA, Bluemke DA. Determinants and normal values of ascending aortic diameter by age, gender, and race/ethnicity in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2014;**39**: 360–368.
45. Aalberts JJ, Waterbolk TW, van Tintelen JP, Hillege HL, Boonstra PW, van den Berg MP. Prophylactic aortic root surgery in patients with Marfan syndrome: 10 years' experience with a protocol based on body surface area. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2008;**34**:589–594.
46. Biaggi P, Matthews F, Braun J, Rousson V, Kaufmann PA, Jenni R. Gender, age, and body surface area are the major determinants of ascending aorta dimensions in subjects with apparently normal echocardiograms. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2009;**22**: 720–725.
47. Gautier M, Detaint D, Fermandian C, Aegerter P, Delorme G, Arnoult F, Milleron O, Raoux F, Stheneur C, Boileau C, Vahanian A, Jondeau G. Nomograms for aortic root diameters in children using two-dimensional echocardiography. *Am J Cardiol* 2010;**105**:888–894.
48. Mirea O, Maffessanti F, Gripari P, Tamborini G, Muratori M, Fusini L, Claudia C, Fiorentini C, Plesea IE, Pepi M. Effects of aging and body size on proximal and ascending aorta and aortic arch: inner edge-to-inner edge reference values in a large adult population by two-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2013;**26**:419–427.
49. Muraru D, Maffessanti F, Kocabay G, Peluso D, Dal Bianco L, Piasentini E, Jose SP, Iliceto S, Badano LP. Ascending aorta diameters measured by echocardiography using both leading edge-to-leading edge and inner edge-to-inner edge conventions in healthy volunteers. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2014;**15**:415–422.
50. Drexler M, Erbel R, Muller U, Wittlich N, Mohr-Kahaly S, Meyer J. Measurement of intracardiac dimensions and structures in normal young adult subjects by transesophageal echocardiography. *Am J Cardiol* 1990;**65**:1491–1496.
51. Lederle FA, Johnson GR, Wilson SE, Gordon IL, Chute EP, Littooy FN, Krupski WC, Bandyk D, Barone GW, Graham LM, Hye RJ, Reinke DB. Relationship of age, gender, race, and body size to infrarenal aortic diameter. The Aneurysm Detection and Management (ADAM) Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study Investigators. *J Vasc Surg* 1997;**26**:595–601.
52. Wilimink AB, Pleumeekers HJ, Hoes AW, Hubbard CS, Grobbee DE, Quick CR. The infrarenal aortic diameter in relation to age: only part of the population in older age groups shows an increase. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 1998;**16**:431–437.
53. Paivansalo MJ, Merikanto J, Jerkkola T, Savolainen MJ, Rantala AO, Kauma H, Lilja M, Reunanen YA, Kesaniemi A, Suramo I. Effect of hypertension and risk factors on diameters of abdominal aorta and common iliac and femoral arteries in middle-aged hypertensive and control subjects: a cross-sectional systematic study with duplex ultrasound. *Atherosclerosis* 2000;**153**:99–106.
54. Freiberg MS, Arnold AM, Newman AB, Edwards MS, Kraemer KL, Kuller LH. Abdominal aortic aneurysms, increasing infrarenal aortic diameter, and risk of total mortality and incident cardiovascular disease events: 10-year follow-up data from the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Circulation* 2008;**117**:1010–1017.
55. Sconfienza LM, Santagostino I, Di Leo G, Piazza R, Gozzi G, Trimarchi S, Sardaneli F. When the diameter of the abdominal aorta should be considered as abnormal? A new ultrasonographic index using the wrist circumference as a body build reference. *Eur J Radiol* 2013;**82**:e532–e536.
56. da Silva ES, Rodrigues AJ Jr., Castro de Tolosa EM, Bueno Pereira PR, Zanoto A, Martins J. Variation of infrarenal aortic diameter: A necropsy study. *J Vasc Surg* 1999;**29**:920–927.
57. Evangelista A, Flachskampf FA, Erbel R, Antonini-Canterin F, Vlachopoulos C, Rocchi G, Sicari R, Nihoyannopoulos P, Zamorano J, Pepi M, Breithardt OA, Plonska-Gosciniak E. Echocardiography in aortic diseases: EAE recommendations for clinical practice. *Eur J Echocardiogr* 2010;**11**:645–658.
58. Chaikof EL, Blankensteijn JD, Harris PL, White GH, Zarins CK, Bernhard VM, Matsumura JS, May J, Veith FJ, Fillinger MF, Rutherford RB, Kent KC. Reporting standards for endovascular aortic aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg* 2002;**35**:1048–1060.

59. Ihara T, Komori K, Yamamoto K, Kobayashi M, Banno H, Kodama A. Three-dimensional workstation is useful for measuring the correct size of abdominal aortic aneurysm diameters. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2013;**27**:154–161.
60. Dugas A, Therasse E, Kauffmann C, Tang A, Elkouri S, Nozza A, Giroux MF, Oliva VL, Soulez G. Reproducibility of abdominal aortic aneurysm diameter measurement and growth evaluation on axial and multiplanar computed tomography reformations. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2012;**35**:779–787.
61. Lederle FA, Wilson SE, Johnson GR, Reinke DB, Littooy FN, Acher CW, Messina LM, Ballard DJ, Ansel HJ. Variability in measurement of abdominal aortic aneurysms. Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Detection and Management Veterans Administration Cooperative Study Group. *J Vasc Surg* 1995;**21**:945–952.
62. Singh K, Jacobsen BK, Solberg S, Bonna KH, Kumar S, Bajic R, Arnesen E. Intra- and interobserver variability in the measurements of abdominal aortic and common iliac artery diameter with computed tomography. The Tromsø study. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2003;**25**:399–407.
63. Cayne NS, Veith FJ, Lipsitz EC, Ohki T, Mehta M, Gargiulo N, Suggs WD, Rozenblit A, Ricci Z, Timaran CH. Variability of maximal aortic aneurysm diameter measurements on CT scan: significance and methods to minimize. *J Vasc Surg* 2004;**39**:811–815.
64. Sprouse LR 2nd, Meier GH 3rd, Parent FN, DeMasi RJ, Glickman MH, Barber GA. Is ultrasound more accurate than axial computed tomography for determination of maximal abdominal aortic aneurysm diameter? *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2004;**28**: 28–35.
65. Eleftheriades JA, Farkas EA. Thoracic aortic aneurysm clinically pertinent controversies and uncertainties. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;**55**:841–857.
66. Bonnafy T, Lacroix P, Desormais I, Labrunie A, Marin B, Leclerc A, Oueslati A, Rolle F, Vignon P, Aboyans V. Reliability of the measurement of the abdominal aortic diameter by novice operators using a pocket-sized ultrasound system. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2013;**106**:644–650.
67. von Kodolitsch Y, Nienaber CA, Dieckmann C, Schwartz AG, Hofmann T, Brekenfeld C, Nicolas V, Berger J, Meinertz T. Chest radiography for the diagnosis of acute aortic syndrome. *Am J Med* 2004;**116**:73–77.
68. Flachskampf FA, Badano L, Daniel WG, Feneck RO, Fox KF, Fraser AG, Pasquet A, Pepi M, Perez de Isla L, Zamorano JL, Roelandt JR, Pierard L. Recommendations for transoesophageal echocardiography: update 2010. *Eur J Echocardiogr* 2010;**11**: 557–576.
69. Erbel R, Borner N, Steller D, Brunier J, Thelen M, Pfeiffer C, Mohr-Kahaly S, Iversen S, Oelert H, Meyer J. Detection of aortic dissection by transoesophageal echocardiography. *Br Heart J* 1987;**58**:45–51.
70. Evangelista A, Garcia-del-Castillo H, Gonzalez-Alujas T, Dominguez-Oronoz R, Salas A, Permanyer-Miralda G, Soler-Soler J. Diagnosis of ascending aortic dissection by transoesophageal echocardiography: utility of M-mode in recognizing artifacts. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1996;**27**:102–107.
71. Evangelista A, Aguilar R, Cuellar H, Thomas M, Laynez A, Rodriguez-Palomares J, Mahia P, Gonzalez-Alujas T, Garcia-Dorado D. Usefulness of real-time three-dimensional transoesophageal echocardiography in the assessment of chronic aortic dissection. *Eur J Echocardiogr* 2011;**12**:272–277.
72. Mozes G, Gliviczki P, Park WM, Schultz HL, Andrews JC. Spontaneous dissection of the infrarenal abdominal aorta. *Semin Vasc Surg* 2002;**15**:128–136.
73. Karthikesalingam A, Al-Jundi W, Jackson D, Boyle JR, Beard JD, Holt PJ, Thompson MM. Systematic review and meta-analysis of duplex ultrasonography, contrast-enhanced ultrasonography or computed tomography for surveillance after endovascular aneurysm repair. *Br J Surg* 2012;**99**:1514–1523.
74. Hermens K, Chong WK. Ultrasound evaluation of abdominal aortic and iliac aneurysms and mesenteric ischemia. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2004;**42**:365–381.
75. Beales L, Wolstenhulme S, Evans JA, West R, Scott DJ. Reproducibility of ultrasound measurement of the abdominal aorta. *Br J Surg* 2011;**98**:1517–1525.
76. Agarwal PP, Chughtai A, Matzinger FR, Kazerooni EA. Multidetector CT of thoracic aortic aneurysms. *Radiographics* 2009;**29**:537–552.
77. Roos JE, Willmann JK, Weishaupt D, Lachat M, Marincek B, Hilfiker PR. Thoracic aorta: motion artifact reduction with retrospective and prospective electrocardiography-assisted multi-detector row CT. *Radiology* 2002;**222**: 271–277.
78. Shiga T, Wajima Z, Apfel CC, Inoue T, Ohe Y. Diagnostic accuracy of transoesophageal echocardiography, helical computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging for suspected thoracic aortic dissection: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;**166**:1350–1356.
79. Nienaber CA. The role of imaging in acute aortic syndromes. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;**14**:15–23.
80. Parker MS, Matheson TL, Rao AV, Sherbourne CD, Jordan KG, Landay MJ, Miller GL, Summa JA. Making the transition: the role of helical CT in the evaluation of potentially acute thoracic aortic injuries. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2001;**176**: 1267–1272.
81. Mirvis SE, Shanmuganathan K, Buell J, Rodriguez A. Use of spiral computed tomography for the assessment of blunt trauma patients with potential aortic injury. *J Trauma* 1998;**45**:922–930.
82. Quint LE, Francis IR, Williams DM, Bass JC, Shea MJ, Frayer DL, Monaghan HM, Deeb GM. Evaluation of thoracic aortic disease with the use of helical CT and multiplanar reconstructions: comparison with surgical findings. *Radiology* 1996;**201**: 37–41.
83. Einstein AJ, Weiner SD, Bernheim A, Kulon M, Bokhari S, Johnson LL, Moses JW, Balter S. Multiple testing, cumulative radiation dose, and clinical indications in patients undergoing myocardial perfusion imaging. *JAMA* 2010;**304**:2137–2144.
84. Blockmans D, de Ceuninck L, Vanderschueren S, Knockaert D, Mortelmans L, Bobbaers H. Repetitive 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography in giant cell arteritis: a prospective study of 35 patients. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;**55**: 131–137.
85. Walter MA, Melzer RA, Schindler C, Muller-Brand J, Tyndall A, Nitzsche EU. The value of [18F]FDG-PET in the diagnosis of large-vessel vasculitis and the assessment of activity and extent of disease. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2005;**32**:674–681.
86. Kuehl H, Eggebrecht H, Boes T, Antoch G, Rosenbaum S, Ladd S, Bockisch A, Barkhausen J, Erbel R. Detection of inflammation in patients with acute aortic syndrome: comparison of FDG-PET/CT imaging and serological markers of inflammation. *Heart* 2008;**94**:1472–1477.
87. Tokuda Y, Oshima H, Araki Y, Narita Y, Mutsuga M, Kato K, Usui A. Detection of thoracic aortic prosthetic graft infection with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013;**43**: 1183–1187.
88. Litmanovich D, Bankier AA, Cantin L, Raptopoulos V, Boisselle PM. CT and MRI in diseases of the aorta. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2009;**193**:928–940.
89. Holloway BJ, Rosewarne D, Jones RG. Imaging of thoracic aortic disease. *Br J Radiol* 2011;**84** Spec No 3:S338–S354.
90. Barker AJ, Markl M, Burk J, Lorenz R, Bock J, Bauer S, Schulz-Menger J, von Knobelsdorff-Brenkenhoff F. Bicuspid aortic valve is associated with altered wall shear stress in the ascending aorta. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;**5**:457–466.
91. Natsis KI, Tsiouridis IA, Didagelos MV, Filippidis AA, Vlasik KG, Tsikarakis PD. Anatomical variations in the branches of the human aortic arch in 633 angiographies: clinical significance and literature review. *Surg Radiol Anat* 2009;**31**:319–323.
92. Ben-Shlomo Y, Spears M, Boustred C, May M, Anderson SG, Benjamin EJ, Boutouyrie P, Cameron J, Chen CH, Cruickshank JK, Hwang SJ, Lakatta EG, Laurent S, Maldonado J, Mitchell GF, Najjar SS, Newman AB, Ohishi M, Pannier B, Pereira T, Vasan RS, Shokawa T, Sutton-Tyrell K, Verbeke F, Wang KL, Webb DJ, Hansen TW, Zoungas S, McEniery CM, Cockcroft JR, Wilkinson IB. Aortic pulse wave velocity improves cardiovascular event prediction: an individual participant meta-analysis of prospective observational data from 17,635 subjects. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013.
93. Vlachopoulos C, Aznaouridis K, O'Rourke MF, Safar ME, Baou K, Stefanadis C. Prediction of cardiovascular events all-cause mortality with central haemodynamics: a systematic review, meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2010;**31**:1865–1871.
94. Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K, Redon J, Zanchetti A, Bohm M, Christiaens T, Cifkova R, De Backer G, Dominiczak A, Galderisi M, Grobbee DE, Jaarsma T, Kirchhof P, Kjeldsen SE, Laurent S, Manolis AJ, Nilsson PM, Ruilope LM, Schmieder RE, Sirnes PA, Sleight P, Viigimaa M, Waeber B, Zannad F, Burnier M, Ambrosioni E, Caulfield M, Coca A, Olsen MH, Tsoufios C, van de Borne P, Zamorano JL, Achenbach S, Baumgartner H, Bax JJ, Bueno H, Dean V, Deaton C, Erol C, Ferrari R, Hasdai D, Hoes AW, Knuuti J, Kolh P, Lancellotti P, Linhart A, Nihoyannopoulos P, Piepoli MF, Ponikowski P, Tamargo JL, Tendera M, Torbicki A, Wijns W, Windecker S, Clement DL, Gillebert TC, Rosei EA, Anker SD, Bauersachs J, Hitij JB, Caulfield M, De Buyzere M, De Geest S, Derumeaux GA, Erdine S, Farsang C, Funck-Brentano C, Gerc V, Germano G, Gielen S, Haller H, Jordan J, Kahan T, Komajda M, Lovic D, Mahrholdt H, Ostergren J, Parati G, Perk J, Polonia J, Popescu BA, Reiner Z, Ryden L, Sirenko Y, Stanton A, Struijker-Boudier H, Vlachopoulos C, Volpe M, Wood DA. 2013 ESH/ESC guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: the Task Force for the Management of Arterial Hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2013;**34**:2159–2219.
95. Brady AR, Thompson SG, Fowkes FG, Greenhalgh RM, Powell JT. Abdominal aortic aneurysm expansion: risk factors and time intervals for surveillance. *Circulation* 2004;**110**:16–21.
96. Groenink M, den Hartog AW, Franken R, Radonic T, de Waard V, Timmermans J, Scholte AJ, van den Berg MP, Spijkerboer AM, Marquering HA, Zwinderman AH, Mulder BJ. Losartan reduces aortic dilatation rate in adults with Marfan syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur Heart J* 2013;**34**:3491–3500.
97. Chiu HH, Wu MH, Wang JK, Lu CW, Chiu SN, Chen CA, Lin MT, Hu FC. Losartan added to beta-blockade therapy for aortic root dilation in Marfan syndrome: a randomized, open-label pilot study. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2013;**88**:271–276.

98. Shores J, Berger KR, Murphy EA, Pyeritz RE. Progression of aortic dilatation and the benefit of long-term beta-adrenergic blockade in Marfan's syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 1994;**330**:1335–1341.
99. Jovin IS, Duggal M, Ebisu K, Paek H, Oprea AD, Tranquilli M, Rizzo J, Memet R, Feldman M, Dziura J, Brandt CA, Elefteriades JA. Comparison of the effect on long-term outcomes in patients with thoracic aortic aneurysms of taking versus not taking a statin drug. *Am J Cardiol* 2012;**109**:1050–1054.
100. Stein LH, Berger J, Tranquilli M, Elefteriades JA. Effect of statin drugs on thoracic aortic aneurysms. *Am J Cardiol* 2013;**112**:1240–1245.
101. de Bruin JL, Baas AF, Heymans MW, Buimer MG, Prinssen M, Grobbee DE, Blankensteijn JD. Statin therapy is associated with improved survival after endovascular and open aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg* 2014;**59**:p39–44 e1.
102. Hoshina K, Nemoto M, Hashimoto T, Miura S, Urabe G, Nakazawa T, Hosaka A, Kato M, Ohkubo N, Miyai T, Okamoto H, Shigematsu K, Miyata T. Study Design of PROCEDURE Study. A Randomized Comparison of the Dose-Dependent Effects of Pitavastatin in Patients with Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm with Massive Aortic Atheroma: Prevention of Cholesterol Embolization during Endovascular and Open Aneurysm Repair with Pitavastatin (PROCEDURE) Study. *Ann Vasc Dis* 2013;**6**:62–66.
103. Weigang E, Parker JA, Czerny M, Lonn L, Bonser RS, Carrel TP, Mestres CA, Di Bartolomeo R, Schepens MA, Bachet JE, Vahl CF, Grabenwoger M. Should intentional endovascular stent-graft coverage of the left subclavian artery be preceded by prophylactic revascularisation? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2011;**40**:858–868.
104. Weigang E, Hartert M, Siegenthaler MP, Beckmann NA, Sircar R, Szabo G, Etz CD, Luehr M, von Samson P, Beyersdorf F. Perioperative management to improve neurologic outcome in thoracic or thoracoabdominal aortic stent-grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006;**82**:1679–1687.
105. Eggebrecht H, Thompson M, Rousseau H, Czerny M, Lonn L, Mehta RH, Erbel R. Retrograde ascending aortic dissection during or after thoracic aortic stent graft placement: insight from the European registry on endovascular aortic repair complications. *Circulation* 2009;**120**:S276–S281.
106. Eggebrecht H, Nienaber CA, Neuhauser M, Baumgart D, Kische S, Schmermund A, Herold U, Rehders TC, Jakob HG, Erbel R. Endovascular stent-graft placement in aortic dissection: a meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2006;**27**:489–498.
107. White GH, May J, Petrasek P. Specific complications of endovascular aortic repair. *Semin Interv Cardiol* 2000;**5**:35–46.
108. Kallenbach K, Kojic D, Oezsoez M, Bruckner T, Sandrio S, Arif R, Beller CJ, Weymann A, Karck M. Treatment of ascending aortic aneurysms using different surgical techniques: a single-centre experience with 548 patients. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013;**44**:337–345.
109. Perreas K, Samanidis G, Dimitriou S, Kalogris P, Balanika M, Antzaka C, Khoury M, Michalis A. Outcomes after ascending aorta and proximal aortic arch repair using deep hypothermic circulatory arrest with retrograde cerebral perfusion: analysis of 207 patients. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2012;**15**:456–461.
110. Achnack HE, Rizzo JA, Tranquilli M, Elefteriades JA. Safety of thoracic aortic surgery in the present era. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;**84**:1180–1185.
111. Di Eusanio M, Schepens MA, Morshuis WJ, Dossche KM, Di Bartolomeo R, Pacini D, Pierangeli A, Kazui T, Ohkura K, Washiyama N. Brain protection using antegrade selective cerebral perfusion: a multicenter study. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003;**76**:p1181–1188; discussion 1188–1189.
112. Higami T, Kozawa S, Asada T, Obo H, Gan K, Iwahashi K, Nohara H. Retrograde cerebral perfusion versus selective cerebral perfusion as evaluated by cerebral oxygen saturation during aortic arch reconstruction. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1999;**67**:1091–1096.
113. Shrestha M, Martens A, Kruger H, Maeding I, Ius F, Fleissner F, Haverich A. Total aortic arch replacement with the elephant trunk technique: single-centre 30-year results. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2014;**45**:289–296.
114. Roselli EE, Rafael A, Soltesz EG, Canale L, Lytle BW. Simplified frozen elephant trunk repair for acute DeBakey type I dissection. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2013;**145**:S197–S201.
115. Jakob H, Dohle DS, Piotrowski J, Benedik J, Thielmann M, Marggraf G, Erbel R, Tsagakis K. Six-year experience with a hybrid stent graft prosthesis for extensive thoracic aortic disease: an interim balance. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2012;**42**:1018–1025.
116. Cao P, De Rango P, Czerny M, Evangelista A, Fattori R, Nienaber C, Rousseau H, Schepens M. Systematic review of clinical outcomes in hybrid procedures for aortic arch dissections and other arch diseases. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2012;**144**:1286–1300, 1300 e1–e2.
117. Czerny M, Weigang E, Sodeck G, Schmidl J, Antona C, Gelpi G, Friess T, Klocker J, Szeto WY, Moeller P, Pochettino A, Bavaria JE. Targeting landing zone 0 by total arch rerouting and TEVAR: midterm results of a transcontinental registry. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;**94**:84–89.
118. Ius F, Fleissner F, Pichlmaier M, Karck M, Martens A, Haverich A, Shrestha M. Total aortic arch replacement with the frozen elephant trunk technique: 10-year follow-up single-centre experience. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013;**44**:949–957.
119. Karck M, Chavan A, Hagl C, Friedrich H, Galanski M, Haverich A. The frozen elephant trunk technique: a new treatment for thoracic aortic aneurysms. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;**125**:1550–1553.
120. Di Bartolomeo R, Di Marco L, Armario A, Marsilli D, Leone A, Pilato E, Pacini D. Treatment of complex disease of the thoracic aorta: the frozen elephant trunk technique with the E-vita open prosthesis. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2009;**35**:p671–675; discussion 675–676.
121. Di Eusanio M, Petridis FD, Pacini D, Di Bartolomeo R. Facilitated aortic arch repair with the frozen elephant trunk technique. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2011;**40**:1261–1262.
122. Svensson LG, Crawford ES, Hess KR, Coselli JS, Safi HJ. Experience with 9 patients undergoing thoracoabdominal aortic operations. *J Vasc Surg* 1993;**17**:357–68; discussion 368–370.
123. Johansson G, Markstrom U, Swedenborg J. Ruptured thoracic aortic aneurysms: a study of incidence and mortality rates. *J Vasc Surg* 1995;**21**:985–988.
124. Minatoya K, Ogino H, Matsuda H, Sasaki H, Yagihara T, Kitamura S. Replacement of the descending aorta: recent outcomes of open surgery performed with partial cardiopulmonary bypass. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2008;**136**:431–435.
125. Patel HJ, Shillingford MS, Mihalik S, Proctor MC, Deeb GM. Resection of the descending thoracic aorta: outcomes after use of hypothermic circulatory arrest. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006;**82**:p90–5; discussion 95–96.
126. Kouchoukos NT. Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair using hypothermic cardiopulmonary bypass and circulatory arrest. *Ann Cardiothorac Surg* 2012;**1**:409–411.
127. Crawford ES, Crawford JL, Safi HJ, Coselli JS, Hess KR, Brooks B, Norton HJ, Glaeser DH. Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms: preoperative and intraoperative factors determining immediate and long-term results of operations in 605 patients. *J Vasc Surg* 1986;**3**:389–404.
128. Coselli JS, Bozinovskij J, LeMaire SA. Open surgical repair of 2286 thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;**83**:S862–S864.
129. Schepens MA, Vermeulen FE, Morshuis WJ, Dossche KM, van Dongen EP, Ter Beek HT, Boezeman EH. Impact of left heart bypass on the results of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1999;**67**:1963–1967; discussion 1979–1980.
130. Koksoy C, LeMaire SA, Curling PE, Raskin SA, Schmittling ZC, Conklin LD, Coselli JS. Renal perfusion during thoracoabdominal aortic operations: cold crystalloid is superior to normothermic blood. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;**73**:730–738.
131. Lemaire SA, Jones MM, Conklin LD, Carter SA, Criddell MD, Wang XL, Raskin SA, Coselli JS. Randomized comparison of cold blood and cold crystalloid renal perfusion for renal protection during thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg* 2009;**49**:11–19; discussion 19.
132. Kouchoukos NT, Masetti P, Rokkas CK, Murphy SF. Hypothermic cardiopulmonary bypass and circulatory arrest for operations on the descending thoracic and thoracoabdominal aorta. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;**74**:S1885–S1887; discussion S1892–S1898.
133. Kulik A, Castner CF, Kouchoukos NT. Outcomes after thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair with hypothermic circulatory arrest. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2011;**141**:953–960.
134. Fehrenbacher JW, Hart DW, Huddleston E, Siderys H, Rice C. Optimal end-organ protection for thoracic and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair using deep hypothermic circulatory arrest. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;**83**:1041–1046.
135. Cox GS, O'Hara PJ, Hertzner NR, Piedmonte MR, Krajewski LP, Beven EG. Thoracoabdominal aneurysm repair: a representative experience. *J Vasc Surg* 1992;**15**:780–787; discussion 787–788.
136. Coselli JS, LeMaire SA, Koksoy C, Schmittling ZC, Curling PE. Cerebrospinal fluid drainage reduces paraplegia after thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair: results of a randomized clinical trial. *J Vasc Surg* 2002;**35**:631–639.
137. Crawford ES, Svensson LG, Hess KR, Shenaq SS, Coselli JS, Safi HJ, Mohindra PK, Rivera V. A prospective randomized study of cerebrospinal fluid drainage to prevent paraplegia after high-risk surgery on the thoracoabdominal aorta. *J Vasc Surg* 1991;**13**:36–45; discussion 45–46.
138. Acher CW, Wynn MM, Hoch JR, Popic P, Archibald J, Turnipseed WD. Combined use of cerebral spinal fluid drainage and naloxone reduces the risk of paraplegia in thoracoabdominal aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg* 1994;**19**:236–246.
139. Khaladj N, Shrestha M, Meck S, Peterss S, Kamiya H, Kallenbach K, Winterhalter M, Hoy L, Haverich A, Hagl C. Hypothermic circulatory arrest with selective antegrade cerebral perfusion in ascending aortic and aortic arch surgery: a risk factor analysis for adverse outcome in 501 patients. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2008;**135**:908–914.
140. Reul GJ, Cooley DA, Hallman GL, Reddy SB, Kyger ER 3rd, Wukasz DC. Dissecting aneurysm of the descending aorta. Improved surgical results in 91 patients. *Arch Surg* 1975;**110**:632–640.
141. Svensson LG, Labib SB, Eisenhauer AC, Butterly JR. Intimal tear without hematoma: an important variant of aortic dissection that can elude current imaging techniques. *Circulation* 1999;**99**:1331–1336.

142. Januzzi JL, Eagle KA, Cooper JV, Fang J, Sechtem U, Myrmet T, Evangelista A, Oh JK, Llovet A, O'Gara PT, Nienaber CA, Isselbacher EM. Acute aortic dissection presenting with congestive heart failure: results from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;**46**:733–735.
143. Bonnefoy E, Godon P, Kirkorian G, Chabaud S, Touboul P. Significance of serum troponin I elevation in patients with acute aortic dissection of the ascending aorta. *Acta Cardiol* 2005;**60**:165–170.
144. Gilon D, Mehta RH, Oh JK, Januzzi JL Jr., Bossone E, Cooper JV, Smith DE, Fang J, Nienaber CA, Eagle KA, Isselbacher EM. Characteristics and in-hospital outcomes of patients with cardiac tamponade complicating type A acute aortic dissection. *Am J Cardiol* 2009;**103**:1029–1031.
145. Di Eusanio M, Trimarchi S, Patel HJ, Hutchison S, Suzuki T, Peterson MD, Di Bartolomeo R, Folesani G, Pyeritz RE, Braverman AC, Montgomery DG, Isselbacher EM, Nienaber CA, Eagle KA, Fattori R. Clinical presentation, management, and short-term outcome of patients with type A acute dissection complicated by mesenteric malperfusion: observations from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2013;**145**:p385–390 e1.
146. Moro H, Hayashi J, Sogawa M. Surgical management of the ruptured aortic arch. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1999;**67**:593–594.
147. Trimarchi S, Tolenaar JL, Tsai TT, Froehlich J, Pegorer M, Upchurch GR, Fattori R, Sundt TM 3rd, Isselbacher EM, Nienaber CA, Rampoldi V, Eagle KA. Influence of clinical presentation on the outcome of acute B aortic dissection: evidences from IRAD. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)* 2012;**53**:161–168.
148. Klompas M. Does this patient have an acute thoracic aortic dissection? *JAMA* 2002;**287**:2262–2272.
149. Jex RK, Schaff HV, Piehler JM, Orszulak TA, Puga FJ, King RM, Danielson GK, Pluth JR. Repair of ascending aortic dissection. Influence of associated aortic valve insufficiency on early and late results. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1987;**93**:375–384.
150. Erbel R, Oelert H, Meyer J, Puth M, Mohr-Kahaly S, Hausmann D, Daniel W, Maffei S, Caruso A, Covino FE. Effect of medical and surgical therapy on aortic dissection evaluated by transesophageal echocardiography. Implications for prognosis and therapy. The European Cooperative Study Group on Echocardiography. *Circulation* 1993;**87**:1604–1615.
151. Janosi RA, Buck T, Erbel R. Mechanism of coronary malperfusion due to type-a aortic dissection. *Herz* 2009;**34**:478.
152. Bossone E, Corteville DC, Harris KM, Suzuki T, Fattori R, Hutchison S, Ehrlich MP, Pyeritz RE, Steg PG, Greason K, Evangelista A, Kline-Rogers E, Montgomery DG, Isselbacher EM, Nienaber CA, Eagle KA. Stroke and outcomes in patients with acute type A aortic dissection. *Circulation* 2013;**128**:S175–S179.
153. Rogers AM, Hermann LK, Booher AM, Nienaber CA, Williams DM, Kazerooni EA, Froehlich JB, O'Gara PT, Montgomery DG, Cooper JV, Harris KM, Hutchison S, Evangelista A, Isselbacher EM, Eagle KA. Sensitivity of the aortic dissection detection risk score, a novel guideline-based tool for identification of acute aortic dissection at initial presentation: results from the international registry of acute aortic dissection. *Circulation* 2011;**123**:2213–2218.
154. Eggebrecht H, Mehta RH, Metozounne H, Huptas S, Herold U, Jakob HG, Erbel R. Clinical implications of systemic inflammatory response syndrome following thoracic aortic stent-graft placement. *J Endovasc Ther* 2008;**15**:135–143.
155. Suzuki T, Bossone E, Sawaki D, Janosi RA, Erbel R, Eagle K, Nagai R. Biomarkers of aortic diseases. *Am Heart J* 2013;**165**:15–25.
156. Taylor RA, Iyer NS. A decision analysis to determine a testing threshold for computed tomographic angiography and D-dimer in the evaluation of aortic dissection. *Am J Emerg Med* 2013;**31**:1047–1055.
157. Shimony A, Filion KB, Mottillo S, Dourian T, Eisenberg MJ. Meta-analysis of usefulness of d-dimer to diagnose acute aortic dissection. *Am J Cardiol* 2011;**107**:1227–1234.
158. Suzuki T, Distant A, Zizza A, Trimarchi S, Villani M, Salerno Uriarte JA, De Luca Tupputi Schinosa L, Renzulli A, Sabino F, Nowak R, Birkhahn R, Hollander JE, Counselman F, Vijayendran R, Bossone E, Eagle K. Diagnosis of acute aortic dissection by D-dimer: the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection Substudy on Biomarkers (IRAD-Bio) experience. *Circulation* 2009;**119**:2702–2707.
159. Sutherland A, Escano J, Coon TP. D-dimer as the sole screening test for acute aortic dissection: a review of the literature. *Ann Emerg Med* 2008;**52**:339–343.
160. Suzuki T, Distant A, Zizza A, Trimarchi S, Villani M, Salerno Uriarte JA, de Luca Tupputi Schinosa L, Renzulli A, Sabino F, Nowak R, Birkhahn R, Hollander JE, Counselman F, Bossone E, Eagle K. Preliminary experience with the smooth muscle troponin-like protein, calponin, as a novel biomarker for diagnosing acute aortic dissection. *Eur Heart J* 2008;**29**:1439–1445.
161. Giachino F, Loiacono M, Lucchiari M, Manzo M, Battista S, Saglio E, Lupia E, Moiraghi C, Hirsch E, Mengozzi G, Morello F. Rule out of acute aortic dissection with plasma matrix metalloproteinase 8 in the emergency department. *Crit Care* 2013;**17**:R33.
162. Nozato T, Sato A, Hirose S, Hikita H, Takahashi A, Endo H, Imanaka-Yoshida K, Yoshida T, Aonuma K, Hiroe M. Preliminary study of serum tenascin-C levels as a diagnostic or prognostic biomarker of type B acute aortic dissection. *Int J Cardiol* 2013;**168**:4267–4269.
163. Erbel R, Mohr-Kahaly S, Oelert H, Iversen S, Jakob H, Thelen M, Just M, Meyer J. [Diagnostic goals in aortic dissection. Value of transthoracic and transesophageal echocardiography]. *Herz* 1992;**17**:321–337.
164. Nienaber CA, von Kodolitsch Y, Nicolas V, Siglow V, Piepho A, Brockhoff C, Koschyk DH, Spielmann RP. The diagnosis of thoracic aortic dissection by non-invasive imaging procedures. *N Engl J Med* 1993;**328**:1–9.
165. Mintz GS, Kotler MN, Segal BL, Parry WR. Two dimensional echocardiographic recognition of the descending thoracic aorta. *Am J Cardiol* 1979;**44**:232–238.
166. Khandheria BK, Tajik AJ, Taylor CL, Safford RE, Miller FA Jr., Stanson AWW, Sinak LJ, Oh JK, Seward JB. Aortic dissection: review of value and limitations of two-dimensional echocardiography in a six-year experience. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 1989;**2**:17–24.
167. Iliceto S, Ettorre G, Francioso G, Antonelli G, Biasco G, Rizzon P. Diagnosis of aneurysm of the thoracic aorta. Comparison between two non invasive techniques: two-dimensional echocardiography and computed tomography. *Eur Heart J* 1984;**5**:545–555.
168. Erbel R, Engberding R, Daniel W, Roelandt J, Visser C, Renollet H. Echocardiography in diagnosis of aortic dissection. *Lancet* 1989;**1**:457–461.
169. Mohr-Kahaly S, Erbel R, Renollet H, Wittlich N, Drexler M, Oelert H, Meyer J. Ambulatory follow-up of aortic dissection by transesophageal two-dimensional and color-coded Doppler echocardiography. *Circulation* 1989;**80**:24–33.
170. Gueret P, Senecal C, Roudaut R, Cormier B, Wolf J, Tribouilloy C, Cohen A, Lusson J, Bensaid J. Comparison of transesophageal and transthoracic echocardiography in acute aortic dissection. A multi-center prospective study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1991;**17**:A260.
171. Demos TC, Posniak HV, Churchill RJ. Detection of the intimal flap of aortic dissection on unenhanced CT images. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1986;**146**:601–603.
172. Kaji S, Nishigami K, Akasaka T, Hozumi T, Takagi T, Kawamoto T, Okura H, Shono H, Horibata Y, Honda T, Yoshida K. Prediction of progression or regression of type A aortic intramural hematoma by computed tomography. *Circulation* 1999;**100**:II281–II286.
173. Rubin GD. Helical CT angiography of the thoracic aorta. *J Thorac Imaging* 1997;**12**:128–149.
174. Rubin GD, Beaulieu CF, Argiro V, Ringl H, Norbash AM, Feller JF, Dake MD, Jeffrey RB, Napel S. Perspective volume rendering of CT and MR images: applications for endoscopic imaging. *Radiology* 1996;**199**:321–330.
175. Garzon G, Fernandez-Velilla M, Marti M, Acitores I, Ybanez F, Riera L. Endovascular stent-graft treatment of thoracic aortic disease. *Radiographics* 2005;**25** Suppl 1: S229–S244.
176. LePage MA, Quint LE, Sonnand SS, Deeb GM, Williams DM. Aortic dissection: CT features that distinguish true lumen from false lumen. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2001;**177**:207–211.
177. Sommer T, Fehske W, Holzknicht N, Smekal AV, Keller E, Lutterbey G, Kreft B, Kuhl C, Gieseke J, Abu-Ramadan D, Schild H. Aortic dissection: a comparative study of diagnosis with spiral CT, multiplanar transesophageal echocardiography, and MR imaging. *Radiology* 1996;**199**:347–352.
178. Kaji S, Akasaka T, Horibata Y, Nishigami K, Shono H, Katayama M, Yamamuro A, Morioka S, Morita I, Tanemoto K, Honda T, Yoshida K. Long-term prognosis of patients with type a aortic intramural hematoma. *Circulation* 2002;**106**:I248–I252.
179. Novelline RA, Rhea JT, Rao PM, Stuk JL. Helical CT in emergency radiology. *Radiology* 1999;**213**:321–339.
180. Kucich VA, Vogelzang RL, Hartz RS, LoCicero J 3rd, Dalton D. Ruptured thoracic aneurysm: unusual manifestation and early diagnosis using CT. *Radiology* 1986;**160**:87–89.
181. Johnson TR, Nikolaou K, Wintersperger BJ, Knez A, Boekstegers P, Reiser MF, Becker CR. ECG-gated 64-MDCT angiography in the differential diagnosis of acute chest pain. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2007;**188**:76–82.
182. Batra P, Bigoni B, Manning J, Aberle DR, Brown K, Hart E, Goldin J. Pitfalls in the diagnosis of thoracic aortic dissection at CT angiography. *Radiographics* 2000;**20**:309–20.
183. Posniak HV, Olson MC, Demos TC. Aortic motion artifact simulating dissection on CT scans: elimination with reconstructive segmented images. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1993;**161**:557–558.
184. Raptopoulos VD, Boiesse PB, Michailidis N, Handwerker J, Sabir A, Edlow JA, Pedrosa I, Kruskal JB. MDCT angiography of acute chest pain: evaluation of ECG-gated and nongated techniques. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2006;**186**:S346–S356.
185. Loubeyre P, Angelie E, Grozel F, Abidi H, Minh VA. Spiral CT artifact that simulates aortic dissection: image reconstruction with use of 180 degrees and 360 degrees linear-interpolation algorithms. *Radiology* 1997;**205**:153–157.
186. Deutsch HJ, Sechtem U, Meyer H, Theissen P, Schicha H, Erdmann E. Chronic aortic dissection: comparison of MR Imaging and transesophageal echocardiography. *Radiology* 1994;**192**:645–650.

187. Sakamoto I, Sueyoshi E, Uetani M. MR imaging of the aorta. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2007;**45**:485–497, viii.
188. Bogaert J, Meyns B, Rademakers FE, Bosmans H, Verschakelen J, Flameng W, Marchal G, Baert AL. Follow-up of aortic dissection: contribution of MR angiography for evaluation of the abdominal aorta and its branches. *Eur Radiol* 1997;**7**: 695–702.
189. Wagner S, Auffermann W, Buser P, Lim TH, Kircher B, Pflugfelder P, Higgins CB. Diagnostic accuracy and estimation of the severity of valvular regurgitation from the signal void on cine magnetic resonance images. *Am Heart J* 1989;**118**:760–767.
190. van Rossum AC, Post JC, Visser CA. Coronary imaging using MRI. *Herz* 1996;**21**: 97–105.
191. Pelc NJ, Herfkens RJ, Shimakawa A, Enzmann DR. Phase contrast cine magnetic resonance imaging. *Magn Reson Q* 1991;**7**:229–254.
192. Honda T, Hamada M, Matsumoto Y, Matsuoka H, Hiwada K. Diagnosis of Thrombus and Blood Flow in Aortic Aneurysm Using Tagging Cine Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Int J Angiol* 1999;**8**:57–61.
193. Chiappini B, Schepens M, Tan E, Dell' Amore A, Morshuis W, Dossche K, Bergonzini M, Camurri N, Reggiani LB, Marinelli G, Di Bartolomeo R. Early and late outcomes of acute type A aortic dissection: analysis of risk factors in 487 consecutive patients. *Eur Heart J* 2005;**26**:180–186.
194. Trimarchi S, Nienaber CA, Rampoldi V, Myrmet T, Suzuki T, Mehta RH, Bossone E, Cooper JV, Smith DE, Menicanti L, Frigiola A, Oh JK, Deeb MG, Isselbacher EM, Eagle KA. Contemporary results of surgery in acute type A aortic dissection: The International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection experience. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2005;**129**:112–122.
195. Perko MJ, Norgaard M, Herzog TM, Olsen PS, Schroeder TV, Pettersson G. Unoperated aortic aneurysm: a survey of 170 patients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1995;**59**: 1204–1209.
196. Trimarchi S, Eagle KA, Nienaber CA, Rampoldi V, Jonker FH, De Vincentiis C, Frigiola A, Menicanti L, Tsai T, Froehlich J, Evangelista A, Montgomery D, Bossone E, Cooper JV, Li J, Deeb MG, Meinhardt G, Sundt TM, Isselbacher EM. Role of age in acute type A aortic dissection outcome: report from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD). *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;**140**: 784–789.
197. Tang GH, Malekan R, Yu CJ, Kai M, Lansman SL, Spielvogel D. Surgery for acute type A aortic dissection in octogenarians is justified. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2013;**145**:S186–S190.
198. Shrestha M, Khaladj N, Haverich A, Hagl C. Is treatment of acute type A aortic dissection in septuagenarians justifiable? *Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann* 2008;**16**:33–36.
199. Bonser RS, Ranasinghe AM, Loubani M, Evans JD, Thalji NM, Bachet JE, Carrel TP, Czerny M, Di Bartolomeo R, Grabenwoger M, Lonn L, Mestres CA, Schepens MA, Weigang E. Evidence, lack of evidence, controversy, and debate in the provision and performance of the surgery of acute type A aortic dissection. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;**58**:2455–2474.
200. Urbanski PP, Hijazi H, Dinstak W, Diegeler A. Valve-sparing aortic root repair in acute type A dissection: how many sinuses have to be repaired for curative surgery? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013;**44**:439–443; discussion 443–444.
201. Hess PJ Jr., Klodell CT, Beaver TM, Martin TD. The Florida sleeve: a new technique for aortic root remodeling with preservation of the aortic valve and sinuses. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2005;**80**:748–750.
202. Subramanian S, Leontyev S, Borger MA, Trommer C, Misfeld M, Mohr FW. Valve-sparing root reconstruction does not compromise survival in acute type A aortic dissection. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;**94**:1230–1234.
203. Shrestha M, Baraki H, Maeding I, Fitzner S, Sarikouch S, Khaladj N, Hagl C, Haverich A. Long-term results after aortic valve-sparing operation (David I). *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2012;**41**:56–61; discussion 61–62.
204. Leontyev S, Borger MA, Etz CD, Moz M, Seeburger J, Bakhtiari F, Misfeld M, Mohr FW. Experience with the conventional and frozen elephant trunk techniques: a single-centre study. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013;**44**:1076–1082; discussion 1083.
205. Tsagakis K, Pacini D, Di Bartolomeo R, Gortlitz M, Weiss G, Grabenwoger M, Mestres CA, Benedik J, Cerny S, Jakob H. Multicenter early experience with extended aortic repair in acute aortic dissection: is simultaneous descending stent grafting justified? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;**140**:S116–S120; discussion S142–S146.
206. Shrestha M, Pichlmaier M, Martens A, Hagl C, Khaladj N, Haverich A. Total aortic arch replacement with a novel four-branched frozen elephant trunk graft: first-in-man results. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013;**43**:406–410.
207. Di Eusanio M, Schepens MA, Morshuis WJ, Dossche KM, Kazui T, Ohkura K, Washiyama N, Di Bartolomeo R, Pacini D, Pierangeli A. Separate grafts or en bloc anastomosis for arch vessels reimplantation to the aortic arch. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004;**77**:2021–2028.
208. Kazui T, Washiyama N, Muhammad BA, Terada H, Yamashita K, Takinami M, Tamiya Y. Extended total arch replacement for acute type a aortic dissection: experience with seventy patients. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2000;**119**:558–565.
209. Pocar M, Passolunghi D, Moneta A, Donatelli F. Recovery of severe neurological dysfunction after restoration of cerebral blood flow in acute aortic dissection. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2010;**10**:839–841.
210. Tsukube T, Hayashi T, Kawahira T, Haraguchi T, Matsukawa R, Kozawa S, Ogawa K, Okita Y. Neurological outcomes after immediate aortic repair for acute type A aortic dissection complicated by coma. *Circulation* 2011;**124**:S163–S167.
211. Williams DM, Brothers TE, Messina LM. Relief of mesenteric ischemia in type III aortic dissection with percutaneous fenestration of the aortic septum. *Radiology* 1990;**174**:450–452.
212. Eggebrecht H, Baumgart D, Dirsch O, Erbel R. Percutaneous balloon fenestration of the intimal flap for management of limb threatening ischaemia in acute aortic dissection. *Heart* 2003;**89**:973.
213. Bartel T, Eggebrecht H, Ebradlidze T, Baumgart D, Erbel R. Images in cardiovascular medicine. Optimal guidance for intimal flap fenestration in aortic dissection by transvenous two-dimensional and Doppler ultrasonography. *Circulation* 2003;**107**:e17–e18.
214. Beregi JP, Prat A, Gaxotte V, Delomez M, McFadden EP. Endovascular treatment for dissection of the descending aorta. *Lancet* 2000;**356**:482–483.
215. Midulla M, Renaud A, Martinelli T, Koussa M, Mounier-Vehier C, Prat A, Beregi JP. Endovascular fenestration in aortic dissection with acute malperfusion syndrome: immediate and late follow-up. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2011;**142**:66–72.
216. Zimpfer D, Czerny M, Kettenbach J, Schoder M, Wolner E, Lammer J, Grimm M. Treatment of acute type a dissection by percutaneous endovascular stent-graft placement. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006;**82**:747–749.
217. Metcalfe MJ, Holt PJ, Hinchliffe RJ, Morgan R, Loftus IM, Thompson MM. Fenestrated endovascular aneurysm repair: graft complexity does not predict outcome. *J Endovasc Ther* 2012;**19**:528–535.
218. Nienaber CA, Rousseau H, Eggebrecht H, Kische S, Fattori R, Rehders TC, Kundt G, Scheinert D, Czerny M, Kleinfeldt T, Zipfel B, Labrousse L, Ince H. Randomized comparison of strategies for type B aortic dissection: the INvestigation of STEnt Grafts in Aortic Dissection (INSTEAD) trial. *Circulation* 2009;**120**:2519–2528.
219. Nienaber CA, Kische S, Rousseau H, Eggebrecht H, Rehders TC, Kundt G, Glass A, Scheinert D, Czerny M, Kleinfeldt T, Zipfel B, Labrousse L, Fattori R, Ince H. Endovascular repair of type B aortic dissection: long-term results of the randomized investigation of stent grafts in aortic dissection trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2013;**6**: 407–416.
220. Fattori R, Montgomery D, Lovato L, Kische S, Di Eusanio M, Ince H, Eagle KA, Isselbacher EM, Nienaber CA. Survival after endovascular therapy in patients with type B aortic dissection: a report from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2013;**6**:876–882.
221. Weiss G, Wolner I, Folkmann S, Sodeck G, Schmidli J, Grabenwoger M, Carrel T, Czerny M. The location of the primary entry tear in acute type B aortic dissection affects early outcome. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2012;**42**:571–576.
222. Heijmen RH, Thompson MM, Fattori R, Goktay Y, Teebken OE, Orend KH. Valiant thoracic stent-graft deployed with the new captivia delivery system: procedural and 30-day results of the Valiant Captivia registry. *J Endovasc Ther* 2012;**19**:213–225.
223. Bozinovskij J, Coselli JS. Outcomes and survival in surgical treatment of descending thoracic aorta with acute dissection. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;**85**:965–970.
224. Lansman SL, Hagl C, Fink D, Galla JD, Spielvogel D, Ergin MA, Griep RB. Acute type Baortic dissection: surgical therapy. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;**74**:S1833–S1835; discussion S1857–S1863.
225. Fattori R, Tsai TT, Myrmet T, Evangelista A, Cooper JV, Trimarchi S, Li J, Lovato L, Kische S, Eagle KA, Isselbacher EM, Nienaber CA. Complicated acute type B dissection: is surgery still the best option?: a report from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2008;**1**:395–402.
226. Weiss G, Tsagakis K, Jakob H, Di Bartolomeo R, Pacini D, Barberio G, Mascaro J, Mestres CA, Sioris T, Grabenwoger M. The frozen elephant trunk technique for the treatment of complicated type B aortic dissection with involvement of the aortic arch: multicentre early experience. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2014.
227. Murzi M, Tiwari KK, Farneti PA, Glauber M. Might type A acute dissection repair with the addition of a frozen elephant trunk improve long-term survival compared to standard repair? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2010;**11**:98–102.
228. von Kodolitsch Y, Csosz SK, Koschyk DH, Schwalz I, Loose R, Karck M, Dieckmann C, Fattori R, Haverich A, Berger J, Meinertz T, Nienaber CA. Intramural hematoma of the aorta: predictors of progression to dissection and rupture. *Circulation* 2003;**107**:1158–1163.
229. Evangelista A, Mukherjee D, Mehta RH, O'Gara PT, Fattori R, Cooper JV, Smith DE, Oh JK, Hutchison S, Sechtem U, Isselbacher EM, Nienaber CA, Pape LA, Eagle KA. Acute intramural hematoma of the aorta: a mystery in evolution. *Circulation* 2005;**111**:1063–1070.
230. Song JK. Diagnosis of aortic intramural haematoma. *Heart* 2004;**90**:368–371.
231. Ceconi M, Chirillo F, Costantini C, Iacobone G, Lopez E, Zanolli R, Gili A, Moretti S, Manfrin M, Munch C, Torracca L, Perna GP. The role of transthoracic echocardiography in the diagnosis and management of acute type A aortic syndrome. *Am Heart J* 2012;**163**:112–118.

232. O'Gara PT, DeSanctis RW. Acute aortic dissection and its variants. Toward a common diagnostic and therapeutic approach. *Circulation* 1995;**92**:1376–1378.
233. Bluemke DA. Definitive diagnosis of intramural hematoma of the thoracic aorta with MR imaging. *Radiology* 1997;**204**:319–321.
234. Murray JG, Manisali M, Flamm SD, VanDyke CW, Lieber ML, Lytle BW, White RD. Intramural hematoma of the thoracic aorta: MR image findings and their prognostic implications. *Radiology* 1997;**204**:349–355.
235. Evangelista A, Dominguez R, Sebastia C, Salas A, Permanyer-Miralda G, Avegliano G, Elorz C, Gonzalez-Alujas T, Garcia Del Castillo H, Soler-Soler J. Long-term follow-up of aortic intramural hematoma: predictors of outcome. *Circulation* 2003;**108**:583–589.
236. Estrera A, Miller C 3rd, Lee TY, De Rango P, Abdullah S, Walkes JC, Milewicz D, Safi H. Acute type A intramural hematoma: analysis of current management strategy. *Circulation* 2009;**120**:S287–S291.
237. Harris KM, Braverman AC, Eagle KA, Woznicki EM, Pyeritz RE, Myrmel T, Peterson MD, Voehringer M, Fattori R, Januzzi JL, Gilon D, Montgomery DG, Nienaber CA, Trimarchi S, Isselbacher EM, Evangelista A. Acute aortic intramural hematoma: an analysis from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection. *Circulation* 2012;**126**:S91–S96.
238. Kan CB, Chang RY, Chang JP. Optimal initial treatment and clinical outcome of type A aortic intramural hematoma: a clinical review. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2008;**33**:1002–1006.
239. Kitai T, Kaji S, Yamamuro A, Tani T, Tamita K, Kinoshita M, Ehara N, Kobori A, Nasu M, Okada Y, Furukawa Y. Clinical outcomes of medical therapy and timely operation in initially diagnosed type A aortic intramural hematoma: a 20-year experience. *Circulation* 2009;**120**:S292–S298.
240. Song JK, Yim JH, Ahn JM, Kim DH, Kang JW, Lee TY, Song JM, Choo SJ, Kang DH, Chung CH, Lee JW, Lim TH. Outcomes of patients with acute type A aortic intramural hematoma. *Circulation* 2009;**120**:2046–2052.
241. Ganaha F, Miller DC, Sugimoto K, Do YS, Minamiguchi H, Saito H, Mitchell RS, Dake MD. Prognosis of aortic intramural hematoma with and without penetrating atherosclerotic ulcer: a clinical and radiological analysis. *Circulation* 2002;**106**:342–348.
242. Evangelista A, Dominguez R, Sebastia C, Salas A, Permanyer-Miralda G, Avegliano G, Gomez-Bosh Z, Gonzalez-Alujas T, Garcia del Castillo H, Soler-Soler J. Prognostic value of clinical and morphologic findings in short-term evolution of aortic intramural haematoma. Therapeutic implications. *Eur Heart J* 2004;**25**:81–87.
243. Song JM, Kim HS, Song JK, Kang DH, Hong MK, Kim JJ, Park SW, Park SJ, Lim TH, Song MG. Usefulness of the initial noninvasive imaging study to predict the adverse outcomes in the medical treatment of acute type A aortic intramural hematoma. *Circulation* 2003;**108** Suppl 1:II324–II328.
244. Bosma MS, Quint LE, Williams DM, Patel HJ, Jiang Q, Myles JD. Ulcerlike projections developing in noncommunicating aortic dissections: CT findings and natural history. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2009;**193**:895–905.
245. Quint LE, Williams DM, Francis IR, Monaghan HM, Sonnad SS, Patel S, Deeb GM. Ulcerlike lesions of the aorta: imaging features and natural history. *Radiology* 2001;**218**:719–723.
246. Wu MT, Wang YC, Huang YL, Chang RS, Li SC, Yang P, Wu TH, Chiou KR, Huang JS, Liang HL, Pan HB. Intramural blood pools accompanying aortic intramural hematoma: CT appearance and natural course. *Radiology* 2011;**258**:705–713.
247. Timperley J, Ferguson JD, Niccoli G, Prothero AD, Banning AP. Natural history of intramural hematoma of the descending thoracic aorta. *Am J Cardiol* 2003;**91**:777–780.
248. Sueyoshi E, Matsuoka Y, Imada T, Okimoto T, Sakamoto I, Hayashi K. New development of an ulcerlike projection in aortic intramural hematoma: CT evaluation. *Radiology* 2002;**224**:536–541.
249. Kitai T, Kaji S, Yamamuro A, Tani T, Kinoshita M, Ehara N, Kobori A, Kim K, Kita T, Furukawa Y. Detection of intimal defect by 64-row multidetector computed tomography in patients with acute aortic intramural hematoma. *Circulation* 2011;**124**:S174–S178.
250. Kitai T, Kaji S, Yamamuro A, Tani T, Kinoshita M, Ehara N, Kobori A, Kita T, Furukawa Y. Impact of new development of ulcer-like projection on clinical outcomes in patients with type B aortic dissection with closed and thrombosed false lumen. *Circulation* 2010;**122**:S74–S80.
251. Bolger AF. Aortic intramural haematoma. *Heart* 2008;**94**:1670–1674.
252. Eggebrecht H, Plicht B, Kahler P, Erbel R. Intramural hematoma and penetrating ulcers: indications to endovascular treatment. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2009;**38**:659–665.
253. Nathan DP, Boonn W, Lai E, Wang GJ, Desai N, Woo EY, Fairman RM, Jackson BM. Presentation, complications, and natural history of penetrating atherosclerotic ulcer disease. *J Vasc Surg* 2012;**55**:10–15.
254. Harris JA, Bis KG, Glover JL, Bendick PJ, Shetty A, Brown OW. Penetrating atherosclerotic ulcers of the aorta. *J Vasc Surg* 1994;**19**:90–98; discussion 98–99.
255. Botta L, Buttazzi K, Russo V, Parlapiano M, Gostoli V, Di Bartolomeo R, Fattori R. Endovascular repair for penetrating atherosclerotic ulcers of the descending thoracic aorta: early and mid-term results. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;**85**:987–992.
256. Coady MA, Rizzo JA, Elefteriades JA. Pathologic variants of thoracic aortic dissections. Penetrating atherosclerotic ulcers and intramural hematomas. *Cardiol Clin* 1999;**17**:637–657.
257. Cho KR, Stanson AW, Potter DD, Cherry KJ, Schaff HV, Sundt TM 3rd. Penetrating atherosclerotic ulcer of the descending thoracic aorta and arch. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2004;**127**:1393–1399.
258. Troxler M, Mavor AI, Homer-Vanniasinkam S. Penetrating atherosclerotic ulcers of the aorta. *Br J Surg* 2001;**88**:1169–1177.
259. Eggebrecht H, Herold U, Schmermund A, Lind AY, Kuhnt O, Martini S, Kuhl H, Kienbaum P, Peters J, Jakob H, Erbel R, Baumgart D. Endovascular stent-graft treatment of penetrating aortic ulcer: results over a median follow-up of 27 months. *Am Heart J* 2006;**151**:S3053–6.
260. Brinster DR, Wheatley GH 3rd, Williams J, Ramaiah VG, Diethrich EB, Rodriguez-Lopez JA. Are penetrating aortic ulcers best treated using an endovascular approach? *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006;**82**:1688–1691.
261. Demers P, Miller DC, Mitchell RS, Kee ST, Chagonjian L, Dake MD. Stent-graft repair of penetrating atherosclerotic ulcers in the descending thoracic aorta: mid-term results. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004;**77**:81–86.
262. Demetriades D, Velmahos GC, Scalea TM, Jurkovich GJ, Karmy-Jones R, Teixeira PG, Hemmila MR, O'Connor JV, McKenney MO, Moore FO, London J, Singh MJ, Lineen E, Spaniolas K, Keel M, Sugrue M, Wahl WL, Hill J, Wall MJ, Moore EE, Margulies D, Malka V, Chan LS. Operative repair or endovascular stent graft in blunt traumatic thoracic aortic injuries: results of an American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Multicenter Study. *J Trauma* 2008;**64**:561–70; discussion 570–571.
263. Shrestha M, Khaladj N, Baraki H, Al Ahmad A, Koigeldiyev N, Pichlmaier M, Haverich A, Hagl C. Aortic root reoperation: a technical challenge. *J Heart Valve Dis* 2010;**19**:177–181.
264. Di Eusanio M, Berretta P, Bissoni L, Petridis FD, Di Marco L, Di Bartolomeo R. Re-operations on the proximal thoracic aorta: results and predictors of short- and long-term mortality in a series of 174 patients. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2011;**40**:1072–1076.
265. Leontyev S, Borger MA, Davierwala P, Walther T, Lehmann S, Kempfert J, Mohr FW. Redo aortic valve surgery: early and late outcomes. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011;**91**:1120–1126.
266. Coady MA, Rizzo JA, Hammond GL, Mandapati D, Darr U, Kopf GS, Elefteriades JA. What is the appropriate size criterion for resection of thoracic aortic aneurysms? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1997;**113**:476–491.
267. Jonker FH, Trimarchi S, Verhagen HJ, Moll FL, Sumpio BE, Muhs BE. Meta-analysis of open versus endovascular repair for ruptured descending thoracic aortic aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg* 2010;**51**:1026–1032, 1032 e1–1032 e2.
268. Jonker FH, Verhagen HJ, Lin PH, Heijmen RH, Trimarchi S, Lee WA, Moll FL, Atamneh H, Rampoldi V, Muhs BE. Open surgery versus endovascular repair of ruptured thoracic aortic aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg* 2011;**53**:1210–1216.
269. Gopaldas RR, Dao TK, LeMaire SA, Huh J, Coselli JS. Endovascular versus open repair of ruptured descending thoracic aortic aneurysms: a nationwide risk-adjusted study of 923 patients. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2011;**142**:1010–1018.
270. Richens D, Kotidis K, Neale M, Oakley C, Fails A. Rupture of the aorta following road traffic accidents in the United Kingdom 1992–1999. The results of the co-operative crash injury study. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2003;**23**:143–148.
271. Neschis DG, Scalea TM, Flinn WR, Griffith BP. Blunt aortic injury. *N Engl J Med* 2008;**359**:1708–1716.
272. Azizzadeh A, Keyhani K, Miller CC 3rd, Coogan SM, Safi HJ, Estrera AL. Blunt traumatic aortic injury: initial experience with endovascular repair. *J Vasc Surg* 2009;**49**:1403–1408.
273. Mosquera VX, Marini M, Muniz J, Asorey-Veiga V, Adrio-Nazar B, Boix R, Lopez-Perez JM, Pradas-Montilla G, Cuenca JJ. Traumatic aortic injury score (TRAINS): an easy and simple score for early detection of traumatic aortic injuries in major trauma patients with associated blunt chest trauma. *Intensive Care Med* 2012;**38**:1487–1496.
274. Smith MD, Cassidy JM, Souther S, Morris EJ, Sapin PM, Johnson SB, Kearney PA. Transesophageal echocardiography in the diagnosis of traumatic rupture of the aorta. *N Engl J Med* 1995;**332**:356–362.
275. Vignon P, Gueret P, Vedrinne JM, Lagrange P, Cornu E, Abrieu O, Gastinne H, Bensaid J, Lang RM. Role of transesophageal echocardiography in the diagnosis and management of traumatic aortic disruption. *Circulation* 1995;**92**:2959–2968.
276. Murad MH, Rizvi AZ, Malgor R, Carey J, Alkatib AA, Erwin PJ, Lee WA, Fairman RM. Comparative effectiveness of the treatments for thoracic aortic transection [corrected]. *J Vasc Surg* 2011;**53**:p193–199 e1–e21.
277. Malhotra AK, Fabian TC, Croce MA, Weiman DS, Gavatt ML, Pate JW. Minimal aortic injury: a lesion associated with advancing diagnostic techniques. *J Trauma* 2001;**51**:1042–1048.

278. Lee WA, Matsumura JS, Mitchell RS, Farber MA, Greenberg RK, Azizzadeh A, Murad MH, Fairman RM. Endovascular repair of traumatic thoracic aortic injury: clinical practice guidelines of the Society for Vascular Surgery. *J Vasc Surg* 2011; **53**:187–192.
279. Fabian TC, Davis KA, Gavant ML, Croce MA, Melton SM, Patton JH Jr., Haan CK, Weiman DS, Pate JW. Prospective study of blunt aortic injury: helical CT is diagnostic and antihypertensive therapy reduces rupture. *Ann Surg* 1998; **227**:p666–676; discussion 676–677.
280. Fabian TC, Richardson JD, Croce MA, Smith JS Jr, Rodman G Jr, Kearney PA, Flynn W, Ney AL, Cone JB, Luchette FA, Wisner DH, Scholten DJ, Beaver BL, Conn AK, Coscia R, Hoyt DB, Morris JA Jr, Harviel JD, Peitzman AB, Bynoe RP, Diamond DL, Wall M, Gates JD, Asensio JA, McCarthy MC, Girotti MJ, VanWijngaarden M, Cogbill TH, Levinson MA, Aprahamian C, Sutton JE Jr., Allen CF, Hirsch EF, Nagy K, Bachulis BL, Bales CR, Shapiro MJ, Metzler MH, Conti VR, Baker CC, Bannon MP, Ochsenr MG, Thomason MH, Hiatt JR, O'Malley K, Obeid FN, Gray P, Bankey PE, Knudson MM, Dyess DL, Enderson BL. Prospective study of blunt aortic injury: Multicenter Trial of the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma. *J Trauma* 1997; **42**:p374–380; discussion 380–383.
281. Estrera AL, Gochmour DC, Azizzadeh A, Miller CC 3rd, Coogan S, Charlton-Ouw K, Holcomb JB, Safi HJ. Progress in the treatment of blunt thoracic aortic injury: 12-year single-institution experience. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2010; **90**:64–71.
282. Bhaskar J, Foo J, Sharma AK. Clamp-and-sew technique for traumatic injuries of the aorta: 20-year experience. *Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann* 2010; **18**:161–165.
283. von Oppell UO, Dunne TT, De Groot MK, Zilla P. Traumatic aortic rupture: twenty-year metaanalysis of mortality and risk of paraplegia. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1994; **58**:585–593.
284. Cardarelli MG, McLaughlin JS, Downing SW, Brown JM, Attar S, Griffith BP. Management of traumatic aortic rupture: a 30-year experience. *Ann Surg* 2002; **236**:465–469.
285. Azizzadeh A, Charlton-Ouw KM, Chen Z, Rahbar MH, Estrera AL, Amer H, Coogan SM, Safi HJ. An outcome analysis of endovascular versus open repair of blunt traumatic aortic injuries. *J Vasc Surg* 2013; **57**:108–114.
286. Durham CA, McNally MM, Parker FM, Bogey WM, Powell CS, Goettler CE, Rotondo MF, Stoner MC. A contemporary rural trauma center experience in blunt traumatic aortic injury. *J Vasc Surg* 2010; **52**:p884–889; discussion 889–890.
287. Forbes TL, Harris JR, Lawlor DK, Derosé G. Aortic dilatation after endovascular repair of blunt traumatic thoracic aortic injuries. *J Vasc Surg* 2010; **52**:45–48.
288. Geisbusch P, Kotelis D, Weber TF, Hyhlik-Durr A, Bockler D. Endovascular repair of ruptured thoracic aortic aneurysms is associated with high perioperative mortality and morbidity. *J Vasc Surg* 2010; **51**:299–304.
289. Karmy-Jones R, Ferrigno L, Teso D, Long VVB 3rd, Shackford S. Endovascular repair compared with operative repair of traumatic rupture of the thoracic aorta: a non-systematic review and a plea for trauma-specific reporting guidelines. *J Trauma* 2011; **71**:1059–1072.
290. Lang JL, Minei JP, Modrall JG, Clagett GP, Valentine RJ. The limitations of thoracic endovascular aortic repair in altering the natural history of blunt aortic injury. *J Vasc Surg* 2010; **52**:290–297; discussion 297.
291. Oberhuber A, Erhard L, Orend KH, Sunder-Plassmann L. Ten years of endovascular treatment of traumatic aortic transection: a single centre experience. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010; **58**:143–147.
292. Rheume P, Chen J, Casey P. Open vs endovascular repair of blunt traumatic thoracic aortic injuries. *J Vasc Surg* 2010; **51**:76376–9.
293. Riesenman PJ, Brooks JD, Farber MA. Acute blunt traumatic injury to the descending thoracic aorta. *J Vasc Surg* 2012; **56**:1274–1280.
294. Tong MZ, Koka P, Forbes TL. Economic evaluation of open vs endovascular repair of blunt traumatic thoracic aortic injuries. *J Vasc Surg* 2010; **52**:p31–38 e3.
295. Xenos ES, Bietz GJ, Davenport DL. Endoluminal versus open repair of descending thoracic aortic rupture: a review of the National Trauma Databank. *Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis* 2011; **5**:221–225.
296. Erbel R, Bednarczyk I, Pop T, Todt M, Henrichs KJ, Brunier A, Thelen M, Meyer J. Detection of dissection of the aortic intima and media after angioplasty of coarctation of the aorta. An angiographic, computer tomographic, and echocardiographic comparative study. *Circulation* 1990; **81**:805–814.
297. Holzer R, Qureshi S, Ghasemi A, Vincent J, Sievert H, Gruenstein D, Weber H, Alday L, Peirone A, Zellers T, Cheatham J, Slack M, Rome J. Stenting of aortic coarctation: acute, intermediate, and long-term results of a prospective multi-institutional registry: Congenital Cardiovascular Interventional Study Consortium (CCISC). *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2010; **76**:553–563.
298. Piffaretti G, Mariscalco G, Tozzi M, Bruno VD, Sala A, Castelli P. Acute iatrogenic type A aortic dissection following thoracic aortic endografting. *J Vasc Surg* 2010; **51**:993–999.
299. Gerber RT, Osborn M, Mikhail GW. Delayed mortality from aortic dissection post transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI): the tip of the iceberg. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2010; **76**:202–204.
300. Dunning DW, Kahn JK, Hawkins ET, O'Neill WW. Iatrogenic coronary artery dissections extending into involving the aortic root. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2000; **51**:387–393.
301. Gomez-Moreno S, Sabate M, Jimenez-Quevedo P, Vazquez P, Alfonso F, Angiolillo DJ, Hernandez-Antolin R, Moreno R, Banuelos C, Escaned J, Macaya C. Iatrogenic dissection of the ascending aorta following heart catheterisation: incidence, management and outcome. *EuroIntervention* 2006; **2**:197–202.
302. Yip HK, Wu CJ, Yeh KH, Hang CL, Fang CY, Hsieh KY, Fu M. Unusual complication of retrograde dissection to the coronary sinus of valsalva during percutaneous revascularization: a single-center experience and literature review. *Chest* 2001; **119**:493–501.
303. Leontyev S, Borger MA, Legare JF, Merk D, Hahn J, Seeburger J, Lehmann S, Mohr FW. Iatrogenic type A aortic dissection during cardiac procedures: early and late outcome in 48 patients. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2012; **41**:641–646.
304. Zidi M, Nallet O, Esteve JB, Michaud P, Cattani S. [Extensive iatrogenic coronary dissection during coronary angioplasty: a series of 19 consecutive patients]. *Ann Cardiol Angeiol (Paris)* 2010; **59**:306–310.
305. Januzzi JL, Sabatine MS, Eagle KA, Evangelista A, Bruckman D, Fattori R, Oh JK, Moore AG, Sechtem U, Llovet A, Gilon D, Pape L, O'Gara PT, Mehta R, Cooper JV, Hagan PG, Armstrong WF, Deeb GM, Suzuki T, Nienaber CA, Isselbacher EM. Iatrogenic aortic dissection. *Am J Cardiol* 2002; **89**:623–626.
306. Hultgren R, Larsson E, Wahlgren CM, Swedenborg J. Female and elderly abdominal aortic aneurysm patients more commonly have concurrent thoracic aortic aneurysm. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2012; **26**:918–923.
307. Chaer RA, Vasoncelos R, Marone LK, Al-Khoury G, Rhee RY, Cho JS, Makaroun MS. Synchronous and metachronous thoracic aneurysms in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg* 2012; **56**:1261–1265.
308. Aboyan V, Criqui MH, Abraham P, Allison MA, Creager MA, Diehm C, Fowkes FG, Hiatt WR, Jonsson B, Lacroix P, Marin B, McDermott MM, Norgren L, Pande RL, Preux PM, Stoffers HE, Treat-Jacobson D. Measurement and interpretation of the ankle-brachial index: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2012; **126**:2890–2909.
309. Lee JJ, Dimick JB, Williams DM, Henke PK, Deeb GM, Eagle KA, Stanley JC, Upchurch GR Jr. Existence of abdominal aortic aneurysms in patients with thoracic aortic dissections. *J Vasc Surg* 2003; **38**:671–675.
310. Diwan A, Sarkar R, Stanley JC, Zelenock GB, Wakefield TW. Incidence of femoral and popliteal artery aneurysms in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg* 2000; **31**:863–869.
311. Karthikesalingam A, Bahia SS, Patterson BO, Peach G, Vidal-Diez A, Ray KK, Sharma R, Hinchliffe RJ, Holt PJ, Thompson MM. The shortfall in long-term survival of patients with repaired thoracic or abdominal aortic aneurysms: retrospective case-control analysis of hospital episode statistics. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2013; **46**:533–541.
312. Joint Task Force on the Management of Valvular Heart Disease of the European Society of C, European Association for Cardio-Thoracic S, Vahanian A, Alfieri O, Andreotti F, Antunes MJ, Baron-Esquivias G, Baumgartner H, Borger MA, Carrel TP, De Bonis M, Evangelista A, Falk V, Jung B, Lancellotti P, Pierard L, Price S, Schafers HJ, Schuler G, Stepinska J, Swedberg K, Takkenberg J, Von Oppell UO, Windecker S, Zamorano JL, Zembala M. Guidelines on the management of valvular heart disease (version 2012). *Eur Heart J* 2012; **33**:2451–2496.
313. Schaefer BM, Lewin MB, Stout KK, Gill E, Prueitt A, Byers PH, Otto CM. The bicuspid aortic valve: an integrated phenotypic classification of leaflet morphology and aortic root shape. *Heart* 2008; **94**:1634–1638.
314. Albornoz G, Coady MA, Roberts M, Davies RR, Tranquilli M, Rizzo JA, Elefteriades JA. Familial thoracic aortic aneurysms and dissections: incidence, modes of inheritance, and phenotypic patterns. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006; **82**:1400–1405.
315. Kuzmik GA, Sang AX, Elefteriades JA. Natural history of thoracic aortic aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg* 2012; **56**:565–571.
316. Detaint D, Michelena HI, Nkomo VT, Vahanian A, Jondeau G, Sarano ME. Aortic dilatation patterns and rates in adults with bicuspid aortic valves: a comparative study with Marfan syndrome and degenerative aortopathy. *Heart* 2014; **100**:126–134.
317. Elefteriades JA. Indications for aortic replacement. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010; **140**:S5–S9; discussion S45–S51.
318. Kari FA, Russe MF, Peter P, Blanke P, Rylski B, Euringer VV, Beyersdorf F, Siepe M. Late complications and distal growth rates of Marfan aortas after proximal aortic repair. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013; **44**:163–171.
319. Jondeau G, Detaint D, Tubach F, Arnoult F, Milleron O, Raoux F, Delorme G, Mimoun L, Krup L, Hamroun D, Beroud C, Roy C, Vahanian A, Boileau C. Aortic event rate in the Marfan population: a cohort study. *Circulation* 2012; **125**:226–232.
320. Loeys BL, Schwarze U, Holm T, Callewaert BL, Thomas GH, Pannu H, De Backer JF, Oswald GL, Symoens S, Manouvrier S, Roberts AE, Faravelli F, Greco MA, Pyritz RE, Milewicz DM, Coucke PJ, Cameron DE, Braverman AC, Byers PH, De

- Paape AM, Dietz HC. Aneurysm syndromes caused by mutations in the TGF-beta receptor. *N Engl J Med* 2006;**355**:788–798.
321. Attias D, Stheneur C, Roy C, Colod-Beroud G, Detaint D, Faivre L, Delrue MA, Cohen L, Francannet C, Beroud C, Claustres M, Iserin F, Khau Van Kien P, Lacombe D, Le Merrer M, Lyonnet S, Odent S, Plauchu H, Rio M, Rossi A, Sidi D, Steg PG, Ravaud P, Boileau C, Jondeau G. Comparison of clinical presentations and outcomes between patients with TGFB β 2 and FBN1 mutations in Marfan syndrome and related disorders. *Circulation* 2009;**120**:2541–2549.
 322. Michelen H, Khanna AD, Mahoney D, Margaryan E, Topilsky Y, Suri RM, Eidem B, Edwards WD, Sundt TM 3rd, Enriquez-Sarano M. Incidence of aortic complications in patients with bicuspid aortic valves. *JAMA* 2011;**306**:1104–1112.
 323. Gravholt CH, Landin-Wilhelmsen K, Stochholm K, Hjerrild BE, Ledet T, Djurhuus CB, Sylven L, Baandrup U, Kristensen BO, Christiansen JS. Clinical and epidemiological description of aortic dissection in Turner's syndrome. *Cardiol Young* 2006;**16**:430–436.
 324. Chiesa R, Melissano G, Tshomba Y, Civilini E, Marone EM, Bertoglio L, Calliari FM. Ten years of endovascular aortic arch repair. *J Endovasc Ther* 2010;**17**:1–11.
 325. Cochenne F, Tresson P, Cross J, Desgranges P, Allaire E, Becquemin JP. Hybrid repair of aortic arch dissections. *J Vasc Surg* 2013;**57**:1560–1567.
 326. Walsh SR, Tang TY, Sadat U, Naik J, Gaunt ME, Boyle JR, Hayes PD, Varty K. Endovascular stenting versus open surgery for thoracic aortic disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of perioperative results. *J Vasc Surg* 2008;**47**:1094–1098.
 327. Cheng D, Martin J, Shennib H, Dunning J, Muneretto C, Schueler S, Von Segesser L, Sergeant P, Turina M. Endovascular aortic repair versus open surgical repair for descending thoracic aortic disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;**55**:986–1001.
 328. Bavaria JE, Appoo JJ, Makaroun MS, Verter J, Yu ZF, Mitchell RS. Endovascular stent grafting versus open surgical repair of descending thoracic aortic aneurysms in low-risk patients: a multicenter comparative trial. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2007;**133**:369–377.
 329. Makaroun MS, Dillavou ED, Wheatley GH, Cambria RP. Five-year results of endovascular treatment with the Gore TAG device compared with open repair of thoracic aortic aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg* 2008;**47**:912–918.
 330. Goodney PP, Travis L, Lucas FL, Fillinger MF, Goodman DC, Cronenwett JL, Stone DH. Survival after open versus endovascular thoracic aortic aneurysm repair in an observational study of the Medicare population. *Circulation* 2011;**124**:2661–2669.
 331. Shah AA, Barfield ME, Andersen ND, Williams JB, Shah JA, Hanna JM, McCann RL, Hughes GC. Results of thoracic endovascular aortic repair 6 years after United States Food and Drug Administration approval. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;**94**:1394–1399.
 332. Patterson B, Holt P, Nienaber C, Cambria R, Fairman R, Thompson M. Aortic pathology determines midterm outcome after endovascular repair of the thoracic aorta: report from the Medtronic Thoracic Endovascular Registry (MOTHER) database. *Circulation* 2013;**127**:24–32.
 333. Dumfarth J, Michel M, Schmidli J, Sodeck G, Ehrlich M, Grimm M, Carrel T, Czerny M. Mechanisms of failure and outcome of secondary surgical interventions after thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR). *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011;**91**:1141–1146.
 334. Waterman AL, Feezor RJ, Lee WA, Hess PJ, Beaver TM, Martin TD, Huber TS, Beck AV. Endovascular treatment of acute and chronic aortic pathology in patients with Marfan syndrome. *J Vasc Surg* 2012;**55**:1234–1240; discussion 1240–1241.
 335. Cooper DG, Walsh SR, Sadat U, Hayes PD, Boyle JR. Treating the thoracic aorta in Marfan syndrome: surgery or TEVAR? *J Endovasc Ther* 2009;**16**:60–70.
 336. Golledge J, Muller J, Daugherty A, Norman P. Abdominal aortic aneurysm: pathogenesis and implications for management. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2006;**26**:2605–2613.
 337. Ogata T, MacKean GL, Cole CW, Arthur C, Andreou P, Tromp G, Kuivaniemi H. The lifetime prevalence of abdominal aortic aneurysms among siblings of aneurysm patients is eightfold higher than among siblings of spouses: an analysis of 187 aneurysm families in Nova Scotia, Canada. *J Vasc Surg* 2005;**42**:891–897.
 338. Hemminki K, Li X, Johansson SE, Sundquist K, Sundquist J. Familial risks of aortic aneurysms among siblings in a nationwide Swedish study. *Genet Med* 2006;**8**:43–49.
 339. Larsson E, Granath F, Swedenborg J, Hultgren R. A population-based case-control study of the familial risk of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg* 2009;**49**:p47–50; discussion 51.
 340. Badger SA, Jones C, McClements J, Lau LL, Young IS, Patterson CC. Surveillance strategies according to the rate of growth of small abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Vasc Med* 2011;**16**:415–421.
 341. Takagi H, Goto SN, Matsui M, Manabe H, Umemoto T. A further meta-analysis of population-based screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg* 2010;**52**:1103–1108.
 342. Stather PW, Dattani N, Bown MJ, Earnshaw JJ, Lees TA. International variations in AAA screening. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2013;**45**:231–234.
 343. Shreibati JB, Baker LC, Hlatky MA, Mell MW. Impact of the Screening Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms Very Efficiently (SAAVE) Act on abdominal ultrasonography use among Medicare beneficiaries. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;**172**:1456–1462.
 344. Svensjo S, Bjorck M, Gurtelschmid M, Djavani Gidlund K, Hellberg A, Wanhainen A. Low prevalence of abdominal aortic aneurysm among 65-year-old Swedish men indicates a change in the epidemiology of the disease. *Circulation* 2011;**124**:1118–1123.
 345. Macdonald AJ, Faleh O, Welch G, Kettlewell S. Missed opportunities for the detection of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2008;**35**:698–700.
 346. Aboyans V, Kownator S, Lafitte M, Brochet E, Emmerich J, Tribouilloy C, Lafitte S, Ferrini M. Screening abdominal aorta aneurysm during echocardiography: literature review and proposal for a French nationwide study. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2010;**103**:552–558.
 347. Aboyans V, Bataille V, Blisiaux P, Ederhy S, Filliol D, Honton B, Kurtz B, Messas E, Mohty D, Brochet E, Kownator S; investigators of the E2T3A study. Effectiveness of Screening for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm During Echocardiography. *Am J Cardiol* 2014; Jul 18. (published online).
 348. Lederle FA, Wilson SE, Johnson GR, Reinke DB, Littooy FN, Acher CW, Ballard DJ, Messina LM, Gordon IL, Chute EP, Krupski WC, Busuttil SJ, Barone GW, Sparks S, Graham LM, Rapp JH, Makaroun MS, Moneta GL, Cambria RA, Makhoul RG, Eton D, Ansel HJ, Freischlag JA, Bandyk D. Immediate repair compared with surveillance of small abdominal aortic aneurysms. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**346**:1437–1444.
 349. Powell JT, Brown LC, Forbes JF, Fowkes FG, Greenhalgh RM, Ruckley CV, Thompson SG. Final 12-year follow-up of surgery versus surveillance in the UK Small Aneurysm Trial. *Br J Surg* 2007;**94**:702–708.
 350. Ballard DJ, Filardo G, Fowkes G, Powell JT. Surgery for small asymptomatic abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008;CD001835.
 351. Cao P, De Rango P, Verzini F, Parlani G, Romano L, Cieri E. Comparison of surveillance versus aortic endografting for small aneurysm repair (CAESAR): results from a randomised trial. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2011;**41**:13–25.
 352. Sweeting MJ, Thompson SG, Brown LC, Powell JT. Meta-analysis of individual patient data to examine factors affecting growth and rupture of small abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Br J Surg* 2012;**99**:655–665.
 353. Sode BF, Nordestgaard BG, Gronbaek M, Dahl M. Tobacco smoking and aortic aneurysm: two population-based studies. *Int J Cardiol* 2013;**167**:2271–2277.
 354. Myers J, McElrath M, Jaffe A, Smith K, Fonda H, Vu A, Hill B, Dalman R. A randomized trial of exercise training in abdominal aortic aneurysm disease. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2014;**46**:2–9.
 355. Guessous I, Periard D, Lorenzetti D, Cornuz J, Ghali WA. The efficacy of pharmacotherapy for decreasing the expansion rate of abdominal aortic aneurysms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2008;**3**:e1895.
 356. Rughani G, Robertson L, Clarke M. Medical treatment for small abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;**9**:CD009536.
 357. Takagi H, Matsui M, Umemoto T. A meta-analysis of clinical studies of statins for prevention of abdominal aortic aneurysm expansion. *J Vasc Surg* 2010;**52**:1675–1681.
 358. Hackam DG, Thiruchelvam D, Redelmeier DA. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and aortic rupture: a population-based case-control study. *Lancet* 2006;**368**:659–665.
 359. Thompson A, Cooper JA, Fabricius M, Humphries SE, Ashton HA, Hafez H. An analysis of drug modulation of abdominal aortic aneurysm growth through 25 years of surveillance. *J Vasc Surg* 2010;**52**:p55–61 e2.
 360. Lindholt JS, Bjorck M, Michel JB. Anti-platelet treatment of middle-sized abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 2013;**11**:305–313.
 361. Lindholt JS, Sorensen HT, Michel JB, Thomsen HF, Henneberg EW. Low-dose aspirin may prevent growth and later surgical repair of medium-sized abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Vasc Endovascular Surg* 2008;**42**:329–334.
 362. Karlsson L, Gnarpe J, Bergqvist D, Lindback J, Parsson H. The effect of azithromycin and Chlamydia pneumonia infection on expansion of small abdominal aortic aneurysms: a prospective randomized double-blind trial. *J Vasc Surg* 2009;**50**:23–29.
 363. Sweeting MJ, Thompson SG, Brown LC, Greenhalgh RM, Powell JT. Use of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors is associated with increased growth rate of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg* 2010;**52**:1–4.
 364. Ferguson CD, Clancy P, Bourke B, Walker PJ, Dear A, Buckenham T, Norman P, Golledge J. Association of statin prescription with small abdominal aortic aneurysm progression. *Am Heart J* 2010;**159**:307–313.
 365. Bown MJ, Sweeting MJ, Brown LC, Powell JT, Thompson SG. Surveillance intervals for small abdominal aortic aneurysms: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2013;**309**:806–813.
 366. Devaraj S, Dodds SR. Ultrasound surveillance of ectatic abdominal aortas. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2008;**90**:477–482.
 367. Thompson SG, Ashton HA, Gao L, Buxton MJ, Scott RA. Final follow-up of the Multicentre Aneurysm Screening Study (MASS) randomized trial of abdominal aortic aneurysm screening. *Br J Surg* 2012;**99**:1649–1656.

368. Kishi K, Ito S, Hiasa Y. Risk factors and incidence of coronary artery lesions in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Intern Med* 1997;**36**:384–388.
369. Poldermans D, Bax JJ, Boersma E, De Hert S, Eeckhout E, Fowkes G, Gorenek B, Hennerici MG, Iung B, Kelm M, Kjeldsen KP, Kristensen SD, Lopez-Sendon J, Pelosi P, Philippe F, Pierard L, Ponikowski P, Schmid JP, Sellevold OF, Sicari R, Van den Bergh G, Vermassen F. Guidelines for pre-operative cardiac risk assessment and perioperative cardiac management in non-cardiac surgery. *Eur Heart J* 2009;**30**:2769–2812.
370. Boersma E, Kertai MD, Schouten O, Bax JJ, Noordzij P, Steyerberg EW, Schinkel AF, van Santen M, Simoons ML, Thomson IR, Klein J, van Urk H, Poldermans D. Perioperative cardiovascular mortality in noncardiac surgery: validation of the Lee cardiac risk index. *Am J Med* 2005;**118**:1134–1141.
371. Lee TH, Marcantonio ER, Mangione CM, Thomas EJ, Polanczyk CA, Cook EF, Sugarbaker DJ, Donaldson MC, Poss R, Ho KK, Ludwig LE, Pedan A, Goldman L. Derivation and prospective validation of a simple index for prediction of cardiac risk of major noncardiac surgery. *Circulation* 1999;**100**:1043–1049.
372. Kristensen OS, Knuuti J *et al*. Guidelines for preoperative cardiac risk assessment and perioperative cardiac management in non-cardiac surgery. *Eur Heart J* 2014;doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu282. Published online 1 August 2014.
373. The UK Small Aneurysm Trial Participants. Mortality results for randomised controlled trial of early elective surgery or ultrasonographic surveillance for small abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Lancet* 1998;**352**:1649–1655.
374. Brown LC, Powell JT. Risk factors for aneurysm rupture in patients kept under ultrasound surveillance. UK Small Aneurysm Trial Participants. *Ann Surg* 1999;**230**:289–296.
375. Dubost C, Allary M, Oeconomos N. Resection of an aneurysm of the abdominal aorta: reestablishment of the continuity by a preserved human arterial graft, with result after five months. *AMA Arch Surg* 1952;**64**:405–408.
376. Blankensteijn JD, Lindenburg FP, Van der Graaf Y, Eikelboom BC. Influence of study design on reported mortality and morbidity rates after abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Br J Surg* 1998;**85**:1624–1630.
377. Hallin A, Bergqvist D, Holmberg L. Literature review of surgical management of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2001;**22**:197–204.
378. Brady AR, Fowkes FG, Greenhalgh RM, Powell JT, Ruckley CV, Thompson SG. Risk factors for postoperative death following elective surgical repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm: results from the UK Small Aneurysm Trial. On behalf of the UK Small Aneurysm Trial participants. *Br J Surg* 2000;**87**:742–749.
379. Steyerberg EW, Kievit J, de Mol Van Otterloo JC, van Bockel JH, Eijkemans MJ, Habbema JD. Perioperative mortality of elective abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery. A clinical prediction rule based on literature and individual patient data. *Arch Intern Med* 1995;**155**:1998–2004.
380. Bown MJ, Sutton AJ, Bell PR, Sayers RD. A meta-analysis of 50 years of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Br J Surg* 2002;**89**:714–730.
381. Dick F, Diehm N, Opfermann P, von Allmen R, Teværaai H, Schmidli J. Endovascular suitability and outcome after open surgery for ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Br J Surg* 2012;**99**:940–947.
382. Franks SC, Sutton AJ, Bown MJ, Sayers RD. Systematic review and meta-analysis of 12 years of endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2007;**33**:154–171.
383. Schanzer A, Greenberg RK, Hevelone N, Robinson WP, Eslami MH, Goldberg RJ, Messina L. Predictors of abdominal aortic aneurysm sac enlargement after endovascular repair. *Circulation* 2011;**123**:2848–2855.
384. Wilson VR, Fishwick G, Sir Peter RFB, Thompson MM. Suitability of ruptured AAA for endovascular repair. *J Endovasc Ther* 2004;**11**:635–640.
385. Kristmundsson T, Sonesson B, Dias N, Malina M, Resch T. Anatomic suitability for endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms and possible benefits of low profile delivery systems. *Vascular* 2013.
386. Greenhalgh RM, Brown LC, Kwong GP, Powell JT, Thompson SG. Comparison of endovascular aneurysm repair with open repair in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm (EVAR trial 1), 30-day operative mortality results: randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004;**364**:843–848.
387. EVAR trial participants. Endovascular aneurysm repair versus open repair in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm (EVAR trial 1): randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2005;**365**:2179–2186.
388. Greenhalgh RM, Brown LC, Powell JT, Thompson SG, Epstein D, Sculpher MJ. Endovascular versus open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *N Engl J Med* 2010;**362**:1863–1871.
389. Prinssen M, Verhoeven EL, Buth J, Cuypers PW, van Sambeek MR, Balm R, Buskens E, Grobbee DE, Blankensteijn JD. A randomized trial comparing conventional and endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *N Engl J Med* 2004;**351**:1607–1618.
390. Blankensteijn JD, de Jong SE, Prinssen M, van der Ham AC, Buth J, van Sterkenburg SM, Verhagen HJ, Buskens E, Grobbee DE. Two-year outcomes after conventional or endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *N Engl J Med* 2005;**352**:2398–2405.
391. De Bruin JL, Baas AF, Buth J, Prinssen M, Verhoeven EL, Cuypers PW, van Sambeek MR, Balm R, Grobbee DE, Blankensteijn JD. Long-term outcome of open or endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *N Engl J Med* 2010;**362**:1881–1889.
392. Lederle FA, Freischlag JA, Kyriakides TC, Padberg FT Jr., Matsumura JS, Kohler TR, Lin PH, Jean-Claude JM, Cikrit DF, Swanson KM, Peduzzi PN. Outcomes following endovascular vs open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2009;**302**:1535–1542.
393. Lederle FA, Freischlag JA, Kyriakides TC, Matsumura JS, Padberg FT Jr., Kohler TR, Kougiass P, Jean-Claude JM, Cikrit DF, Swanson KM, Group OVACS. Long-term comparison of endovascular and open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *N Engl J Med* 2012;**367**:1988–1997.
394. Becquemin JP, Pillet JC, Lesclap F, Sapoval M, Goueffic Y, Lermusiaux P, Steinmetz E, Marzelle J. A randomized controlled trial of endovascular aneurysm repair versus open surgery for abdominal aortic aneurysms in low- to moderate-risk patients. *J Vasc Surg* 2011;**53**:p1167–1173 e1.
395. Soulez G, Therasse E, Monfared AA, Blair JF, Choiniere M, Elkouri S, Beaudoin N, Giroux MF, Cliche A, Leloir J, Oliva VL. Pain and quality of life assessment after endovascular versus open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms in patients at low risk. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2005;**16**:1093–1100.
396. Lottman PE, Laheij RJ, Cuypers PW, Bender M, Buth J. Health-related quality of life outcomes following elective open or endovascular AAA repair: a randomized controlled trial. *J Endovasc Ther* 2004;**11**:323–329.
397. Dugas G, O'Connor D, Firwana B, Brar S, Ellozy S, Vouyouka A, Arnold M, Kosmas CE, Krishnan P, Wiley J, Suleman J, Olin J, Marin M, Faries P. Open versus endovascular stent graft repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2012;**5**:1071–1080.
398. Stather PW, Sidloff D, Dattani N, Choke E, Bown MJ, Sayers RD. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the early and late outcomes of open and endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Br J Surg* 2013;**100**:863–872.
399. De Martino RR, Brooke BS, Robinson WV, Schanzer A, Indes JE, Wallaert JB, Nolan BW, Cronenwett JL, Goodney PP. Designation as "unfit for open repair" is associated with poor outcomes after endovascular aortic aneurysm repair. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2013;**6**:575–581.
400. Ahmed MZ, Ling L, Ettles DF. Common and uncommon CT findings in rupture and impending rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Clin Radiol* 2013;**68**:962–971.
401. Jones CS, Reilly MK, Dalsing MC, Glover JL. Chronic contained rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Arch Surg* 1986;**121**:542–546.
402. Visser JJ, van Sambeek MR, Hamza TH, Hunink MG, Bosch JL. Ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms: endovascular repair versus open surgery: systematic review. *Radiology* 2007;**245**:122–129.
403. Reimerink JJ, Hoornweg LL, Vahl AC, Wisselink W, van den Broek TA, Legemate DA, Reekers JA, Balm R. Endovascular repair versus open repair of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 2013;**258**:248–256.
404. IMPROVE Investigators. Endovascular or open repair strategy for ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm: 30 day outcomes from IMPROVE randomised trial. *BMJ* 2014;**348**:f7661.
405. Grootenboer N, van Sambeek MR, Arends LR, Hendriks JM, Hunink MG, Bosch JL. Systematic review and meta-analysis of sex differences in outcome after intervention for abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Br J Surg* 2010;**97**:1169–1179.
406. Mureebe L, Egorova N, McKinsey JF, Kent KC. Gender trends in the repair of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms and outcomes. *J Vasc Surg* 2010;**51**:9S–13S.
407. De Rango P, Lenti M, Cieri E, Simonte G, Cao P, Richards T, Manzone A. Association between sex and perioperative mortality following endovascular repair for ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg* 2013;**57**:1684–1692.
408. Prinssen M, Buskens E, Blankensteijn JD. Quality of life endovascular and open AAA repair. Results of a randomised trial. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2004;**27**:121–127.
409. Conrad MF, Crawford RS, Pedraza JD, Brewster DC, Lamuraglia GM, Corey M, Abbata S, Cambria RP. Long-term durability of open abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg* 2007;**46**:669–675.
410. Hallett JW Jr., Marshall DM, Petterson TM, Gray DT, Bower TC, Cherry KJ Jr., Gloviczki P, Pairolero PC. Graft-related complications after abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: reassurance from a 36-year population-based experience. *J Vasc Surg* 1997;**25**:p277–284; discussion 285–286.
411. Wyss TR, Brown LC, Powell JT, Greenhalgh RM. Rate and predictability of graft rupture after endovascular and open abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: data from the EVAR Trials. *Ann Surg* 2010;**252**:805–812.
412. De Rango P, Verzini F, Parlani G, Cieri E, Simonte G, Farchioni L, Isernia G, Cao P. Safety of chronic anticoagulation therapy after endovascular abdominal aneurysm repair (EVAR). *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2014;**47**:296–303.
413. Lazarides MK, Georgiadis GS, Charalampidis DG, Antoniou GA, Georgarakos EI, Trellopoulos G. Impact of long-term warfarin treatment on EVAR durability: a meta-analysis. *J Endovasc Ther* 2014;**21**:148–153.

414. Turtle EJ, Sule AA, Bath LE, Denvir M, Gebbie A, Mirsadraee S, Webb DJ. Assessing and addressing cardiovascular risk in adults with Turner syndrome. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2013;**78**:639–645.
415. Ho VB, Bakalov VK, Cooley M, Van PL, Hood MN, Burklow TR, Bondy CA. Major vascular anomalies in Turner syndrome: prevalence and magnetic resonance angiographic features. *Circulation* 2004;**110**:1694–1700.
416. Matura LA, Ho VB, Rosing DR, Bondy CA. Aortic dilatation and dissection in Turner syndrome. *Circulation* 2007;**116**:1663–1670.
417. Rappold GA, Fukami M, Niesler B, Schiller S, Zunkeller W, Bettendorf M, Heinrich U, Vlachopapadoupoulou E, Reinehr T, Onigata K, Ogata T. Deletions of the homeobox gene SHOX (short stature homeobox) are an important cause of growth failure in children with short stature. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2002;**87**:1402–1406.
418. Robinson PN, Arteaga-Solis E, Baldock C, Collod-Beroud G, Booms P, De Paepe A, Dietz HC, Guo G, Handford PA, Judge DP, Kietly CM, Loeys B, Milewicz DM, Ney A, Ramirez F, Reinhardt DP, Tiedemann K, Whiteman P, Godfrey M. The molecular genetics of Marfan syndrome and related disorders. *J Med Genet* 2006;**43**:769–787.
419. Habashi JP, Judge DP, Holm TM, Cohn RD, Loeys BL, Cooper TK, Myers L, Klein EC, Liu G, Calvi C, Podowski M, Neptune ER, Halushka MK, Bedja D, Gabrielson K, Rifkin DB, Carta L, Ramirez F, Huso DL, Dietz HC. Losartan, an AT1 antagonist, prevents aortic aneurysm in a mouse model of Marfan syndrome. *Science* 2006;**312**:117–121.
420. Lacro RV, Dietz HC, Wruck LM, Bradley TJ, Colan SD, Devereux RB, Klein GL, Li JS, Minich LL, Paridon SM, Pearson GD, Printz BF, Pyeritz RE, Radojewski E, Roman MJ, Saul JP, Stylianou MP, Mahony L. Rationale and design of a randomized clinical trial of beta-blocker therapy (atenolol) versus angiotensin II receptor blocker therapy (losartan) in individuals with Marfan syndrome. *Am Heart J* 2007;**154**:624–631.
421. Lacro RV, Guey LT, Dietz HC, Pearson GD, Yetman AT, Gelb BD, Loeys BL, Benson DW, Bradley TJ, De Backer J, Forbus GA, Klein GL, Lai WW, Levine JC, Lewin MB, Markham LW, Paridon SM, Pierpont ME, Radojewski E, Selamet Tierney ES, Sharkey AM, Wechsler SB, Mahony L. Characteristics of children and young adults with Marfan syndrome and aortic root dilation in a randomized trial comparing atenolol and losartan therapy. *Am Heart J* 2013;**165**:e828–835 e3.
422. Detaint D, Aegerter P, Tubach F, Hoffman I, Plauchu H, Dulac Y, Faivre LO, Delrue MA, Collignon P, Odent S, Tchitchinadze M, Bouffard C, Arnoult F, Gautier M, Boileau C, Jondeau G. Rationale and design of a randomized clinical trial (Marfan Sartan) of angiotensin II receptor blocker therapy versus placebo in individuals with Marfan syndrome. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2010;**103**:317–325.
423. Pees C, Laccone F, Hagl M, Debrauwer V, Moser E, Michel-Behnke I. Usefulness of losartan on the size of the ascending aorta in an unselected cohort of children, adolescents, and young adults with Marfan syndrome. *Am J Cardiol* 2013;**112**:1477–1483.
424. Baumgartner H, Bonhoeffer P, De Groot NM, de Haan F, Deanfield JE, Galie N, Gatzoulis MA, Gohlke-Baerwolf C, Kaemmerer H, Kilner P, Meijboom F, Mulder BJ, Oechslin E, Oliver JM, Serraf A, Szatmari A, Thaulow E, Vouhe PR, Walma E. ESC Guidelines for the management of grown-up congenital heart disease (new version 2010). *Eur Heart J* 2010;**31**:2915–2957.
425. Germain DP. Ehlers-Danlos syndrome type IV. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2007;**2**:32.
426. Gdynia HJ, Kuhnlein P, Ludolph AC, Huber R. Connective tissue disorders in dissections of the carotid or vertebral arteries. *J Clin Neurosci* 2008;**15**:489–494.
427. Ong KT, Perdu J, De Backer J, Bozec E, Collignon P, Fauret AL, Fiessinger JN, Germain DP, Georgesco G, Hulot JS, De Paepe A, Plauchu H, Jeunemaitre X, Laurent S, Boutouyrie P. Effects of celiprolol on prevention of cardiovascular events in vascular Ehlers-Danlos syndrome: a prospective randomised, open, blinded-endpoints trial. *Lancet* 2010;**376**:1476–84.
428. Oderich GS, Panneton JM, Bower TC, Lindor NM, Cherry KJ, Noel AA, Kalra M, Sullivan T, Głowiczki P. The spectrum, management and clinical outcome of Ehlers-Danlos syndrome type IV: a 30-year experience. *J Vasc Surg* 2005;**42**:98–106.
429. Singh KK, Rommel K, Mishra A, Karck M, Haverich A, Schmidtke J, Arslan-Kirchner M. TGFBR1 and TGFBR2 mutations in patients with features of Marfan syndrome and Loeys-Dietz syndrome. *Hum Mutat* 2006;**27**:770–777.
430. Morris SA, Orbach DB, Geva T, Singh MN, Gauvreau K, Lacro RV. Increased vertebral artery tortuosity index is associated with adverse outcomes in children and young adults with connective tissue disorders. *Circulation* 2011;**124**:388–396.
431. Williams JA, Loeys BL, Nwakanma LU, Dietz HC, Spevak PJ, Patel ND, Francois K, DeBacker J, Gott VL, Vricella LA, Cameron DE. Early surgical experience with Loeys-Dietz: a new syndrome of aggressive thoracic aortic aneurysm disease. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;**83**:S757–63; discussion S785–S790.
432. Stheneur C, Collod-Beroud G, Faivre L, Gouya L, Sultan G, Le Parc JM, Moura B, Attias D, Muti C, Sznajder M, Claustres M, Junien C, Baumann C, Cormier-Daire V, Rio M, Lyonnet S, Plauchu H, Lacombe D, Chevallier B, Jondeau G, Boileau C. Identification of 23 TGFBR2 and 6 TGFBR1 gene mutations and genotype-phenotype investigations in 457 patients with Marfan syndrome type I and II, Loeys-Dietz syndrome and related disorders. *Hum Mutat* 2008;**29**:E284–E295.
433. Wessels M, Willems PJ. Arterial anomalies in arterial tortuosity syndrome: a sour-sweet pathology? *Clin Genet* 2012;**82**:30–32.
434. Callewaert BL, Willaert A, Kerstjens-Frederikse WS, De Backer J, Devriendt K, Albrecht B, Ramos-Arroyo MA, Doco-Fenzy M, Hennekam RC, Pyeritz RE, Krogmann ON, Gillessen-kaeschbach G, Wakeling EL, Nik-zainal S, Francannet C, Mauran P, Booth C, Barrow M, Dekens R, Loeys BL, Coucke PJ, De Paepe AM. Arterial tortuosity syndrome: clinical and molecular findings in 12 newly identified families. *Hum Mutat* 2008;**29**:150–158.
435. Coucke PJ, Willaert A, Wessels MW, Callewaert B, Zoppi N, De Backer J, Fox JE, Mancini GM, Kambouris M, Gardella R, Facchetti F, Willems PJ, Forsyth R, Dietz HC, Barlati S, Colombi M, Loeys B, De Paepe A. Mutations in the facilitative glucose transporter GLUT10 alter angiogenesis and cause arterial tortuosity syndrome. *Nat Genet* 2006;**38**:452–457.
436. van de Laar IM, van der Linde D, Oei EH, Bos PK, Bessems JH, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, van Meer BL, Pals G, Oldenburg RA, Bekkers JA, Moelker A, de Graaf BM, Matyas G, Frohn-Mulder IM, Timmermans J, Hilhorst-Hofstee Y, Cobben JM, Bruggenwirth HT, van Laer L, Loeys B, De Backer J, Coucke PJ, Dietz HC, Willems PJ, Oostra BA, De Paepe A, Roos-Hesselink JW, Bertoli-Avella AM, Wessels MW. Phenotypic spectrum of the SMAD3-related aneurysms-osteoarthritis syndrome. *J Med Genet* 2012;**49**:47–57.
437. Wischmeijer A, Van Laer L, Tortora G, Bolar NA, Van Camp G, Fransen E, Peeters N, di Bartolomeo R, Pacini D, Gargiulo G, Turci S, Bonvicini M, Mariucci E, Lovato L, Brusori S, Ritelli M, Colombi M, Garavelli L, Seri M, Loeys BL. Thoracic aortic aneurysm in infancy in aneurysms-osteoarthritis syndrome due to a novel SMAD3 mutation: further delineation of the phenotype. *Am J Med Genet A* 2013;**161A**:1028–1035.
438. van de Laar IM, Oldenburg RA, Pals G, Roos-Hesselink JW, de Graaf BM, Verhagen JM, Hoedemaekers YM, Willemsen R, Severijnen LA, Venselaar H, Vriend G, Pattynama PM, Collee M, Majoer-Krakauer D, Poldermans D, Frohn-Mulder IM, Micha D, Timmermans J, Hilhorst-Hofstee Y, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Willems PJ, Kros JM, Oei EH, Oostra BA, Wessels MW, Bertoli-Avella AM. Mutations in SMAD3 cause a syndromic form of aortic aneurysms and dissections with early-onset osteoarthritis. *Nat Genet* 2011;**43**:121–126.
439. van der Linde D, Bekkers JA, Mattace-Raso FU, van de Laar IM, Moelker A, van den Bosch AE, van Dalen BM, Timmermans J, Bertoli-Avella AM, Wessels MW, Bogers AJ, Roos-Hesselink JW. Progression rate and early surgical experience in the new aggressive aneurysms-osteoarthritis syndrome. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2013;**95**:563–569.
440. Coady MA, Davies RR, Roberts M, Goldstein LJ, Rogalski MJ, Rizzo JA, Hammond GL, Kopf GS, Elefteriades JA. Familial patterns of thoracic aortic aneurysms. *Arch Surg* 1999;**134**:361–367.
441. Nicod P, Bloor C, Godfrey M, Hollister D, Pyeritz RE, Dittich H, Polikar R, Peterson KL. Familial aortic dissecting aneurysm. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1989;**13**:811–819.
442. Milewicz DM, Chen H, Park ES, Petty EM, Zaghi H, Shashidhar G, Willing M, Patel V. Reduced penetrance and variable expressivity of familial thoracic aortic aneurysms/dissections. *Am J Cardiol* 1998;**82**:474–479.
443. Faivre L, Collod-Beroud G, Loeys BL, Child A, Binquet C, Gautier E, Callewaert B, Arslanini E, Mayer K, Arslan-Kirchner M, Kiotseoglou A, Comeglio P, Marziliano N, Dietz HC, Halliday D, Beroud C, Bonithon-Kopp C, Claustres M, Muti C, Plauchu H, Robinson PN, Ades LC, Biggin A, Benetts B, Brett M, Holman KJ, De Backer J, Coucke P, Francke U, De Paepe A, Jondeau G, Boileau C. Effect of mutation type and location on clinical outcome in 1,013 probands with Marfan syndrome or related phenotypes and FBN1 mutations: an international study. *Am J Hum Genet* 2007;**81**:454–466.
444. Zhu L, Vranckx R, Khau Van Kien P, Lalande A, Boisset N, Mathieu F, Wegman M, Glancy L, Gasc JM, Brunotte F, Bruneval P, Wolf JE, Michel JB, Jeunemaitre X. Mutations in myosin heavy chain 11 cause a syndrome associating thoracic aortic aneurysm/aortic dissection and patent ductus arteriosus. *Nat Genet* 2006;**38**:343–349.
445. Guo DC, Papke CL, Tran-Fadulu V, Regalado ES, Avidan N, Johnson RJ, Kim DH, Pannu H, Willing MC, Sparks E, Pyeritz RE, Singh MN, Dalman RL, Grotta JC, Marian AJ, Boerwinkle EA, Frazier LQ, LeMaire SA, Coselli JS, Estrera AL, Safi HJ, Veeraraghavan S, Muzny DM, Wheeler DA, Willerson JT, Yu RK, Shete SS, Scherer SE, Raman CS, Buja LM, Milewicz DM. Mutations in smooth muscle alpha-actin (ACTA2) cause coronary artery disease, stroke, and Moyamoya disease, along with thoracic aortic disease. *Am J Hum Genet* 2009;**84**:617–627.
446. Wang L, Guo DC, Cao J, Gong L, Kamm KE, Regalado E, Li L, Shete S, He WQ, Zhu MS, Offermanns S, Gilchrist D, Elefteriades J, Stull JT, Milewicz DM. Mutations in myosin light chain kinase cause familial aortic dissections. *Am J Hum Genet* 2010;**87**:701–707.
447. Clifton MA. Familial abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Br J Surg* 1977;**64**:765–766.
448. Sandford RM, Bown MJ, London NJ, Sayers RD. The genetic basis of abdominal aortic aneurysms: a review. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2007;**33**:381–390.

449. Wahlgren CM, Larsson E, Magnusson PK, Hultgren R, Swedenborg J. Genetic and environmental contributions to abdominal aortic aneurysm development in a twin population. *J Vasc Surg* 2010;**51**:3–7; discussion 7.
450. Bjorck M, Wanhainen A. Pathophysiology of AAA: heredity vs environment. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2013;**56**:2–6.
451. Majumder PP, St Jean PL, Ferrell RE, Webster MW, Steed DL. On the inheritance of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Am J Hum Genet* 1991;**48**:164–170.
452. Verloes A, Sakalihan N, Koulischer L, Limet R. Aneurysms of the abdominal aorta: familial and genetic aspects in three hundred thirteen pedigrees. *J Vasc Surg* 1995;**21**: 646–655.
453. Huntington K, Hunter AG, Chan KL. A prospective study to assess the frequency of familial clustering of congenital bicuspid aortic valve. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997;**30**: 1809–1812.
454. Clementi M, Notari L, Borghi A, Tenconi R. Familial congenital bicuspid aortic valve: a disorder of uncertain inheritance. *Am J Med Genet* 1996;**62**:336–338.
455. Tutar E, Ekici F, Atalay S, Nacar N. The prevalence of bicuspid aortic valve in newborns by echocardiographic screening. *Am Heart J* 2005;**150**:513–515.
456. Nistri S, Basso C, Marzari C, Mormino P, Thiene G. Frequency of bicuspid aortic valve in young male conscripts by echocardiogram. *Am J Cardiol* 2005;**96**:718–721.
457. Sievers HH, Schmidtke C. A classification system for the bicuspid aortic valve from 304 surgical specimens. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2007;**133**:1226–1233.
458. Reed CM, Richey PA, Pulliam DA, Somes GW, Alpert BS. Aortic dimensions in tall men and women. *Am J Cardiol* 1993;**71**:608–610.
459. Kinoshita N, Mimura J, Obayashi C, Katsukawa F, Onishi S, Yamazaki H. Aortic root dilatation among young competitive athletes: echocardiographic screening of 1929 athletes between 15 and 34 years of age. *Am Heart J* 2000;**139**:723–728.
460. van Kimmenade RR, Kemper M, de Boer MJ, Loeys BL, Timmermans J. A clinical appraisal of different Z-score equations for aortic root assessment in the diagnostic evaluation of Marfan syndrome. *Genet Med* 2013;**15**:528–532.
461. Chandra S, Lang RM, Nicolarsen J, Gayat E, Spencer KT, Mor-Avi V, Hofmann Bowman MA. Bicuspid aortic valve: inter-racial difference in frequency and aortic dimensions. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;**5**:981–989.
462. Della Corte A, Romano G, Tizzano F, Amarelli C, De Santo LS, De Feo M, Scardone M, Dialetto G, Covino FE, Cotrufo M. Echocardiographic anatomy of ascending aorta dilatation: correlations with aortic valve morphology and function. *Int J Cardiol* 2006;**113**:320–326.
463. Fernandes SM, Sanders SP, Jenkins KJ, Gauvreau K, Lang P, Simonds H, Colan SD. Morphology of bicuspid aortic valve in children and adolescents. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;**44**:1648–1651.
464. Fazel SS, Mallidi HR, Lee RS, Sheehan MP, Liang D, Fleischman D, Herfkens R, Mitchell RS, Miller DC. The aortopathy of bicuspid aortic valve disease has distinctive patterns and usually involves the transverse aortic arch. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2008;**135**:901–907, 907 e1–e2.
465. Davies RR, Kaple RK, Mandapati D, Gallo A, Botta DM Jr., Eleftheriades JA, Coady MA. Natural history of ascending aortic aneurysms in the setting of an unreplaced bicuspid aortic valve. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;**83**:1338–1344.
466. Siu SC, Silversides CK. Bicuspid aortic valve disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;**55**: 2789–2800.
467. Michelena HI, Desjardins VA, Avierinos JF, Russo A, Nkomo VT, Sundt TM, Pellikka PA, Tajik AJ, Enriquez-Sarano M. Natural history of asymptomatic patients with normally functioning or minimally dysfunctional bicuspid aortic valve in the community. *Circulation* 2008;**117**:2776–2784.
468. Etz CD, Zoli S, Brenner R, Roder F, Bischoff M, Bodian CA, DiLuzzo G, Griep RB. When to operate on the bicuspid valve patient with a modestly dilated ascending aorta. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2010;**90**:1884–1890; discussion 1891–1892.
469. Tzemos N, Therrien J, Yip J, Thanassoulis G, Tremblay S, Jamorski MT, Webb GD, Siu SC. Outcomes in adults with bicuspid aortic valves. *JAMA* 2008;**300**: 1317–1325.
470. Borger MA, Preston M, Ivanov J, Fedak PW, Davierwala P, Armstrong S, David TE. Should the ascending aorta be replaced more frequently in patients with bicuspid aortic valve disease? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2004;**128**:677–683.
471. Girdauskas E, Disha K, Borger MA, Kuntze T. Long-term prognosis of ascending aortic aneurysm after aortic valve replacement for bicuspid versus tricuspid aortic valve stenosis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2014;**147**:276–282.
472. Ando M, Okita Y, Morota T, Takamoto S. Thoracic aortic aneurysm associated with congenital bicuspid aortic valve. *Cardiovasc Surg* 1998;**6**:629–634.
473. Ciotti GR, Vlahos AP, Silverman NH. Morphology and function of the bicuspid aortic valve with and without coarctation of the aorta in the young. *Am J Cardiol* 2006;**98**:1096–1102.
474. Fernandes S, Khairy P, Graham DA, Colan SD, Galvin TC, Sanders SP, Singh MN, Bhatt A, Lacro RV. Bicuspid aortic valve and associated aortic dilation in the young. *Heart* 2012;**98**:1014–1019.
475. Oliver JM, Alonso-Gonzalez R, Gonzalez AE, Gallego P, Sanchez-Recalde A, Cuesta E, Aroca A, Lopez-Sendon JL. Risk of aortic root or ascending aorta complications in patients with bicuspid aortic valve with and without coarctation of the aorta. *Am J Cardiol* 2009;**104**:1001–1006.
476. Beaton AZ, Nguyen T, Lai WW, Chatterjee S, Ramaswamy P, Lytrivi ID, Parness IA, Srivastava S. Relation of coarctation of the aorta to the occurrence of ascending aortic dilation in children and young adults with bicuspid aortic valves. *Am J Cardiol* 2009;**103**:266–270.
477. Novaro GM, Tiong IY, Pearce GL, Grimm RA, Smedira N, Griffin BP. Features and predictors of ascending aortic dilatation in association with a congenital bicuspid aortic valve. *Am J Cardiol* 2003;**92**:99–101.
478. Keane MG, Wiegers SE, Plappert T, Pochettino A, Bavaria JE, Sutton MG. Bicuspid aortic valves are associated with aortic dilatation out of proportion to coexistent valvular lesions. *Circulation* 2000;**102**:III35–III39.
479. Nistri S, Sorbo MD, Marin M, Palisi M, Scognamiglio R, Thiene G. Aortic root dilatation in young men with normally functioning bicuspid aortic valves. *Heart* 1999;**82**:19–22.
480. Andrus BV, O'Rourke DJ, Dacey LJ, Palac RT. Stability of ascending aortic dilatation following aortic valve replacement. *Circulation* 2003;**108** Suppl 1:II295–II299.
481. Garg V, Muth AN, Ransom JF, Schluterman MK, Barnes R, King IN, Grossfeld PD, Srivastava D. Mutations in NOTCH1 cause aortic valve disease. *Nature* 2005;**437**:270–274.
482. Fernandez B, Duran AC, Fernandez-Gallego T, Fernandez MC, Such M, Arque JM, Sans-Coma V. Bicuspid aortic valves with different spatial orientations of the leaflets are distinct etiological entities. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;**54**:2312–2318.
483. Loscalzo ML, Goh DL, Loeys B, Kent KC, Spevak PJ, Dietz HC. Familial thoracic aortic dilation and bicommissural aortic valve: a prospective analysis of natural history and inheritance. *Am J Med Genet A* 2007;**143A**:1960–1967.
484. Bonow RO. Bicuspid aortic valves and dilated aortas: a critical review of the ACC/AHA practice guidelines recommendations. *Am J Cardiol* 2008;**102**:111–114.
485. Girdauskas E, Borger MA, Secknus MA, Girdauskas G, Kuntze T. Is aortopathy in bicuspid aortic valve disease a congenital defect or a result of abnormal hemodynamics? A critical reappraisal of a one-sided argument. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2011;**39**:809–814.
486. Hope MD, Meadows AK, Hope TA, Ordovas KG, Reddy GP, Alley MT, Higgins CB. Evaluation of bicuspid aortic valve and aortic coarctation with 4D flow magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation* 2008;**117**:2818–2819.
487. Cotrufo M, Della Corte A, De Santo LS, Quarto C, De Feo M, Romano G, Amarelli C, Scardone M, Di Meglio F, Guerra G, Scarno M, Vitale S, Castaldo C, Montagnani S. Different patterns of extracellular matrix protein expression in the convexity and the concavity of the dilated aorta with bicuspid aortic valve: preliminary results. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2005;**130**:504–511.
488. Biner S, Rafique AM, Ray I, Cuk O, Siegel RJ, Tolstrup K. Aortopathy is prevalent in relatives of bicuspid aortic valve patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;**53**:2288–2295.
489. van der Linde D, Rossi A, Yap SC, McGhie JS, van den Bosch AE, Kirschbaum SW, Russo B, van Dijk AP, Moelker A, Krestin GP, van Geuns RJ, Roos-Hesselink JW. Ascending aortic diameters in congenital aortic stenosis: cardiac magnetic resonance versus transthoracic echocardiography. *Echocardiography* 2013;**30**:497–504.
490. Hager A, Kanz S, Kaemmerer H, Schreiber C, Hess J. Coarctation Long-term Assessment (COALA): significance of arterial hypertension in a cohort of 404 patients up to 27 years after surgical repair of isolated coarctation of the aorta, even in the absence of restenosis and prosthetic material. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2007;**134**: 738–745.
491. Saric M, Kronzon I. Aortic atherosclerosis and embolic events. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2012;**14**:342–349.
492. Oyama N, Gona P, Salton CJ, Chuang ML, Jhaveri RR, Blease SJ, Manning AR, Lahiri M, Botnar RM, Levy D, Larson MG, O'Donnell CJ, Manning WJ. Differential impact of age, sex, and hypertension on aortic atherosclerosis: the Framingham Heart Study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2008;**28**:155–159.
493. Amarenco P, Duyckaerts C, Tzourio C, Henin D, Bousser MG, Hauw JJ. The prevalence of ulcerated plaques in the aortic arch in patients with stroke. *N Engl J Med* 1992;**326**:221–225.
494. Amarenco P, Cohen A, Tzourio C, Bertrand B, Hommel M, Besson G, Chauvel C, Touboul PJ, Bousser MG. Atherosclerotic disease of the aortic arch and the risk of ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 1994;**331**:1474–1479.
495. Davila-Roman VG, Barzilai B, Wareing TH, Murphy SF, Kouchoukos NT. Intraoperative ultrasonographic evaluation of the ascending aorta in 100 consecutive patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Circulation* 1991;**84**:III47–III53.
496. Davila-Roman VG, Barzilai B, Wareing TH, Murphy SF, Schechtman KB, Kouchoukos NT. Atherosclerosis of the ascending aorta. Prevalence and role as an independent predictor of cerebrovascular events in cardiac patients. *Stroke* 1994;**25**:2010–2016.
497. The Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation Investigators Committee on Echocardiography. Transesophageal echocardiographic correlates of thromboembolism in high-risk patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;**128**: 639–647.

498. The French Study of Aortic Plaques in Stroke Group. Atherosclerotic disease of the aortic arch as a risk factor for recurrent ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 1996;**334**: 1216–1221.
499. Zavala JA, Amarrenco P, Davis SM, Jones EF, Young D, Macleod MR, Horky LL, Donnan GA. Aortic arch atheroma. *Int J Stroke* 2006;**1**:74–80.
500. Russo C, Jin Z, Rundek T, Homma S, Sacco RL, Di Tullio MR. Atherosclerotic disease of the proximal aorta and the risk of vascular events in a population-based cohort: the Aortic Plaques and Risk of Ischemic Stroke (APRIS) study. *Stroke* 2009;**40**:2313–2318.
501. Jolly SS, Amlani S, Hamon M, Yusuf S, Mehta SR. Radial versus femoral access for coronary angiography or intervention and the impact on major bleeding and ischemic events: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Am Heart J* 2009;**157**:132–140.
502. van der Linden J, Hadjiniolaou L, Bergman P, Lindblom D. Postoperative stroke in cardiac surgery is related to the location and extent of atherosclerotic disease in the ascending aorta. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001;**38**:131–135.
503. Bergman P, van der Linden J. Atherosclerosis of the ascending aorta as a major determinant of the outcome of cardiac surgery. *Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med* 2005;**2**: p246–251; quiz 269.
504. Eggebrecht H, Schmermund A, Voigtlander T, Kahlert P, Erbel R, Mehta RH. Risk of stroke after transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI): a meta-analysis of 10,037 published patients. *EuroIntervention* 2012;**8**:129–138.
505. Ribakove GH, Katz ES, Galloway AC, Grossi EA, Esposito RA, Baumann FG, Kronzon I, Spencer FC. Surgical implications of transesophageal echocardiography to grade the atheromatous aortic arch. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1992;**53**:758–761; discussion 762–763.
506. Nienaber CA, Akin I, Erbel R, Haverich A. Diseases of the aorta and trauma to the aorta and the heart. In: Camm AJ LT, Serruys PW, (ed). *The ESC Textbook of Cardiovascular Medicine*. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press Inc.; 2009. p1173–1214.
507. Zaidat OO, Suarez JJ, Hedrick D, Redline S, Schluchter M, Landis DM, Hoit B. Reproducibility of transesophageal echocardiography in evaluating aortic atheroma in stroke patients. *Echocardiography* 2005;**22**:326–330.
508. Bainbridge D. 3-D imaging for aortic plaque assessment. *Semin Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2005;**9**:163–165.
509. Ferrari E, Vidal R, Chevallier T, Baudouy M. Atherosclerosis of the thoracic aorta and aortic debris as a marker of poor prognosis: benefit of oral anticoagulants. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1999;**33**:1317–1322.
510. Blackshear JL, Zabalgaitia M, Pennock G, Fenster P, Strauss R, Halperin J, Asinger R, Pearce LA. Warfarin safety and efficacy in patients with thoracic aortic plaque and atrial fibrillation. SPAF TEE Investigators. *Stroke Prevention and Atrial Fibrillation. Transesophageal echocardiography. Am J Cardiol* 1999;**83**:453–455, A9.
511. Dressler FA, Craig WR, Castello R, Labovitz AJ. Mobile aortic atheroma and systemic emboli: efficacy of anticoagulation and influence of plaque morphology on recurrent stroke. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998;**31**:134–138.
512. Tunick PA, Nayar AC, Goodkin GM, Mirchandani S, Francescone S, Rosenzweig BP, Freedberg RS, Katz ES, Applebaum RM, Kronzon I. Effect of treatment on the incidence of stroke and other emboli in 519 patients with severe thoracic aortic plaque. *Am J Cardiol* 2002;**90**:1320–1325.
513. Di Tullio MR, Russo C, Jin Z, Sacco RL, Mohr JP, Homma S. Aortic arch plaques and risk of recurrent stroke and death. *Circulation* 2009;**119**:2376–2382.
514. Zabalgaitia M, Halperin JL, Pearce LA, Blackshear JL, Asinger RW, Hart RG. Transesophageal echocardiographic correlates of clinical risk of thromboembolism in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation III Investigators. J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998;**31**:1622–1626.
515. Camm AJ, Kirchhof P, Lip GY, Schotten U, Savelieva I, Ernst S, Van Gelder IC, Al-Attar N, Hindricks G, Prendergast B, Heidbuchel H, Alfieri O, Angelini A, Atar D, Colonna P, De Caterina R, De Sutter J, Goette A, Gorennek B, Heldal M, Hohloser SH, Kolh P, Le Heuzey JY, Ponikowski P, Rutten FH. Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: the Task Force for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2010;**31**: 2369–2429.
516. Pitsavos CE, Aggeli KI, Barbetseas JD, Skoumas IN, Lambrou SG, Frogoudaki AA, Stefanadis CI, Toutouzas PK. Effects of pravastatin on thoracic aortic atherosclerosis in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. *Am J Cardiol* 1998;**82**:1484–1488.
517. Lima JA, Desai MY, Steen H, Warren WP, Gautam S, Lai S. Statin-induced cholesterol lowering and plaque regression after 6 months of magnetic resonance imaging-monitored therapy. *Circulation* 2004;**110**:2336–2341.
518. Tahara N, Kai H, Ishibashi M, Nakaura H, Kaida H, Baba K, Hayabuchi N, Imaizumi T. Simvastatin attenuates plaque inflammation: evaluation by fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;**48**:1825–1831.
519. Stern A, Tunick PA, Culliford AT, Lachmann J, Baumann FG, Kanchuger MS, Marshall K, Shah A, Grossi E, Kronzon I. Protruding aortic arch atheromas: risk of stroke during heart surgery with and without aortic arch endarterectomy. *Am Heart J* 1999;**138**:746–752.
520. Laperche T, Laurian C, Roudaut R, Steg PG. Mobile thromboses of the aortic arch without aortic debris. A transesophageal echocardiographic finding associated with unexplained arterial embolism. The Filiale Echocardiographie de la Societe Francaise de Cardiologie. *Circulation* 1997;**96**:288–294.
521. Leon MB, Smith CR, Mack M, Miller DC, Moses JW, Svensson LG, Tuzcu EM, Webb JG, Fontana GP, Makkar RR, Brown DL, Block PC, Guyton RA, Pichard AD, Bavaria JE, Herrmann HC, Douglas PS, Petersen JL, Akin JJ, Anderson WN, Wang D, Pocock S. Transcatheter aortic-valve implantation for aortic stenosis in patients who cannot undergo surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2010;**363**: 1597–1607.
522. Sagban AT, Grottemeyer D, Rehbein H, Sandmann W, Duran M, Balzer KM, Grabitz K. [Occlusive aortic disease as coral reef aorta: experience in 80 cases]. *Zentralbl Chir* 2010;**135**:438–444.
523. Holfeld J, Gortardi R, Zimpfer D, Dorfmeister M, Dumfarth J, Funovics M, Schoder M, Weigang E, Lammer J, Wolner E, Czerny M, Grimm M. Treatment of symptomatic coral reef aorta by endovascular stent-graft placement. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;**85**:1817–1819.
524. Evans JM, O'Fallon WM, Hunder GG. Increased incidence of aortic aneurysm and dissection in giant cell (temporal) arteritis. A population-based study. *Ann Intern Med* 1995;**122**:502–507.
525. Arend WP, Michel BA, Bloch DA, Hunder GG, Calabrese LH, Edworthy SM, Fauci AS, Leavitt RY, Lie JT, Lightfoot RW Jr., Masi AT, McShane DJ, Mills JA, Stevens MB, Wallace SL, Zvaifler NJ. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of Takayasu arteritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990;**33**: 1129–1134.
526. The International Study Group for Behcet's disease. Evaluation of diagnostic ('classification') criteria in Behcet's disease: towards internationally agreed criteria. *Br J Rheumatol* 1992;**31**:299–308.
527. Goie The HS, Steven MM, van der Linden SM, Cats A. Evaluation of diagnostic criteria for ankylosing spondylitis: a comparison of the Rome, New York and modified New York criteria in patients with a positive clinical history screening test for ankylosing spondylitis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1985;**24**:242–249.
528. Gornik HL, Creager MA. Aortitis. *Circulation* 2008;**117**:3039–3051.
529. Pipitone N, Versari A, Salvarani C. Role of imaging studies in the diagnosis and follow-up of large-vessel vasculitis: an update. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2008;**47**: 403–408.
530. Restrepo CS, Ocazonez D, Suri R, Vargas D. Aortitis: imaging spectrum of the infectious and inflammatory conditions of the aorta. *Radiographics* 2011;**31**:435–451.
531. James OG, Christensen JD, Wong TZ, Borges-Neto S, Kowek LM. Utility of FDG PET/CT in inflammatory cardiovascular disease. *Radiographics* 2011;**31**:1271–1286.
532. Gravanis MB. Giant cell arteritis and Takayasu aortitis: morphologic, pathogenetic and etiologic factors. *Int J Cardiol* 2000;**75** Suppl 1:S21–S33; discussion S35–S36.
533. Lane SE, Watts R, Scott DG. Epidemiology of systemic vasculitis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2005;**7**:270–275.
534. Salvarani C, Cantini F, Boiardi L, Hunder GG. Polymyalgia rheumatica and giant-cell arteritis. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**347**:261–271.
535. Maksimowicz-McKinnon K, Clark TM, Hoffman GS. Limitations of therapy and a guarded prognosis in an American cohort of Takayasu arteritis patients. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007;**56**:1000–1009.
536. Hoffman GS, Cid MC, Hellmann DB, Guillemin L, Stone JH, Schousboe J, Cohen P, Calabrese LH, Dickler H, Merkel PA, Fortin P, Flynn JA, Locker GA, Easley KA, Schned E, Hunder GG, Sneller MC, Tuggle C, Swanson H, Hernandez-Rodriguez J, Lopez-Soto A, Bork D, Hoffman DB, Kalunian K, Klashman D, Wilke WS, Scheetz RJ, Mandell BF, Fessler BJ, Kosmorsky G, Prayson R, Luqmani RA, Nuki G, McRorie E, Sherrer Y, Baca S, Walsh B, Ferland D, Soubrier M, Choi HK, Gross W, Segal AM, Ludivico C, Puechal X. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of adjuvant methotrexate treatment for giant cell arteritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;**46**:1309–1318.
537. Fava MP, Foradori GB, Garcia CB, Cruz FO, Aguilar JG, Kramer AS, Valdes FE. Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty in patients with Takayasu arteritis: five-year experience. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 1993;**4**:649–652.
538. Fernandez Guerrero ML, Aguado JM, Arribas A, Lumberras C, de Gorgolas M. The spectrum of cardiovascular infections due to *Salmonella enterica*: a review of clinical features and factors determining outcome. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2004;**83**:123–138.
539. Both M, Aries PM, Muller-Hulsbeck S, Jahnke T, Schafer PJ, Gross WL, Heller M, Reuter M. Balloon angioplasty of arteries of the upper extremities in patients with extracranial giant-cell arteritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006;**65**:1124–1130.
540. Hunder GG, Bloch DA, Michel BA, Stevens MB, Arend WP, Calabrese LH, Edworthy SM, Fauci AS, Leavitt RY, Lie JT, Lightfoot RW Jr., Masi AT, McShane DJ, Mills JA, Wallace SL, Zvaifler NJ. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of giant cell arteritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990;**33**:1122–1128.

541. Thalheimer A, Fein M, Geissinger E, Franke S. Intimal angiosarcoma of the aorta: report of a case and review of the literature. *J Vasc Surg* 2004;**40**:548–553.
542. Rusthoven C, Shames ML, Bui MM, Gonzalez RJ. High-grade undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma of the aortic arch: a case of endovascular therapy for embolic prophylaxis and review of the literature. *Vasc Endovascular Surg* 2010;**44**:385–391.
543. Genoni M, Paul M, Jenni R, Graves K, Seifert B, Turina M. Chronic beta-blocker therapy improves outcome and reduces treatment costs in chronic type B aortic dissection. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2001;**19**:606–610.
544. Suzuki T, Isselbacher EM, Nienaber CA, Pyeritz RE, Eagle KA, Tsai TT, Cooper JV, Januzzi JL Jr., Braverman AC, Montgomery DG, Fattori R, Pape L, Harris KM, Booher A, Oh JK, Peterson M, Ramanath VS, Froehlich JB. Type-selective benefits of medications in treatment of acute aortic dissection (from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection [IRAD]). *Am J Cardiol* 2012;**109**:122–127.
545. Brooke BS, Habashi JP, Judge DP, Patel N, Loeys B, Dietz HC 3rd. Angiotensin II blockade and aortic-root dilation in Marfan's syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2008;**358**:2787–2795.
546. Jia X, Guo W, Li TX, Guan S, Yang RM, Liu XP, Zhang MH, Xiong J. The results of stent graft versus medication therapy for chronic type B dissection. *J Vasc Surg* 2013;**57**:406–414.
547. Czerny M, Zimpfer D, Rodler S, Funovics M, Dorfmeister M, Schoder M, Marta G, Weigang E, Gottardi R, Lammer J, Wolner E, Grimm M. Endovascular stent-graft placement of aneurysms involving the descending aorta originating from chronic type B dissections. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;**83**:1635–1639.
548. Thrumurthy SG, Karthikesalingam A, Patterson BO, Holt PJ, Hinchliffe RJ, Loftus IM, Thompson MM. A systematic review of mid-term outcomes of thoracic endovascular repair (TEVAR) of chronic type B aortic dissection. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2011;**42**:632–647.
549. Eggebrecht H, Schmermund A, von Birgelen C, Naber CK, Bartel T, Wenzel RR, Erbel R. Resistant hypertension in patients with chronic aortic dissection. *J Hum Hypertens* 2005;**19**:227–231.
550. Eggebrecht H, Zenge M, Ladd ME, Erbel R, Quick HH. In vitro evaluation of current thoracic aortic stent-grafts for real-time MR-guided placement. *J Endovasc Ther* 2006;**13**:62–71.